

County of Orange FY 2020 – FY 2024 Consolidated Plan

June 23, 2020



Housing & Community Development 1501 East St, Andrew Place

Santa Ana, CA 92705

Table of Contents

| Table of Contents | i |
|--|----|
| Executive Summary | 1 |
| ES-05 Executive Summary - 24 CFR 91.200(c), 91.220(b) | 1 |
| The Process | 5 |
| PR-05 Lead & Responsible Agencies 24 CFR 91.200(b) | 5 |
| PR-10 Consultation – 91.100, 91.110, 91.200(b), 91.300(b), 91.215(I) and 91.315(I) | 6 |
| PR-15 Citizen Participation – 91.105, 91.115, 91.200(c) and 91.300(c) | 11 |
| Needs Assessment | 13 |
| NA-05 Overview | 13 |
| NA-10 Housing Needs Assessment - 24 CFR 91.205 (a,b,c) | 13 |
| NA-15 Disproportionately Greater Need: Housing Problems – 91.205 (b)(2) | 20 |
| NA-20 Disproportionately Greater Need: Severe Housing Problems – 91.205 (b)(2) | 23 |
| NA-25 Disproportionately Greater Need: Housing Cost Burdens – 91.205 (b)(2) | 26 |
| NA-30 Disproportionately Greater Need: Discussion – 91.205(b)(2) | 27 |
| NA-35 Public Housing – 91.205(b) | 27 |
| NA-40 Homeless Needs Assessment – 91.205(c) | 31 |
| NA-45 Non-Homeless Special Needs Assessment - 91.205 (b,d) | 34 |
| NA-50 Non-Housing Needs – 91.215 (f) | 36 |
| Housing Market Analysis | 38 |
| MA-05 Overview | 38 |
| MA-10 Number of Housing Units – 91.210(a)&(b)(2) | 38 |
| MA-15 Housing Market Analysis: Cost of Housing - 91.210(a) | 41 |
| MA-20 Housing Market Analysis: Condition of Housing – 91.210(a) | 44 |
| MA-25 Public and Assisted Housing – 91.210(b) | 47 |
| MA-30 Homeless Facilities and Services – 91.210(c) | 49 |
| MA-35 Special Needs Facilities and Services – 91.210(d) | 52 |
| MA-40 Barriers to Affordable Housing – 91.210(e) | 55 |
| MA-45 Non-Housing Assets – 91.215 (f) | 57 |
| MA-50 Needs and Market Analysis Discussion | 64 |
| MA-60 Broadband Needs of Housing occupied by Low- and Moderate-Income Households - 91.210(a)(4), | |
| 91.310(a)(2) | |
| MA-65 Hazard Mitigation - 91.210(a)(5), 91.310(a)(3) | |
| Strategic Plan | |
| SP-05 Overview | |
| SP-10 Geographic Priorities – 91.215 (a)(1) | |
| SP-25 Priority Needs - 91.215(a)(2) | |
| SP-30 Influence of Market Conditions – 91.215 (b) | |
| SP-35 Anticipated Resources - 91.215(a)(4), 91.220(c)(1,2) | |
| SP-40 Institutional Delivery Structure – 91.215(k) | 79 |

| SP-45 Goals Summary – 91.215(a)(4) | 83 |
|--|--------|
| SP-50 Public Housing Accessibility and Involvement – 91.215(c) | 85 |
| SP-55 Barriers to affordable housing – 91.215(h) | 85 |
| SP-60 Homelessness Strategy – 91.215(d) | |
| SP-65 Lead based paint Hazards – 91.215(i) | |
| SP-70 Anti-Poverty Strategy – 91.215(j) | |
| SP-80 Monitoring – 91.230 | 91 |
| Expected Resources | 92 |
| AP-15 Expected Resources – 91.220(c)(1,2) | |
| Annual Goals and Objectives | 95 |
| AP-20 Annual Goals and Objectives | |
| Projects | |
| AP-35 Projects – 91.220(d) | |
| AP-38 Project Summary | |
| AP-50 Geographic Distribution – 91.220(f) | |
| Affordable Housing | 110 |
| AP-55 Affordable Housing – 91.220(g) | 110 |
| AP-60 Public Housing – 91.220(h) | 110 |
| AP-65 Homeless and Other Special Needs Activities – 91.220(i) | 111 |
| AP-75 Barriers to affordable housing – 91.220(j) | 113 |
| AP-85 Other Actions – 91.220(k) | 114 |
| Program Specific Requirements | 118 |
| AP-90 Program Specific Requirements – 91.220(I)(1,2,4) | 118 |
| Appendix A: Summary of Public Outreach | App-1 |
| Appendix B: Minority Concentration Map | App-25 |
| Appendix C: Certifications | Арр-27 |
| Appendix D: SF 424 Form | Арр-28 |

ES-05 Executive Summary - 24 CFR 91.200(c), 91.220(b)

1. Introduction

The County of Orange is located along the Pacific Ocean between Los Angeles County to the north and northwest, San Bernardino County to the northeast, Riverside County to the east, and San Diego County to the southeast. Orange County stretches approximately 40 miles along the coast and extends inland approximately 20 miles, covering 798 square miles.

This Fiscal Year (FY) 2020-2024 Consolidated Plan for the Urban County of Orange serves as the Urban County's official application to HUD for Community Planning and Development (CPD) funds - Community Development Block Grant (CDBG), HOME Investment Partnership, and Emergency Solutions Grant (ESG) funds. The Plan identifies the housing and community development needs in the Urban County and sets forth a strategic plan for addressing the identified needs. It also satisfies the minimum statutory requirements of the CDBG, HOME, and ESG programs. The Plan covers from July 1, 2020 through June 30, 2025. (This Consolidated Plan was written prior to the COVID-19 Pandemic).

The "Urban County" of Orange is comprised of 11 cities with populations under 50,000 (participating cities), two "Metro" cities – Placentia and Yorba Linda – with populations over 50,000, and the unincorporated areas of Orange County. The 11 participating cities include Brea, Cypress, Dana Point, Laguna Beach, Laguna Hills, Laguna Woods, La Palma, Los Alamitos, Seal Beach, Stanton, and Villa Park. With populations over 50,000, Placentia and Yorba Linda are eligible to participate in the CPD programs as entitlement jurisdictions and receive funding directly from HUD. However, these cities have elected to join the Urban County for the overall implementation of these programs.

2. Summary of the objectives and outcomes identified in the Plan Needs Assessment Overview

The Urban County of Orange incorporated outcome measures for activities in accordance with the Federal Register Notice dated March 7, 2006, which require the following Performance Measure Objectives/Outcomes to be associated with each activity funded:

General Objective Categories- Activities will meet one of the following:

- Decent Housing (DH)
- A Suitable Living Environment (SL)
- Economic Opportunity (EO) General

Outcome Categories- Activities will meet one of the following:

- Availability/Accessibility (1)
- Affordability (2)
- Sustainability (3)

In addition to national objectives and performance outcomes, the County must weigh and balance the input from different groups and assign funding priorities that best bridge the gaps in the County's service delivery system. While

other goals the County has set are also important, for the purposes of the Consolidated Plan, only those which are anticipated to be funded with CPD funding programs (CDBG, HOME, and ESG) during the five-year planning cycle are indicated to be high priorities. The County utilizes other funding sources to meet goals that are not considered high priority in the Consolidated Plan. The County established priorities for allocating CPD funds based on a number of criteria, including:

- Urgency of needs
- Cost efficiency
- Eligibility of activities/programs
- Availability of other funding sources to address specific needs
- Funding program limitations
- Capacity and authority for implementing actions
- Consistency with countywide goals, policies, and efforts

Needs that have been determined to be a High Priority level will receive funding during the Five-Year Consolidated Plan. The priorities for the FY 2020-2024 Consolidated Plan, established in consultation with residents and community groups, include the following:

High Priority

- Expand and preserve the supply of affordable housing to create housing opportunities for low- and moderate-income households and homeless individuals and families
- Strengthen, preserve, and enhance the physical character and quality of life in Orange County's low- and moderate-income neighborhoods, including the housing stock and public infrastructure and facilities.
- Continue to build the capacity of residents to empower themselves and help strengthen their community, through the funding of needed public services for seniors, the homeless, and those at risk of homelessness.
- Planning and administration.

3. Evaluation of past performance

During the last Five-Year Consolidated Plan for FY 2015-2019, the Urban County met or exceeded most all of its five-year goals and objectives. As of FY 2018, the Urban County has already completed 211 percent of its public service goals, serving over 54,000 people through a number of programs including community center services, senior services, and fair housing services. A number of public facilities and infrastructure improvements were also completed during the FY 2015-2019 period. As of FY 2018, the Urban County had made improvements to public facilities that have provided services to over 250,000 people. Additional accomplishments for FY 2019 will be provided in the CAPER due September 2020.

The Urban County has also made progress in its housing rehabilitation objectives. Housing & Community Development works in collaboration with six cities in administrating CDBG Housing Rehabilitation projects throughout the County. Housing rehabilitation programs were also implemented and administered by the cities of Brea, Cypress, Laguna Woods, Placentia, Seal Beach, and Yorba Linda. Since FY 2015, the Urban County's various housing rehabilitation programs have rehabilitated 530 single-family homes.

HOME funds are currently set-aside for Permanent Supportive Housing activities under the County's 2016 Supportive Housing Notice of Funding Availability (PSH NOFA).

4. Summary of citizen participation process and consultation process

Citizen participation is one of the most important components of the Consolidated Plan process. To solicit public input during the development of the Consolidated Plan, the Urban County conducted six community meetings with residents and local housing and service providers. The Urban County also administered a Housing & Community Development Needs Survey.

Community Meetings: The Urban County held five Community Meetings to solicit input on needs during the development of the Consolidated Plan. The meetings were held on the following days:

- Wednesday October 23rd, 2019, El Modena Community Center
- Tuesday November 5th, 2019, City of Brea Senior Center
- Wednesday November 6th, 2019, Los Alamitos Community Center
- Thursday November 7th, 2019, City of Laguna Hills Community Center
- Monday November 18th, 2019, Midway City Community Center

Focus Group Meeting: The County also conducted one focus group meeting for nonprofits and government agencies that serve low- and moderate-income persons and those with special needs:

• Tuesday November 19th, 2019, Housing and Homeless Services

Housing & Community Development Needs Survey: The survey was made available both on-line and in hand copy form. Outreach for the community meetings and the survey included:

- Notices posted on County's website (English and Spanish).
- Hard copies of notices (English and Spanish) were made available in the County Government Offices.
- Advertisements published in the 2/28/2020 edition of Register (English), the 2/28/2020 edition of the Nguoi Viet (Vietnamese), and the 2/28/2020 Edition of Excelsior (Spanish).
- Postcards sent by U.S. Mail to 311 agencies informing them of the meetings and the availability of the survey.
- Internet survey links were displayed on meeting postcards (English and Spanish).
- Hard copies of the survey distributed to a number of local agencies for distribution to their clients.

Public Review of Draft Documents: A 30-day public review was held from 2/28/2020 through March 29, 2020. Copies of the draft Consolidate Plan and Action Plan were made available for the public at the following locations:

- <u>www.occommunityservices.org/hcd/community/</u>
- www.ocpl.org/

The final Consolidated Plan, amendments to the Plan, and annual performance reports will be available for five years at the County Government Offices. Residents affected by the Plan's implementation have access to the County's Plans.

Public Hearing: A public hearing before the Board of Supervisors will be held on April 28, 2020 for the adoption of the Consolidated Plan. Public notices for the hearing were published in the papers referenced above.

5. Summary of public comments

A summary of the public comments received is provided in Appendix A.

6. Summary of comments or views not accepted and the reasons for not accepting them

All comments received were accepted and summarized in Appendix A.

7. Summary

The Urban County of Orange has undertaken diligent and good faith efforts in outreaching to all segments of the community that may benefit from the CDBG, HOME, and ESG programs.

PR-05 Lead & Responsible Agencies 24 CFR 91.200(b)

1. Describe agency/entity responsible for preparing the Consolidated Plan and those responsible for administration of each grant program and funding source

The following are the agencies/entities responsible for preparing the Consolidated Plan and those responsible for administration of each grant program and funding source.

| Name | Department/Agency |
|---------------|--------------------------------|
| ORANGE COUNTY | OC Community Resources |
| ORANGE COUNTY | OC Community Resources |
| ORANGE COUNTY | OC Community Resources |
| | ORANGE COUNTY ORANGE COUNTY |

 Table 1 – Responsible Agencies

Narrative

Housing & Community Development administers the Urban County's CDBG, HOME, and ESG programs.

Consolidated Plan Public Contact Information

For matters concerning the Urban County of Orange's CDBG, HOME, and ESG programs, contact: Craig Fee, Manager, Housing & Community Development, 1501 E St. Andrew Place, Santa Ana, CA, 92705, (714) 480-2966.

PR-10 Consultation - 91.100, 91.110, 91.200(b), 91.300(b), 91.215(l) and 91.315(l)

1. Introduction

As part of this Consolidated Plan development, the Urban County of Orange undertook an extensive outreach program to consult and coordinate nonprofit agencies, affordable housing providers, and government agencies regarding the needs of the low- and moderate-income community. The outreach program has been summarized in the Executive Summary and Citizen Participation sections of this Consolidated Plan. Comments received and results of the survey are summarized in Appendix A to this Consolidated Plan.

Provide a concise summary of the jurisdiction's activities to enhance coordination between public and assisted housing providers and private and governmental health, mental health and service agencies (91.215(I)).

To outreach to various agencies and organizations, the Urban County compiled an outreach list consisting of 311 agencies, including:

- Nonprofit service providers that cater to the needs of low- and moderate-income households and persons with special needs, including persons with disabilities;
- Agencies serving the homeless and at-risk homeless, including those that are coordinating re-entry after being discharged from institutions;
- Affordable housing providers;
- Housing advocates;
- Housing professionals;
- Public agencies (such as school districts, health services, public works);
- Economic development and employment organizations; and
- Community and neighborhood groups.

The complete outreach list is included in Appendix A. These agencies were mailed notices of the Urban County's Consolidated Plan process and public meetings. In addition, the County coordinated a meeting with entitlement jurisdictions in the County and the Continuum of Care to develop homeless statistics for the Consolidated Plan.

Specific agencies were also contacted to obtain data in preparation of this Consolidated Plan. For example, the State Developmental Services Department and State Social Services Department were contacted to obtain data and housing resources for persons with disabilities.

Describe coordination with the Continuum of Care and efforts to address the needs of homeless persons (particularly chronically homeless individuals and families, families with children, veterans, and unaccompanied youth) and persons at risk of homelessness

The outreach list includes homeless service agencies in the Santa Ana/Anaheim/Orange County of Care Council (CoC). The Continuum of Care Strategy was consulted to provide information on homelessness and resources available. Several agencies that provide housing and supportive services for the homeless and those at risk of becoming homeless attended the Focus Group Workshop. These include Friendship Shelter, South County Outreach, Path of Hope, and Orange County Department of Education, among others.

Describe consultation with the Continuum(s) of Care that serves the jurisdiction's area in determining how to allocate ESG funds, develop performance standards and evaluate outcomes, and develop funding, policies and procedures for the administration of HMIS

As the Continuum of Care lead agency, the County of Orange consulted with ESG recipient jurisdictions in the region to discuss new ESG regulations and to plan for the allocation of ESG funds. The County plans to utilize these funds to assist in homeless prevention and rapid rehousing in ways that:

- Coordinate across regional entitlement jurisdictions by developing and utilizing standardized eligibility and assessment tools;
- Support federal and local goals for priority populations;
- Allow for variations in the program design that responds to the needs and resources of the jurisdiction; and
- Comply with new eligibility and verification requirements (HMIS, housing status, homeless definitions, etc.)

The County of Orange also consulted with the Commission to End Homelessness, the governing body of the Ten-Year Plan to End Homelessness to ensure the alignment of proposed ESG activities as they relate to the goals and strategies outlined in the plan.

The County of Orange requires all public service projects and activities providing services to homeless individuals and/or families to actively participate in the Homeless Management Information System while prioritizing the strengthening of data collection and participation across the system of care for homeless individuals and families.

2. Describe Agencies, groups, organizations and others who participated in the process and describe the jurisdictions consultations with housing, social service agencies and other entities

| 1 | Agency/Group/Organization | Orange County Department of Education |
|---|--|--|
| | Agency/Group/Organization Type | Services- Education |
| | What section of the Plan was addressed by Consultation? | Homeless Needs - Families with children |
| | | Non-Homeless Special Needs |
| | How was the Agency/Group/Organization consulted and what are | Agency attended the Community meeting on |
| | the anticipated outcomes of the consultation or areas for improved | Tuesday November 19th, 2019 and provided input |
| | coordination? | on service needs in the community. |
| 2 | Agency/Group/Organization | South County Outreach |
| | Agency/Group/Organization Type | Housing |
| | | Services - Housing |
| | | Services-homeless |
| | | Services-Health |
| | | Services-Education |
| | What section of the Plan was addressed by Consultation? | Housing Need Assessment |
| | | Homelessness Strategy |
| | | Homeless Needs - Chronically homeless |
| | | Homeless Needs - Families with children |
| | | Non-Homeless Special Needs |

| | How was the Agency/Group/Organization consulted and what are | Agency attended the Community meeting on |
|---|--|---|
| | the anticipated outcomes of the consultation or areas for improved | Tuesday November 19th, 2019 and provided input |
| | coordination? | on service needs in the community. |
| 3 | Agency/Group/Organization | Friendship Shelter |
| 9 | Agency/Group/Organization Type | Housing |
| | Agency/Group/Organization Type | Services-homeless |
| | What section of the Plan was addressed by Consultation? | Homeless Needs - Chronically homeless |
| | - | - |
| | How was the Agency/Group/Organization consulted and what are | Agency attended the Community meeting on |
| | the anticipated outcomes of the consultation or areas for improved | Tuesday November 19 th , 2019 and provided input |
| 4 | coordination? | on service needs in the community. |
| 4 | Agency/Group/Organization | Chrysalis |
| | Agency/Group/Organization Type | Services- Employment |
| | What section of the Plan was addressed by Consultation? | Housing Need Assessment |
| | | Economic Development |
| | | Market Analysis |
| | How was the Agency/Group/Organization consulted and what are | Agency attended the Community meeting on |
| | the anticipated outcomes of the consultation or areas for improved | Tuesday November 19th, 2019 and provided input |
| | coordination? | on service needs in the community. |
| 5 | Agency/Group/Organization | Pathways of Hope |
| | Agency/Group/Organization Type | Services- homeless |
| | What section of the Plan was addressed by Consultation? | Homelessness Strategy |
| | | Homeless Needs - Chronically homeless |
| | | Homeless Needs - Families with children |
| | How was the Agency/Group/Organization consulted and what are | Agency attended the Community meeting on |
| | the anticipated outcomes of the consultation or areas for improved | Tuesday November 19 th , 2019 and provided input |
| | coordination? | on service needs in the community. |
| 6 | Agency/Group/Organization | Families Forward |
| • | Agency/Group/Organization Type | Services- Housing |
| | rigeney, eleap, elgamzation rype | Services- homeless |
| | | Services- Employment |
| | What section of the Plan was addressed by Consultation? | Homelessness Strategy |
| | what seelion of the Fran was addressed by consultation: | Homeless Needs - Chronically homeless |
| | | Homeless Needs - Families with children |
| | How was the Agency/Group/Organization consulted and what are | Agency attended the Community meeting on |
| | the anticipated outcomes of the consultation or areas for improved | |
| | coordination? | Tuesday November 19th, 2019 and provided input |
| 7 | | on service needs in the community. |
| 7 | Agency/Group/Organization | Community Legal Aid SoCal |
| | Agency/Group/Organization Type | Services- Fair Housing |
| | What section of the Plan was addressed by Consultation? | Services- Housing |
| | | Housing Need Assessment |
| | | Non-Homeless Special Needs |
| | How was the Agency/Group/Organization consulted and what are | Agency attended the Community meeting on |
| | the anticipated outcomes of the consultation or areas for improved | Tuesday November 19th, 2019 and provided input |
| | coordination? | on service needs in the community. |
| 8 | Agency/Group/Organization | Illumination Foundation |
| | Agency/Group/Organization Type | Services- Housing |
| | | Services- homeless |

| What section of the Plan was addressed by Consultation? | Homelessness Strategy |
|--|--|
| | Homeless Needs - Chronically homeless |
| | Homeless Needs - Families with children |
| How was the Agency/Group/Organization consulted and what are | Agency attended the Community meeting on |
| the anticipated outcomes of the consultation or areas for improved | Tuesday November 19th, 2019 and provided input |
| coordination? | on service needs in the community. |

Table 2 - Agencies, groups, organizations who participated

Identify any Agency Types not consulted and provide rationale for not consulting

The Urban County contacted over 300 agencies as part of the outreach process for this Consolidated Plan. All applicable agencies and agency types were contacted.

Other local/regional/state/federal planning efforts considered when preparing the Plan

| Name of Plan | Lead Organization | How do the goals of your Strategic Plan overlap with the goals of each plan? |
|-------------------|--------------------------------|---|
| Continuum of Care | Commission to End Homelessness | Potential funding allocations to address homeless needs will complement the CoC Strategy. |
| | | |

Table 3 – Other local / regional / federal planning efforts

Describe cooperation and coordination with other public entities, including the State and any adjacent units of general local government, in the implementation of the Consolidated Plan (91.215(I))

The implementation of this Consolidated Plan will involve various agencies of County government, participating/metro cities, nonprofit organizations, and private industry. As part of the public outreach program for the Consolidated Plan, Housing & Community Development consulted over 300 agencies, groups, and organizations involved in the development of affordable housing, and/or provision of services to children, elderly persons, persons with disabilities, persons with HIV/AIDS and their families, and homeless persons.

Narrative (optional):

Refer to Appendix A for a complete outreach list, proof of publication, results of the Housing & Community Development Needs Survey, and summary of public comments received.

| Plan, the Urban County conducted six Community Workshops for residents and local housing and services providers. The Urban County also administered a Housing & Community Development Needs Survey. Community Meetings: The Urban County held six Community Meetings to solicit input on needs during the development of the Consolidated Plan. The meetings were held on the following days: Wednesday October 23^{ed}, 2019, El Modena Community Center Wednesday November 5th, 2019, City of Brea Senior Center Wednesday November 6th, 2019, City of Laguna Hills Community Center Thursday November 18th, 2019, Midway City Community Center Monday November 18th, 2019, Midway City Community Center Focus Group Meeting: The Urban County one Focus Group Meeting with acencies and organization that serve the needs of low- and moderate-income persons | Todus Group meeting: The Unan County one Pocks Shoup meeting with agencies and organization that serve the needs on now- and moderate-monte persons and those with special needs: Tuesday November 19th, 2019, Housing and Homeless Services Tuesday November 19th, 2019, Housing and Homeless Services Housing & Community Development Needs Survey: The survey was made available both on-line and in hand copy form. Outreach for the community meetings and the survey included: Notices posted on County's website (English and Spanish). Notices posted on County's website (English and Spanish). Postcards sent by U.S. Mail to 311 agencies informing them of the meetings and the survey. Internet survey links were displayed on meeting postcards (English and Spanish). Hard copies of the survey distributed to a number of local agencies for distribution to their clients. |
|---|--|
|---|--|

Attachment A

Public Hearing: A Public Hearing will be held on April 28, 2020

Public Review of Draft Documents: A 30-day public review was held from February 28 through March 29, 2020

Citizen Participation Outreach

| Sort Order | Mode of Outreach | Target of Outreach | Summary of | Summary of | Summary of comments not | url (If |
|------------|---------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|-------------|
| | | | response/attendance | comments received | accepted and reasons | applicable) |
| - | Community Meetings | Non-targeted/broad | A total of 26 residents and | A detailed summary of | All comments received were | |
| | | community | representatives from | comments received can | accepted. | |
| | | | various service agencies | be found in Appendix A. | | |
| | | Housing Professionals | attended the community | | | |
| | | and Service Providers | meetings. | | | |
| 2 | Housing & Community | Non-targeted/broad | A total of 36 survey | A detailed summary of | All comments received were | |
| | Development Needs | community | responses were collected. | the responses received | accepted. | |
| | Survey | | | are provided in | | |
| | | | | Appendix A. | | |

Table 4 – Citizen Participation Outreach

Needs Assessment

NA-05 Overview

Needs Assessment Overview

During the development of the Consolidated Plan, residents were asked to rank the relative importance of Housing & Community Development needs in a survey. Residents responded to the survey and identified the following topics as top-ranking needs in the Urban County of Orange:

- Housing for Large Families
- Affordable Rental Housing
- Senior Housing
- Homeless Shelters and Services
- Senior Activities
- Libraries

NA-10 Housing Needs Assessment - 24 CFR 91.205 (a,b,c)

Summary of Housing Needs

As defined by HUD in the Comprehensive Housing Affordability Strategy (CHAS) data, housing problems include:

- Units with physical defects (lacking complete kitchen or bathroom);
- Overcrowded conditions (housing units with more than one person per room);
- Housing cost burden (including utilities) exceeding 30 percent of gross income; and
- Severe housing cost burden (including utilities) exceeding 50 percent of gross income.

To dissect the housing problems, the following tables provide the following:

- Table 7 presents the number of households with one or more housing problems (inadequate housing, overcrowding, cost burden of 50 percent, or cost burden of 30 percent) by income and tenure.
- Table 8 summarizes the number of households with more than one or more <u>severe</u> housing problems by income and tenure. Severe housing problems are: inadequate housing; severe overcrowding (1.51 persons or more per room); and housing cost burden of 50 percent.
- Table 9 isolates those households with housing cost burden of over 30 percent (inclusive of those with cost burden of over 50 percent) by income and tenure.
- Table 10 further isolates those households with cost burden of over 50 percent.
- Table 11 presents overcrowding by household type.
- Table 12 is intended to show overcrowding for households with children. However, the American Community Survey provides no data for the Urban County.

However, due to the geographic boundary changes for the Urban County between 2009 (base year provided by HUD in eConPlanning) and 2015, the demographic shifts presented in Table 5 may be due more to boundary changes than actual decreases in population and households.

| Demographics | Base Year: 2009 | Most Recent Year: 2015 | % Change |
|---------------|-----------------|------------------------|----------|
| Population | 500,050 | 416,990 | -17% |
| Households | 184,320 | 151,410 | -18% |
| Median Income | \$73,738.00 | \$76,509.00 | 4% |

Table 5 - Housing Needs Assessment Demographics

Data Source: 2005-2009 ACS (Base Year), 2011-2015 ACS (Most Recent Year)

Number of Households Table

| | 0-30% HAMFI | >30-50% HAMFI | >50-80% HAMFI | >80-100% HAMFI | >100% HAMFI |
|--|----------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|----------------|
| Total Households | 20,410 | 18,670 | 25,050 | 13,600 | 73,710 |
| Small Family Households | 4,615 | 5,730 | 9,350 | 5,715 | 37,780 |
| Large Family Households | 1,549 | 1,680 | 2,590 | 1,100 | 7,850 |
| Household contains at least one person 62-74 years of age | 5,225 | 4,699 | 6,540 | 3,363 | 17,515 |
| Household contains at least one-person age 75 or older | 6,432 | 5,624 | 5,005 | 2,633 | 6,669 |
| Households with one or more children 6 years old or younger | 2,180 | 2,180 | 3,304 | 2,128 | 6,689 |

 Table 6 - Total Households Table

Data Source: 2011-2015 CHAS

Attachment A

Housing Needs Summary Tables

1. Housing Problems (Households with one of the listed needs)

| | | | Renter | | | | | Owner | | |
|-----------------------------|-----------|----------------|----------------|----------------------------------|--------------|-----------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|--------|
| | 0-30% AMI | >30-50% AMI | >50-80% AMI | >80-100% AMI | Total | 0-30% AMI | >30-50% AMI | >50-80% AMI | >80-100% AMI | Total |
| NUMBER OF HOUSEHOLDS | | | | | | | | | | |
| Substandard Housing - | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lacking complete plumbing | 435 | 385 | 190 | 80 | 1,090 | 94 | 55 | 84 | 35 | 268 |
| or kitchen facilities | | | | | | | | | | |
| Severely Overcrowded - With | | | | | | | | | | |
| >1.51 people per room (and | E 1 1 | 000 | | 0 | 100 | 00 | μ | 101 | 15 | 100 |
| complete kitchen and | 0 7 | 600 | 700 | 80 | 1,132 | 0. De | <u>,</u> | - 0- | 5 0 | 1231 |
| plumbing) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Overcrowded - With 1.01-1.5 | | | | | | | | | | |
| people per room (and none | 769 | 940 | 628 | 165 | 2,502 | 89 | 220 | 342 | 153 | 804 |
| of the above problems) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Housing cost burden greater | | | | | | | | | | |
| than 50% of income (and | 5,964 | 3,554 | 1,084 | 35 | 10,637 | 5,655 | 3,389 | 3,095 | 1,014 | 13,153 |
| none of the above problems) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Housing cost burden greater | | | | | | | | | | |
| than 30% of income (and | 487 | 2,265 | 5,130 | 1,690 | 9,572 | 1,280 | 2,310 | 3,730 | 2,220 | 9,540 |
| none of the above problems) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Zero/negative Income (and | 775 | U | C | U | 705 | 675 | C | C | U | R75 |
| none of the above problems) | 041 | D | > | D | 641 | 000 | D | þ | D | 20 |
| | | | Table 7 | Table 7 – Housing Problems Table | oblems Tabl∉ | | | | | |

Data Source: 2011-2015 CHAS

Page 18 of 151

Attachment A

| 2. | Housing Problems 2 (Households with one or more Severe Housing Problems: Lacks kitchen or complete plumbing, severe overcrowding, |
|-------|---|
| sever | re cost burden) |
| | |

| | | | Renter | | | | | Owner | | |
|---|-------|---------|------------------------------|-------------|--------|-------|---------|-----------------|-------------|--------|
| | %0E-0 | >30-50% | >50-80% | | Total | 0-30% | >30-50% | %08-0 5< | -80- | Total |
| | AMI | AMI | AMI | 100% AMI | | AMI | AMI | AMI | 100% AMI | |
| NUMBER OF HOUSEHOLDS | | | | | | | | | | |
| Having 1 or more of four housing problems | 7,694 | 5,178 | 2,185 | 380 | 15,437 | 5,920 | 3,735 | 3,630 | 1,260 | 14,545 |
| Having none of four housing problems | 1,582 | 3,105 | 7,735 | 4,444 | 16,866 | 3,794 | 6,655 | 11,490 | 7,540 | 29,479 |
| Household has negative income, but none of the other housing problems | 725 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 725 | 675 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 675 |
| | | Table | Table 8 – Housing Problems 2 | g Problems | 2 | | | | | |

Data Source: 2011-2015 CHAS

3. Cost Burden > 30%

| | | Ľ | Renter | | | | Owner | |
|-----------------------------|-----------|---------------------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|-----------|-------------------------|-------------|--------|
| | 0-30% AMI | 0-30% AMI >30-50% AMI >50-80% AMI | >50-80% AMI | Total | 0-30% AMI | >30-50% AMI >50-80% AMI | >50-80% AMI | Total |
| NUMBER OF HOUSEHOLDS | | | | | | | | |
| Small Related | 2,882 | 3,229 | 3,098 | 9,209 | 1,197 | 1,549 | 2,934 | 5,680 |
| Large Related | 980 | 879 | 684 | 2,543 | 433 | 630 | 686 | 1,749 |
| Elderly | 2,575 | 1,760 | 1,108 | 5,443 | 4,688 | 3,269 | 2,770 | 10,727 |
| Other | 1,463 | 1,289 | 1,739 | 4,491 | 852 | 487 | 200 | 2,039 |
| Total need by income | 7,900 | 7,157 | 6,629 | 21,686 | 7,170 | 5,935 | 2,090 | 20,195 |
| | | | Table 9 – Cost | Table 9 – Cost Burden > 30% | | | | |
| Data Source: 2011-2015 CHAS | I5 CHAS | | | | | | | |

4. Cost Burden > 50%

| | | R | Renter | | | | Owner | |
|----------------------|-----------|---------------------------------------|---------------|------------------------------|-----------|---------------------------------------|-------------|--------|
| | 0-30% AMI | 0-30% AMI >30-50% AMI >50-80% AMI | >50-80% AMI | Total | 0-30% AMI | 0-30% AMI >30-50% AMI >50-80% AMI | >50-80% AMI | Total |
| NUMBER OF HOUSEHOLDS | | | | | | | | |
| Small Related | 2,785 | 1,694 | 528 | 5,007 | 1,070 | 1,159 | 1,325 | 3,554 |
| Large Related | 880 | 169 | 105 | 1,154 | 374 | 435 | 257 | 1,066 |
| Elderly | 2,187 | 1,215 | 280 | 3,682 | 3,573 | 1,534 | 1,316 | 6,423 |
| Other | 1,443 | 868 | 245 | 2,587 | 828 | 413 | 270 | 1,511 |
| Total need by income | 7,295 | 3,977 | 1,158 | 12,430 | 5,845 | 3,541 | 3,168 | 12,554 |
| | | | Table 10 – Co | Table 10 – Cost Burden > 50% | | | | |

Data Source: 2011-2015 CHAS

5. Crowding (More than one person per room)

| | | | Renter | | | | | Owner | | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|----------------|----------------|---------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|-------|
| | 0-30% AMI | >30-50% AMI | >50-80% AMI | >80-100% AMI | Total | 0-30% AMI | >30-50% AMI | >50-80% AMI | >80-100% AMI | Total |
| NUMBER OF HOUSEHOLDS | - | | | - | | | | | | |
| Single family households | 1,153 | 1,064 | 608 | 180 | 3,005 | 148 | 193 | 302 | 128 | 771 |
| Multiple, unrelated family households | 158 | 170 | 295 | 49 | 672 | 30 | 75 | 161 | 68 | 334 |
| Other, non-family households | 4 | 55 | 40 | 35 | 134 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| Total need by income | 1,315 | 1,289 | 943 | 264 | 3,811 | 178 | 278 | 463 | 196 | 1,115 |
| | - | | Table 11 – C | Table 11 – Crowding Information – 1/2 | mation - 1/2 | | | | | |

Data Source: 2011-2015 CHAS

| | | Renter | nter | | | õ | Owner | |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|----------------|-------|-----------|---------|---------|-------|
| | 0-30% AMI | >30-50% AMI | >50-80% AMI | Total | 0-30% AMI | >30-50% | >50-80% | Total |
| Households with Children Present | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

Table 12 – Crowding Information – 2/2

| According to the 2013-2017 American Community Survey (ACS), approximately 24 percent of the Urban County's households were single-person |
|---|
| households. The majority of single person households in the Urban County were homeowners (60 percent), while 40 percent were renters. Furthermore, the |
| majority of the single-person owner-households in the Urban County were senior nomeowners (ou percent). However, a larger proportion of renter-occupied households were single-person households in comparison to owner-occupied households (31 percent of renter-households versus 25 percent of owner- |
| households). ACS data indicates that approximately 22 percent of the Urban County's population living alone had incomes below the poverty level. |
| Estimate the number and type of families in need of housing assistance who are disabled or victims of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault and stalking. |
| Persons with Disabilities: According to the 2013-2017 ACS, ten percent of the Urban County's population was affected by one or more disabilities. Among persons living with disabilities in the Urban County, ambulatory disabilities were the most prevalent (52 percent), followed by independent living disabilities and hearing difficulties (37 and 35 percent each). |
| As reported by the State Department of Developmental Services, as of December 2019, approximately 17,432 Orange County residents with developmental disabilities were being assisted by the Regional Center of Orange County. Most of these individuals were residing in a private home with their parent or guardian. |
| <u>Domestic Violence</u> : Human Options is an Irvine based non-profit agency that provides therapy programs, counseling, case management, legal advocacy, and prevention education to victims of domestic violence. During FY 2018, Human Options provided 4,867 individuals with crisis intervention and resources for safety, 498 women and children with safe haven and life-changing services (Emergency and Transitional Housing), and 1,269 individuals with counseling, legal advocacy, and and prevention education. |
| What are the most common housing problems? |
| As mentioned previously, the most common housing problem in the Urban County is housing cost burden. Among the Urban County's renter-households, about 79 percent of all housing problems were related to housing cost burden. Furthermore, approximately 92 percent of housing problems documented among the Urban County's owner-households were related to cost burden. In comparison, units with physical defects, or substandard units, were the least common housing problem for the Urban County. |
| Are any populations/household types more affected than others by these problems? |
| Overall, owner-households are more impacted by housing cost burden issues than renter-households. Approximately 53 percent of households affected by |

| Specify particular housing characteristics that have been linked with instability and an increased risk of homelessness | linked with instability and an inc | creased risk of homelessness | |
|--|--|---|--|
| We have seen an increased risk of homelessness for persons who are residing in housing where they have doubled or tripled up with another household for economic reasons. There are a significant number of households living in hotels/motels that are rented at a weekly or monthly rate due to not being able to secure a lease within rental housing. Households living in hotels/motels face a high level of rent burden both within the cost of the rates as well as increased costs for being able to get food. In both of these housing characteristics we see a large number of households who have little or no tenant protections. These are all factors that put households living in these situations at an increased risk of homelessness. | s who are residing in housing where olds living in hotels/motels that are r els face a high level of rent burden t s we see a large number of househ risk of homelessness. | e they have doubled or tripled up rented at a weekly or monthly rate both within the cost of the rates as lolds who have little or no tenant p | with another household for due to not being able to secure s well as increased costs for irotections. These are all factors |
| Discussion See discussions above. | | | |
| ionately Greater Need | l: Housing Problems – 91.205 (b)(2) |)(2) | |
| Assess the need of any racial or ethnic group that has disproportionately greater need in comparison to the needs of that category of need as a whole. | sproportionately greater need in c | comparison to the needs of that | t category of need as a whole. |
| | | | |
| A disproportionate housing need refers to any group that has a housing nee following tables identify the extent of housing problems by income and race. | that has a housing need, which is at least 10 percentage points higher than the total population. The is by income and race. | 0 percentage points higher than th | he total population. The |
| 0%-30% of Area Median Income | | | |
| Housing Problems | Has one or more of four housing problems | Has none of the four housing problems | Household has no/negative income, but none of the other housing problems |
| Jurisdiction as a whole | 15,379 | 3,611 | 1,400 |
| White | 8,770 | 2,322 | 838 |
| Black / African American | 135 | 24 | 0 |
| Asian | 2,644 | 680 | 289 |
| American Indian, Alaska Native | 69 | 0 | 10 |
| Pacific Islander | 25 | 0 | 0 |
| Hispanic | 3,480 | 478 | 244 |
| Table 1 | Table 13 - Disproportionally Greater Need 0 - 30% AMI | 0 - 30% AMI | |

Attachment A

Table 13 - Disproportionally Greater Need 0 - 30% AMI

Page 23 of 151

2011-2015 CHAS Data Source:

*The four housing problems are: 1. Lacks complete kitchen facilities, 2. Lacks complete plumbing facilities, 3. More than one person per room, 4.Cost Burden greater than 30%

30%-50% of Area Median Income

| Housing Problems | Has one or more of four housing problems | Has none of the four housing problems | Household has no/negative income, but none of the other housing problems |
|--------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Jurisdiction as a whole | 13,469 | 5,192 | 0 |
| White | 7,359 | 3,829 | 0 |
| Black / African American | 230 | 35 | 0 |
| Asian | 2,313 | 633 | 0 |
| American Indian, Alaska Native | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pacific Islander | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| Hispanic | 3,350 | 577 | 0 |
| Table | Table 14 - Disproportionally Greater Need 30 - 50% AMI | 30 - 50% AMI | |

2011-2015 CHAS Data Source:

2 2 2

*The four housing problems are: 1. Lacks complete kitchen facilities, 2. Lacks complete plumbing facilities, 3. More than one person per room, 4.Cost Burden greater than 30%

50%-80% of Area Median Income

| Housing Problems | Has one or more of four housing problems | Has none of the four housing problems | Household has no/negative income, but none of the other housing problems |
|--------------------------------|---|--|--|
| Jurisdiction as a whole | 14,690 | 10,344 | 0 |
| White | 8,950 | 6,955 | 0 |
| Black / African American | 365 | 110 | 0 |
| Asian | 2,344 | 1,495 | 0 |
| American Indian, Alaska Native | 69 | 10 | 0 |
| Pacific Islander | 24 | 22 | 0 |

| 1 | | | |
|---|--|----------|--|
| | Household has no/negative income, but none of the other housing problems | 0 | |
| | Has none of the four housing problems | 1,543 | 0 - 80% AMI |
| | Has one or more of four housing problems | 2,698 | Table 15 - Disproportionally Greater Need 50 - 80% AMI |
| | Housing Problems | Hispanic | Table 15 |

2011-2015 CHAS Data Source:

*The four housing problems are: 1. Lacks complete kitchen facilities, 2. Lacks complete plumbing facilities, 3. More than one person per room, 4. Cost Burden greater than 30%

80%-100% of Area Median Income

| Housing Problems | Has one or more of four housing problems | Has none of the four housing problems | Household has no/negative income, but none of the other housing problems |
|--------------------------------|---|--|--|
| Jurisdiction as a whole | 2,540 | 8,064 | 0 |
| White | 3,475 | 5,142 | 0 |
| Black / African American | 130 | 209 | 0 |
| Asian | 663 | 1,328 | 0 |
| American Indian, Alaska Native | 10 | 14 | 0 |
| Pacific Islander | 10 | 10 | 0 |
| Hispanic | 1,073 | 1,285 | 0 |
| Table 16. | Table 16 - Disproportionally Greater Need 80 - 100% AMI | 0 - 100% AMI | |

2011-2015 CHAS Data Source:

ו able וס - Uisproportionaliy Greater Need אי

*The four housing problems are:

1. Lacks complete kitchen facilities, 2. Lacks complete plumbing facilities, 3. More than one person per room, 4. Cost Burden greater than 30%

Discussion

disproportionately impacted by one or more housing problems. Approximately 87 percent of American Indian/Alaska Native households (earning up to 30 percent Among households earning up to 30 percent AMI, American Indian/Alaska Native and Pacific Islander households were the racial/ethnic groups to be AMI) and 100 percent of Pacific Islander households (earning up to 30 percent AMI) in the Urban County of Orange experienced a housing problem.

problems.100 percent of Pacific Islander households (earning between 31 percent and 50 percent of AMI) suffered from at least one housing problem in the Urban County of Orange, while only 66 percent of white households at this same income level experienced housing problems. However, because the Urban County is For households earning between 31 percent and 50 percent of AMI, again Pacific Islander households appeared to be disproportionately affected by housing

home to relatively few Pacific Islander households, the data for this group may not be as reliable, even though all the households identified have a housing problem.

There were no disproportionate housing needs (by race/ethnicity) documented for households earning more than 50 percent of AMI.

NA-20 Disproportionately Greater Need: Severe Housing Problems – 91.205 (b)(2)

Assess the need of any racial or ethnic group that has disproportionately greater need in comparison to the needs of that category of need as a whole.

Introduction

following tables identify the extent of severe housing problems by income and race. Severe housing problems include: inadequate housing; severe overcrowding A disproportionate housing need refers to any group that has a housing need, which is at least 10 percentage points higher than the total population. The (1.51 persons or more per room); and housing cost burden of 50 percent.

0%-30% of Area Median Income

| Severe Housing Problems* | Has one or more of four housing problems | Has none of the four housing problems | Household has no/negative income, but none of the other housing problems |
|--------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Jurisdiction as a whole | 13,614 | 5,376 | 1,400 |
| White | 7,664 | 3,442 | 838 |
| Black / African American | 130 | 28 | 0 |
| Asian | 2,249 | 1,075 | 289 |
| American Indian, Alaska Native | 35 | 34 | 10 |
| Pacific Islander | 25 | 0 | 0 |
| Hispanic | 3,284 | 694 | 244 |
| Table | Table 17 – Severe Housing Problems 0 - 30% AMI | - 30% AMI | |

Data Source: 2011-2015 CHAS

*The four severe housing problems are:

1. Lacks complete kitchen facilities, 2. Lacks complete plumbing facilities, 3. More than 1.5 persons per room, 4. Cost Burden over 50%

30%-50% of Area Median Income

| Severe Housing Problems* | Has one or more of four housing problems | Has none of the four housing problems | Household has no/negative income, but none of the other |
|--------------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|---|
| Jurisdiction as a whole | 8,913 | 6,760 | housing problems |
| White | 4,703 | 6,490 | 0 |
| Black / African American | 104 | 160 | 0 |
| Asian | 1,647 | 1,299 | 0 |
| American Indian, Alaska Native | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pacific Islander | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| Hispanic | 2,244 | 1,673 | 0 |
| Table | Table 18 – Severe Housing Problems 30 - 50% AMI | - 50% AMI | |

2011-2015 CHAS Data Source:

*The four severe housing problems are: 1. Lacks complete kitchen facilities, 2. Lacks complete plumbing facilities, 3. More than 1.5 persons per room, 4.Cost Burden over 50%

50%-80% of Area Median Income

| Severe Housing Problems* | Has one or more of four housing problems | Has none of the four housing problems | Household has no/negative income, but none of the other housing problems |
|--------------------------------|---|--|--|
| Jurisdiction as a whole | 5,815 | 19,225 | 0 |
| White | 3,319 | 12,595 | 0 |
| Black / African American | 89 | 375 | 0 |
| Asian | 925 | 2,915 | 0 |
| American Indian, Alaska Native | 39 | 40 | 0 |
| Pacific Islander | 4 | 75 | 0 |
| Hispanic | 1,372 | 2,887 | 0 |
| | T-L-1 10 0 | DOD AMI | |

Iable 19 – Severe Housing Problems 50 - 80% AMI

2011-2015 CHAS

Data Source:

*The four severe housing problems are: 1. Lacks complete kitchen facilities, 2. Lacks complete plumbing facilities, 3. More than 1.5 persons per room, 4. Cost Burden over 50%

80%-100% of Area Median Income

| Severe Housing Problems* | Has one or more of four housing problems | Has none of the four housing problems | Household has no/negative income, but none of the other housing problems |
|--------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Jurisdiction as a whole | 1,640 | 11,984 | 0 |
| White | 696 | 7,654 | 0 |
| Black / African American | 4 | 329 | 0 |
| Asian | 308 | 1,682 | 0 |
| American Indian, Alaska Native | 0 | 24 | 0 |
| Pacific Islander | 0 | 20 | 0 |
| Hispanic | 309 | 2,043 | 0 |
| Table 2 | Table 20 – Severe Housing Problems 80 - 100% AMI | 100% AMI | T |

Data Source: 2011-2015 CHAS

*The four severe housing problems are:

1. Lacks complete kitchen facilities, 2. Lacks complete plumbing facilities, 3. More than 1.5 persons per room, 4. Cost Burden over 50%

Discussion

cost burden, compared to just 48 percent of all households at this income level. Approximately 50 percent of American Indian/Alaska Native households earning percent of all households at this income level. However, because the Urban County is home to relatively few Pacific Islander households, the data for this group American households and 100 percent of Pacific Islander households earning less than 30 percent AMI experienced a severe housing problem, compared to 67 may not be as reliable. When looking at households earning between 31-50 percent of AMI, 57 percent of Hispanic households experienced a severe housing Hispanic households across all income levels in the Urban County disproportionately experienced severe housing problems. About 82 percent of Black/African between 81-100 percent of AMI, about 15 percent of Asian households experienced at least one severe housing problem compared to only 12 percent of all between 51-80 percent AMI experienced a severe housing problem, compared to 23 percent of all households at this income level. For households earning households at this income level

NA-25 Disproportionately Greater Need: Housing Cost Burdens – 91.205 (b)(2)

Assess the need of any racial or ethnic group that has disproportionately greater need in comparison to the needs of that category of need as a whole.

Introduction:

A disproportionate housing need refers to any group that has a housing need which is at least 10 percentage points higher than the total population. The following tables identify the extent of housing cost burden by race.

Housing Cost Burden

| Housing Cost Burden | <=30% | 30-50% | >50% | No / negative income (not computed) |
|--------------------------------|----------------------|---|--------|--|
| Jurisdiction as a whole | 91,340 | 30,329 | 28,193 | 1,565 |
| White | 62,815 | 19,195 | 17,585 | 853 |
| Black / African American | 1,514 | 560 | 319 | 0 |
| Asian | 13,254 | 4,399 | 4,603 | 354 |
| American Indian, Alaska Native | 139 | 94 | 39 | 10 |
| Pacific Islander | 214 | 39 | 40 | 0 |
| Hispanic | 11,604 | 5,433 | 5,194 | 324 |
| | Table 21 _ Greater N | Table 21 _ Greater Need' Housing Cost Burdens AMI | IMI | |

Data Source: 2011-2015 CHAS

Table 21 – Greater Need: Housing Cost Burdens AMI

Discussion:

Overall, 39 percent of the households in the Urban County had a housing cost burden (spent more than 30 percent of gross household income on housing). About Indian/Alaska Native households were the most likely to experience a housing cost burden (47 percent) while White households were the least likely (36 percent). 19 percent of households experienced a severe housing cost burden (spent more than 50 percent of gross household income on housing). American No households were disproportionately affected by severe housing cost burden.

NA-30 Disproportionately Greater Need: Discussion – 91.205(b)(2)

Are there any Income categories in which a racial or ethnic group has disproportionately greater need than the needs of that income category as a whole?

Please see discussions provided under specific needs by income group presented earlier.

If they have needs not identified above, what are those needs?

Housing needs of low- and moderate-income minority households have been previously identified.

Are any of those racial or ethnic groups located in specific areas or neighborhoods in your community?

Overall, the geographic concentrations of the Urban Orange County's minority populations generally overlap with the concentrations of low- and moderate-income residents. Concentrations of Hispanics can be found in the cities of Stanton and Placentia, as well as the unincorporated area of the County just east of Irvine. Small portions of Brea, Laguna Hills and Laguna Woods also have concentrations of minority populations.

NA-35 Public Housing – 91.205(b)

Introduction

Voucher Program for County residents. OCHA does not currently own or operate any public housing units and there are no public housing projects located within The Orange County Housing Authority (OCHA) is a division within Orange County Housing & Community Development that administers the Housing Choice the Urban County.

Totals in Use

| | | | | Program Type | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|-------------|-------|---------|--------------|-------|----------|--|----------------------------------|---------------|
| | Certificate | -boM | Public | Vouchers | | | | | |
| | | Rehab | Housing | Total | | Tenant - | Speci | Special Purpose Voucher | Icher |
| | | | | | based | based | Veterans Affairs Supportive Housing | Family Unification Program | Disabled * |
| # of unit's vouchers in use | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10,209 | 296 | 9,048 | 589 | 221 | 55 |
| | | | | | • | | | | |

Table 22 - Public Housing by Program Type

*includes Non-Elderly Disabled, Mainstream One-Year, Mainstream Five-year, and Nursing Home Transition

Data Source: (Orange County Housing Authority – February 2020)

Characteristics of Residents

| | | | Pro | Program Type | | | | |
|---|-------------|--------------|-----------------|---|-----------------|----------|---|----------------------------------|
| | Certificate | -poM | Public | Vouchers | | | | |
| | | Rehab | Housing | Total | Project - | Tenant - | Special Purpose Voucher | ose Voucher |
| | | | | | based | based | Veterans Affairs Supportive Housing | Family Unification Program |
| Average Annual Income | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35,125 | 15,196 | 19,929 | 21,787 | 21,605 |
| Average length of stay | 0 | 0 | 0 | ∞ | œ | 80 | ø | ∞ |
| Average Household size | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | - | 2 | 2 | с |
| # Homeless at admission | 0 | 0 | 0 | 225 | 46 | 179 | 589 | 39 |
| # of Elderly Program Participants (>62) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5,604 | 245 | 5,359 | 278 | 10 |
| # of Disabled Families | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,801 | 122 | 3,679 | 193 | 19 |
| # of Families requesting accessibility features | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| # of HIV/AIDS program participants | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| # of DV victims | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Table 23 | - Characteri | stics of Public | - Characteristics of Public Housing Residents by Program Type | lents by Progra | m Type | | |

Data Source: PIC (PIH Information Center)

Race of Residents

| | | | | Program Type | | | | | |
|--|------------------|----------------|------------------|---|-----------------|----------|--|----------------------------------|---------------|
| Race | Certificate | -poM | Public | Vouchers | | | | | |
| | | Rehab | Housing | Total | Project - | Tenant - | Speci | Special Purpose Voucher | Icher |
| | | | | | based | based | Veterans Affairs Supportive Housing | Family Unification Program | Disabled * |
| White | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4,350 | 0 | 4,350 | 139 | 182 | 9 |
| Black/African American | 0 | 0 | 0 | 525 | 0 | 525 | 39 | 10 | 2 |
| Asian | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4,059 | 0 | 4,059 | 4 | 15 | 2 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native | 0 | 0 | 0 | 71 | 0 | 71 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| Pacific Islander | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 27 | ~ | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| *includes Non-Elderly Disabled, Mainstream One-Year, Mainstream Five-year, and Nursing Home Transition | ainstream One-Ye | ar, Mainstream | i Five-year, and | d Nursing Home Tr | ansition | | | | |
| | | Tahla 24 - | Para of Dubli | Table 24 – Pare of Dublic Housing Besidents by Drogram Type | ante hv Drogran | Tune | | | |

Table 24 – Race of Public Housing Residents by Program Type

PIC (PIH Information Center) Data Source:

Ethnicity of Residents

| | | | | Program Type | | | | | |
|--|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|--|-----------------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------|
| Ethnicity | Certificate | Mod- | Public | Vouchers | | | | | |
| | | Rehab | Housing | Total | Project - | Tenant - | Speci | Special Purpose Voucher | icher |
| | | | | | based | based | Veterans Affairs Supportive | Family Unification Program | Disabled * |
| | | | | | | | Housing | | |
| Hispanic | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,450 | 42 | 1,408 | 108 | 111 | 7 |
| Not Hispanic | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7,877 | 253 | 7,624 | 481 | 92 | 22 |
| *includes Non-Elderly Disabled, Mainstream One-Year, Mainstream Five-year, and Nursing Home Transition | ainstream One-Y | ear, Mainstrean | n Five-year, and | A Nursing Home Tr | ansition | | | | |
| | | Table 25 – Et | thnicity of Pul | 25 – Ethnicity of Public Housing Residents by Program Type | idents by Progr | am Type | | | |
| | | | • |) | • | | | | |

5 PIC (PIH Information Center) Data Source: Section 504 Needs Assessment: Describe the needs of public housing tenants and applicants on the waiting list for accessible units:

OCHA does not currently own or operate any public housing units and there are no public housing projects located within the Orange Urban County.

Most immediate needs of residents of Public Housing and Housing Choice voucher holders

Overall, the need for affordable housing in the Urban County is high, based on the extent of housing problems illustrated by the CHAS data presented earlier and comments received at the Community meetings. According to the 2013-2017 ACS, nine percent of the County's seniors and 17 percent of those with a disability were living at or below poverty level.

While the disabled population is diverse, persons with mobility impairment face many of the same challenges faced by the elderly in their search for affordable rental housing. Because of their physical limitations, this population needs affordable housing that is located near public transportation, shopping, and medical facilities. In addition to affordable housing, the service needs of Housing Choice voucher holders often include: affordable childcare and after-school recreation and enrichment programs and affordable health care, among others.

How do these needs compare to the housing needs of the population at large?

Housing needs of low- and moderate-income households in the Urban County generally reflect the housing needs in the region (refer to discussions above).

Discussion

See discussions above.

| ∢ |
|----------|
| <u> </u> |
| |
| Φ |
| 3 |
| = |
| <u> </u> |
| C |
| g |
| ± |
| Ā |
| |

NA-40 Homeless Needs Assessment – 91.205(c)

Introduction:

housing market and economic conditions have also resulted in some families facing homelessness due to foreclosures, unemployment, and/or underemployment. County of Orange recognizes that fully engaging in efforts to end homelessness requires a deeply involved community and accurate information. The 2019 Point On any given night in Orange County, approximately 6,860 people are homeless. Homeless people in Orange County are diverse: they are young and old, men Factors contributing to the rise in homelessness include a lack of housing affordable to low- and moderate-income persons, increases in the number of persons whose income falls below the poverty level, reductions in subsidies to the poor, drug/alcohol abuse, and the de-institutionalization of the mentally ill. The recent and women, chronic and newly homeless, alone or in families. Despite their differences, each homeless person is in need of safe and permanent housing. The in Time Count is a result of the commitment of County officials, service providers, volunteers, 2110C staff and leadership, and homeless people themselves.

Homeless Needs Assessment

| | Table | Table 26 - Homeless Needs Assessment | eds Assessment | | | |
|--|---------------------------|---|--------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Population | Estimate the experiencing | Estimate the # of persons xperiencing homelessness | Estimate the # experiencing | Estimate the # becoming | Estimate the # exiting | Estimate the # of days persons |
| | on a giv | on a given night | homelessness | homeless | homelessness | experience |
| | | | each year | each year | each year | homelessness |
| | Sheltered | Unsheltered | | | | |
| Persons in Households with Adult(s) and | 1,154 | 398 | 2,761 | 1,209 | 1036 | 180 |
| Child(ren) | | | | | | |
| Persons in Households with Only Children | 11 | 3 | 86 | 78 | 6 | 09 |
| Persons in Households with Only Adults | 1,734 | 3,562 | 9,420 | 4,124 | 3,538 | 437 |
| Chronically Homeless Individuals | 559 | 1,932 | 3,870 | 1,379 | 1184 | 815 |
| Chronically Homeless Families | 53 | 18 | 127 | 26 | 48 | 500 |
| Veterans | 66 | 212 | 553 | 242 | 329 | 120 |
| Unaccompanied Child | 11 | 3 | 98 | 84 | 6 | 60 |
| Persons with HIV | 39 | 67 | 202 | 96 | 84 | 180 |

Data Source: 20 Comments:

2019 Point in Time Count

Indicate if the homeless population is:

Has No Rural Homeless

experience homelessness," describe these categories for each homeless population type (including chronically homeless individuals and families, If data is not available for the categories "number of persons becoming and exiting homelessness each year," and "number of days that person's families with children, veterans and their families, and unaccompanied youth):

The estimates about are based on the methodology developed by the Continuum of Care using data collected from the 2019 Point in Time Homeless Count.

Nature and Extent of Homelessness: (Optional)

Race and ethnicity data is not available for the sheltered verses unsheltered population.

| Race: | Sheltered: | Unsheltered (optional) |
|------------|------------|------------------------|
| Ethnicity: | Sheltered: | Unsheltered (optional) |

Estimate the number and type of families in need of housing assistance for families with children and the families of veterans.

<u>Families with Children</u>: About 23 percent of the County's homeless population (1,552 persons) was comprised of families with children in 2019. Of these households with children, there were 114 two-parent families, 352 one-parent families, 278 single mother families, and 24 single father families.

<u>Veterans:</u> In 2019, there were 311 homeless veterans in Orange County. Nearly 32 percent of homeless veterans are sheltered (including in transitional housing).

Describe the Nature and Extent of Homelessness by Racial and Ethnic Group.

Demographically, homelessness in Orange County is generally comparable to national averages. Roughly 36 percent of homeless individuals identified themselves as Hispanic/Latino; the majority of Hispanics did not identify a corresponding race (e.g. Hispanic Black or Hispanic White). The majority of homeless people in the County identify as Black or White. Approximately 73 percent of homeless persons identified themselves as White/Caucasian, 11 percent as Black/African American and eight percent as multiracial. Other races accounted for almost eight percent of the County's homeless population. There are slightly more Hispanics in Orange County's unsheltered homeless population than nationally (36 percent versus 24 percent) but Orange County overall is also 34 percent Hispanic, compared to 18 percent (for the entire U.S. population).

Describe the Nature and Extent of Unsheltered and Sheltered Homelessness.

According to the 2019 Orange County Homeless Count and Survey Report, of the 6,860 homeless persons counted in Orange County, approximately 42 percent are sheltered, and 58 percent are unsheltered. These numbers generally reflect previous year counts except the 2013 count, which was reversed. As is the case across the Country, the majority of homeless people live in adult only households. Those that do live with a minor child are almost exclusively living in a sheltered situation – based on the HUD definition, there are virtually no unsheltered children in Orange County on any given day.

Information about sheltered homeless persons is extracted from the HMIS, while subpopulation information about unsheltered persons is derived from the Street Count surveys. The majority of the unsheltered homeless are between the ages of 25-39 years and the sheltered homeless are majority under the age of 18. HUD is placing a policy priority on ending youth homelessness and is particularly interested in gathering data on the numbers of "transition age youth" (those age 18 to 24) who are homeless. In 2019, about six percent of the unsheltered homeless population in Orange County was between the ages of 18 to 24. Approximately 71 percent of the unsheltered homeless are male, 28 percent are female, and the remaining one percent are either unknown or transgendered.

Discussion:

See discussions above.

NA-45 Non-Homeless Special Needs Assessment - 91.205 (b,d)

Introduction:

Certain households, because of their special characteristics and needs, may require special accommodations and may have difficulty finding housing due to their special needs. Special needs groups include the elderly, persons with disabilities, persons with HIV/AIDS, female-headed households, large households, and homeless persons and persons at-risk of homelessness.

Describe the characteristics of special needs populations in your community:

<u>Elderly</u>: According to the 2013-2017 ACS, nearly 13 percent of the population in the Urban County were 65 years and over. Nearly one-quarter (24 percent) of all Urban County households were headed by householders 65 years and over, the majority of which were owner-occupied (83 percent).

<u>Persons with Disabilities</u>: According to the 2013-2017 ACS, ten percent of the Urban County's population is affected by one or more disabilities. Among persons living with disabilities in the Urban County, ambulatory disabilities were the most prevalent (52 percent), followed by independent living disabilities and hearing difficulties (37 and 35 percent each).

<u>Large Households</u>: Large households are those with five or more members. According to the 2013-2017 ACS, approximately 13 percent of the households in the Urban County were large households. The majority of large households in the Urban County were owner-occupied households (67 percent).

<u>Single-Parent Households</u>: As of 2017, an estimated 15 percent of households in the Urban County were headed by single parents; the large majority of which were headed by females (70 percent).

<u>Persons with Alcohol/Substance Abuse Addictions</u>: ADEPT, the lead County-level prevention program for alcohol and other drug-related problems in Orange County, conducted a telephone survey of Orange County adults in 2012. The survey found that about one-third of Orange County's past-30-day drinkers (33 percent) reported at least one binge drinking episode in the past month. Compared to state and national survey results, Orange County residents generally have similar or even lower rates of prescription drug abuse and illicit drug use. Alcohol is by far the most frequently used substance among Orange County adolescents. Prescription and over-the-counter drug abuse is also a problem among youth at the local level, with pain killers (Vicodin, OxyContin) and cough/cold medicines being the most commonly abused drugs in these categories.

<u>Veterans</u>: Orange County currently has the third highest number of military veterans in the State, with an estimated veteran population of 115,000. For Orange County, veterans aged 20 to 24 are about three times as likely to be unemployed as their civilian counterparts and generally face financial hindrances to attaining education enabling career growth.

What are the housing and supportive service needs of these populations and how are these needs determined?

<u>Elderly</u>: Comments received during the community outreach process, along with prior studies of senior service needs in the County, indicate that one of the greatest needs for seniors is affordable housing, especially in South Orange County. Transportation is also one of the most common needs identified by older people. The most often cited reason seniors and their caregivers fail to access available services is lack of awareness that such services exist. Marketing of services is an important component to the reduction of gaps in service delivery, but frequently does not occur because under-funded providers are hard pressed to accommodate their current client base.

<u>Persons with Disabilities</u>: Without prompt action by the County of Orange, local cities and nonprofit agencies, too many adults with developmental disabilities will remain dependent upon aging parents. The needs of people with disabilities mirror those of seniors. Seniors and adults with developmental disabilities both need safe affordable housing options, universal design accommodations, readily accessible, reliable transportation and social support services.

<u>Large Households</u>: Overcrowding is a critical issue in the community. Many families are forced to live in a single home because of high housing costs. Many residents in the Urban County have completed illegal (unpermitted) additions or expansions to their housing units and they lack the financial means and knowledge to correct them, once cited by code enforcement staff.

<u>Single Parent Households</u>: Low cost childcare was a need specifically identified by Orange County residents attending the Community Workshops. While the need for affordable childcare was expressed by two-parent and one-parent households alike, this need may be more acute for one-parent households who must single-handedly balance their job and role as primary caregiver.

<u>Victims of Domestic Violence</u>: Victims of domestic violence are typically in need of assistance with childcare and early education programs. In addition, this particular group may also have a need for workforce development services, health services, educational programs, and mental health care and counseling.

<u>Persons with Alcohol/Substance Abuse Addictions</u>: Drug and alcohol abuse is often cited as an issue impacting many homeless persons, especially those who are chronically homeless. Rehabilitation services and stable housing options are two important needs for persons with drug and alcohol addictions.

<u>Veterans</u>: According to the 2020 Orange County Workforce Indicators Report, unemployment among military veterans, particularly those under the age of 30, is prevalent throughout Orange County. Orange County currently has the third highest number of military veterans in the State, with an estimated veteran population of 133,000. For Orange County, veterans aged 20 to 24 are about three times as likely to be unemployed as their civilian counterparts and generally face financial hindrances to attaining education enabling career growth.

Discuss the size and characteristics of the population with HIV/AIDS and their families within the Eligible Metropolitan Statistical Area:

Since reporting began in 1981, 13,305 persons have been reported as being infected with HIV or AIDS in Orange County, according to the Orange County Health Care Agency. At the end of 2018, there were 6,369 persons living with HIV or AIDS in the County. In addition to the 6,369 persons known to be living with HIV or AIDS, there are an

estimated 893 who are unaware of their HIV/AIDS status. Men continue to be disproportionally impacted by HIV disease, with approximately 87 percent of the persons living with HIV being men. Regarding race/ethnicity of those impacted, 48 percent were Hispanic, 36 percent were White, and 8 percent were Asian.

Discussion:

See discussions above.

NA-50 Non-Housing Needs – 91.215 (f)

Describe the jurisdiction's need for Public Facilities:

The County has identified the following high priority Housing & Community Development needs for the use of CDBG funds during Fiscal Years 2020-2024:

- Senior Centers
- Homeless Facilities
- Neighborhood Facilities

How were these needs determined?

The County conducted a Housing & Community Development Needs Survey and held a series of five Community meetings, as well as a Focus Group Meeting, to solicit input on needs during the development of the Consolidated Plan, as described in the Citizen Participation Section.

Describe the jurisdiction's need for Public Improvements:

The County has identified the following high priority Housing & Community Development needs for the use of CDBG funds during Fiscal Years 2020-2024:

- Water/Sewer Improvements
- Street Improvements
- Sidewalk Improvements

How were these needs determined?

The County conducted a Housing & Community Development Needs Survey and held a series of five Community meetings, as well as a Focus Group Meeting, to solicit input on needs during the development of the Consolidated Plan, as described in the Citizen Participation Section.

Describe the jurisdiction's need for Public Services:

The County has identified the following high priority Housing & Community Development needs for the use of CDBG funds during Fiscal Years 2020-2024:

- Public services (especially providing essential services and case management for homeless and those at risk for homelessness)
- Senior services (only 15 percent of CDBG funds may be used toward public services)
- Operating costs of homeless/AIDS patients programs.

How were these needs determined?

The County conducted a Housing & Community Development Needs Survey and held a series of five Community meetings, as well as a Focus Group Meeting, to solicit input on needs during the development of the Consolidated Plan, as described in the Citizen Participation Section.

MA-05 Overview

Housing Market Analysis Overview:

According to the California Employment Development Department, the unemployment rate in Orange County was 2.4 percent in December 2019, down from a revised 2.5 percent in November 2019, and below the year-ago estimate of 2.7 percent. This compares with an unadjusted unemployment rate of 3.7 percent for California and 3.4 percent for the nation during the same period.

According to CoreLogic Home Sale Activity, the median home sale price in Orange County in December 2019 was \$733,500 with 3,127 homes being sold since December 2018 when the home sale price was \$710,000. This represents a three percent increase in home sale prices in the course of twelve months.

MA-10 Number of Housing Units – 91.210(a)&(b)(2)

Introduction

The Urban Orange County had approximately 163,027 housing units in 2015. Overall, the housing stock was comprised of about 69 percent single-family units (detached and attached), 30 percent multi-family units, and two percent mobile homes. Approximately 68 percent of the housing units were owner-occupied, and 32 percent were renter-occupied as of 2015. The majority of the housing in the Urban Orange County was built more than 40 years ago, with approximately 68 percent of units built prior to 1979. Given their age, some of the pre-1980 units may require substantial rehabilitation and improvements.

All residential properties by number of units

| Property Type | Number | % |
|----------------------------------|---------|------|
| 1-unit detached structure | 90,950 | 56% |
| 1-unit, attached structure | 20,950 | 13% |
| 2-4 units | 12,474 | 8% |
| 5-19 units | 19,704 | 12% |
| 20 or more units | 15,508 | 10% |
| Mobile Home, boat, RV, van, etc. | 3,441 | 2% |
| Total | 163,027 | 100% |

Data Source: 2011-2015 ACS

Table 27 – Residential Properties by Unit Number

Unit Size by Tenure

| | Owners | Owners | | ; |
|--------------------|---------|--------|--------|------|
| | Number | % | Number | % |
| No bedroom | 297 | 0% | 1,769 | 4% |
| 1 bedroom | 3,404 | 3% | 12,340 | 25% |
| 2 bedrooms | 22,425 | 22% | 20,310 | 42% |
| 3 or more bedrooms | 76,563 | 75% | 14,303 | 29% |
| Total | 102,689 | 100% | 48,722 | 100% |

Table 28 – Unit Size by Tenure

Data Source: 2011-2015 ACS

Describe the number and targeting (income level/type of family served) of units assisted with federal, state,

and local programs.

<u>Brea:</u> The City has 639 rent-restricted units. In addition, the City's inclusionary housing ordinance has resulted in over 140 affordable homeownership units.

<u>Cypress:</u> The City has 299 affordable units. Three projects—Cypress Park Community, Cypress Sunrise and Tara Village Family Apartments—utilized redevelopment funding. Cypress Sunrise and Tara Village were assisted with bonds. The remaining two projects—Cypress Pointe and Sumner Place—received density bonuses.

Dana Point: The City has 24 units of affordable housing. Orange County Community Housing Corporation developed the Domingo/Doheny Park Road project.

La Palma: The City has 368 affordable units. The Nova La Palma Apartments conversion was accomplished with revenue bond financing. Housing Choice Vouchers are being used for Camden Place Senior Apartments. The remaining four affordable projects—Montecito Village, Kathy Drive Homes, Seasons La Palma and Tapestry Walk— utilized project covenants and redevelopment funds.

Laguna Beach: The City's low-to-moderate income housing inventory totals 120 units.

Laguna Hills: The City has 102 affordable housing units. Rancho Moulton and Rancho Niguel are projects built in the early 1980s with Section 8 construction funds.

Laguna Woods: The San Sebastian senior condominium development was completed with 15 affordable units.

Los Alamitos: Laurel Park Manor, an affordable senior community in the City, has 17 studio (zero bedroom) and 53 one-bedroom affordable units.

<u>Stanton</u>: There are 742 affordable housing units in the City. Three of the projects—Continental Gardens, Park Place Stanton, and Plaza Patria—utilized tax-exempt bonds while the fourth project (Casa de Esperanza) used a combination of HOME and redevelopment funds.

<u>Villa Park:</u> No government or non-governmental organization-assisted housing is located within the City.

Yorba Linda: The City has 397 affordable rental units.

County of Orange: Since 2006, the former Orange County Development Agency has assisted 901 affordable units.

Provide an assessment of units expected to be lost from the affordable housing inventory for any reason, such as expiration of Section 8 contracts.

<u>Brea:</u> One housing project, Town and Country apartments, is at-risk of converting 12 affordable units to market–rate rents in 2024.

Cypress: No rent-restricted units are at risk of converting to market-rate rents before 2024.

Dana Point: No rent-restricted units are at risk of converting to market-rate rents before 2024.

La Palma: No rent-restricted units are at risk of converting to market-rate rents before 2024.

Laguna Beach: No rent-restricted units are at risk of converting to market-rate rents before 2024.

Laguna Hills: Only the Rancho Niguel federally assisted housing project is at risk of converting to market rate housing before 2024.

Laguna Woods: No units within the City are at-risk of conversion to market rate before 2024.

Los Alamitos: No units within the City are at-risk of conversion to market rate before 2020.

Stanton: Park Place Stanton, which provides 334 affordable units, is at-risk of converting to market-rate rents in 2022.

Villa Park: No units within the City are at-risk of conversion to market rate before 2024.

Yorba Linda: No units within the City are at-risk of conversion to market rate before 2024.

County of Orange: No rent-restricted units are at risk of converting to market-rate rents before 2024.

Does the availability of housing units meet the needs of the population?

According to the 2019 Orange County Business Council's Workforce Housing Scorecard, current trends and projections point to an increasing imbalance between jobs and housing in the near future as Orange County's job growth continues to outpace housing growth. Between 2020 and 2025, Orange County is projected to gain more than 60,000 jobs and create only 20,000 housing units, a ratio of approximately 2.74 new jobs for each new housing unit.

The "Great Recession" during 2007 through 2012 eroded the home equity that many of the County's residents had planned to use for retirement. Tied financially to their homes, these older residents added to the County's growing

senior population, as Orange County has always attracted retirees. At the same time, Orange County's high cost of living has led many younger residents to move to surrounding regions and other states in search of lower housing costs. In terms of cost of living, Orange County is currently the eighth most expensive place to live among 300 metropolitan regions in the nation. This ranking is almost entirely due to high housing costs, which are the fifth highest in the nation. Consequently, the proportion of Orange County's population 65 years and older has increased and is projected to reach 21 percent by 2050. This trend is problematic because the increasing older, nonworking population will take up an increasing amount of valuable workforce housing, leaving insufficient housing for the current and future workforce.

Rental market demand has grown rapidly as a result of uncertainty in the homeownership market. Investors and developers have capitalized on this uncertainty and increased rental demand by progressively investing in the rental market. Investors are purchasing foreclosed homes to place in the rental market, and trends show developers are moving toward higher density multi-family housing. Cities also moved to increase multi-family housing and to rezone land for more efficient usage.

Describe the need for specific types of housing:

The Orange County Housing Authority (OCHA) administers the Housing Choice Voucher Program for all of Orange County, except for the cities of Santa Ana, Garden Grove, and Anaheim. As of 2019, the OCHA provides 10,825 vouchers for low income households. However, available resources are very limited and OCHA cannot meet the demand for assistance. OCHA maintains a long waitlist for rental assistance and is not currently accepting any new applications to be put on the waitlist.

Furthermore, Orange County has an aging population and the County's prestige attracts high-wage workers from surrounding counties. These populations occupy housing units in Orange County and impede the housing infrastructure from serving the current and future workforce. Units that currently house one or two workers will house few or no workers as Baby Boomers retire "in place." Young adults continue to move out of Orange County, a trend that can be expected to worsen as the County's jobs-to-housing balance deteriorates. Lack of availability and affordability are also not the only factors that drive younger residents out. Demand is growing among younger populations for high-density mixed-use developments. This is in stark contrast to the County's traditional large suburban development which has attracted higher-income middle-aged and older populations.

The number of renters will likely remain high in Orange County because of the large percentage of households that cannot afford the median-priced home, the constrained housing market, and tight lending as a result of the housing crash.

Discussion

See discussions above.

MA-15 Housing Market Analysis: Cost of Housing - 91.210(a)

Introduction

One of the most important factors in evaluating a community's housing market is the cost of housing and, even more significant, whether the housing is affordable to households who live there or would like to live there. Housing problems directly relate to the cost of housing in a community. If housing costs are relatively high in comparison to household income, a correspondingly high rate of housing cost burden and overcrowding could result.

The cost of homeownership in Orange County has exhibited an upward trend similar to most communities in California. The median sales price for a home in the County was \$563,000 in 2015 and then getting up to \$700,000 in 2019 according to Zillow median home sale prices. This represents a 24-percent increase between 2015 and 2019.

Overall, the rate of homeownership has declined significantly in Orange County since its peak of 63 percent in 2007. By 2011, homeownership levels fell to 59 percent during the market downturn. Rental market demand in the County has grown rapidly as a result of the uncertainty in the homeownership market. Homeownership rates have declined slightly to 57 percent according to the 2013-2017 ACS.

Cost of Housing

| | Base Year: 2009 | Most Recent Year: 2015 | % Change |
|----------------------|--------------------|------------------------|----------|
| Median Home Value | 625,700 | 553,600 | (12%) |
| Median Contract Rent | 1,312 | 1,455 | 11% |
| | Table 20 Cost of I | Harratura | • |

Table 29 – Cost of Housing

Data Source: 2005-2009 ACS (Base Year), 2011-2015 ACS (Most Recent Year)

| Rent Paid | Number | % |
|-----------------|----------------------|--------|
| Less than \$500 | 3,675 | 7.5% |
| \$500-999 | 3,793 | 7.8% |
| \$1,000-1,499 | 17,719 | 36.4% |
| \$1,500-1,999 | 13,230 | 27.1% |
| \$2,000 or more | 10,314 | 21.2% |
| Total | 48,731 | 100.0% |
| t | Table 30 - Rent Paid | |

Data Source: 2011-2015 ACS

Housing Affordability

| % Units affordable to Households earning | Renter | Owner |
|---|---------|---------|
| 30% HAMFI | 2,167 | No Data |
| 50% HAMFI | 4,643 | 4,652 |
| 80% HAMFI | 21,274 | 12,344 |
| 100% HAMFI | No Data | 17,757 |
| Total | 28,084 | 34,753 |

Data Source: 2011-2015 CHAS

Table 31 – Housing Affordability

Monthly Rent

| Monthly Rent (\$) | Efficiency (no bedroom) | 1 Bedroom | 2 Bedroom | 3 Bedroom | 4 Bedroom |
|-------------------|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Fair Market Rent | 1,415 | 1,632 | 2,037 | 2,862 | 3,304 |
| High HOME Rent | 1,331 | 1,428 | 1,714 | 1,972 | 2,180 |
| Low HOME Rent | 1,038 | 1,113 | 1,336 | 1,543 | 1,721 |

Data Source: HUD FMR and HOME Rents

Table 32 – Monthly Rent

Is there sufficient housing for households at all income levels?

According to the CHAS data by HUD, mismatches in terms of supply and affordability exist in the Urban County. Approximately 20,410 households earning less than 30 percent of AMI reside in the Urban County; however, there are only 2,167 dwelling units affordable to those at this income level. Similarly, there are 18,670 households earning between 31 and 50 percent of AMI and only 9,295 housing units affordable to those at this income level. With approximately 33,618 housing units in the Urban County that are affordable to households earning between 51 and 80 percent AMI, there are enough to accommodate the 25,050 households at this income level. It should be noted, however, that a housing unit affordable to a particular income group does not mean the unit is actually occupied by a household in that income group. Therefore, the affordability mismatches are likely to be more severe than as presented by the CHAS data.

How is affordability of housing likely to change considering changes to home values and/or rents?

During 2000–2007, Orange County averaged approximately 10,000 building permits per year. However, during the "Great Recession", the number of approved building permits fell sharply—65 percent lower than average in 2008. According to Housing Element Progress Reports submitted to the California Department of Housing & Community Development, the County has issued 4,292 building permits from 2013-2018, which is around 700 permits per year. The lack of new housing units as compared to 2007, will make it more difficult to match long-term workforce housing demand trends leading eventually to an even greater shortage of workforce housing. This expected shortage has led to predictions of a long-term trend of rising housing prices in the County.

According to the 2010 Census, Orange County is the State's most densely populated county behind only San Francisco. Because available vacant land is scarce, housing growth will occur primarily through greater density or infill opportunities. The densification of Orange County housing is forecast to accommodate population growth and locate residents proximate to employment centers, shopping and recreation opportunities, and major transportation routes, often including the High Frequency Corridors and Metrolink stations. Some cities have moved toward increased multi-family housing and the rezoning of land for more efficient usage. Increased density can be expected as Orange County attempts to meet the workforce housing demand in the face of land constrictions. Approximately three out of every four housing units projected to be built by 2035 will be some type of attached unit, such as a condominium, townhome, or apartment. The result will be denser housing developments and a future housing stock whose makeup will have a majority of attached units instead of single-family detached structures.

The number of renters will likely remain high in Orange County because of the large percentage of households that cannot afford the median-priced home, the constrained housing market, and tight lending as a result of the housing

crash. Renting a home in the County, however, is still costly. Orange County has the fifth highest average rent among the top 26 metro areas in California, trailing only Silicon Valley, San Francisco, Los Angeles, and Santa Cruz.

How do HOME rents / Fair Market Rent compare to Area Median Rent? How might this impact your strategy to produce or preserve affordable housing?

According to Zillow, in September 2019, Orange County apartment rents reached an average of \$2,317 a month for an average large-complex tenant. This data from Zillow reports all apartments that become available and are then subsequently rented.

Market rents are higher than the Fair Market Rents (FMR) for all units ranging in size from no bedrooms to four bedrooms (Table 32). The discrepancies between FMR and market rents are more pronounced in the South Orange County area, as noted by affordable housing advocates who participated in the Community Meetings and Focus Group Meeting. Even with a Housing Choice Voucher or other rental assistance, the payment standards are not adequate to allow most households to rent in the South Orange County area.

Petitioning for increases in payment standards and facilitating affordable housing development/preservation throughout are important strategies for the Urban County. With the high costs of new development, acquisition/rehabilitation also represents a cost-effective strategy.

Discussion

See discussions above.

MA-20 Housing Market Analysis: Condition of Housing – 91.210(a)

Introduction

Assessing housing conditions in Orange County can provide the basis for developing policies and programs to maintain and preserve the quality of the housing stock. The ACS defines a "selected condition" as owner- or renteroccupied housing units having at least one of the following conditions: 1) lacking complete plumbing facilities; 2) lacking complete kitchen facilities; 3) more than one occupant per room; and 4) selected monthly housing costs greater than 30 percent of household income.

The County still has an inventory of bank-owned (Real-Estate Owned, REO) properties, but it has declined significantly over the years. As of January 2020, 172 REO properties throughout the County were listed for sale. Many of these properties suffer from deferred maintenance.

Definitions

In the Urban County, substandard housing conditions may consist of the following: structural hazards, poor construction, inadequate maintenance, faulty wiring, plumbing, fire hazards, and inadequate sanitation. Substandard units suitable for rehabilitation are those units where the total rehabilitation costs do not exceed 25 percent of the after-rehabilitation value.

Condition of Units

| Condition of Units | Owner-Occ | Owner-Occupied | | Renter-Occupied | |
|--------------------------------|-------------------|----------------|--------|-----------------|--|
| | Number | % | Number | % | |
| With one selected Condition | 34,235 | 33% | 23,915 | 49% | |
| With two selected Conditions | 862 | 1% | 3,295 | 7% | |
| With three selected Conditions | 75 | 0% | 30 | 0% | |
| With four selected Conditions | 0 | 0% | 20 | 0% | |
| No selected Conditions | 67,490 | 66% | 21,490 | 44% | |
| Total | 102,662 | 100% | 48,750 | 100% | |
| | Table 33 - Condit | ion of Units | | | |

Data Source: 2011-2015 ACS

Year Unit Built

| Year Unit Built | Owner-Occ | Owner-Occupied | | cupied |
|-----------------|-------------------|----------------|--------|--------|
| | Number | % | Number | % |
| 2000 or later | 11,595 | 11% | 3,892 | 8% |
| 1980-1999 | 20,113 | 20% | 11,836 | 24% |
| 1950-1979 | 66,115 | 64% | 29,719 | 61% |
| Before 1950 | 4,876 | 5% | 3,299 | 7% |
| Total | 102,699 | 100% | 48,746 | 100% |
| | Table 34 – Year U | Jnit Built | | |

Data Source: 2011-2015 CHAS

Risk of Lead-Based Paint Hazard

| Risk of Lead-Based Paint Hazard | Owner-O | ccupied | Renter-O |)ccupied |
|---|---------|---------|----------|----------|
| | Number | % | Number | % |
| Total Number of Units Built Before 1980 | 70,991 | 69% | 33,018 | 68% |
| Housing Units build before 1980 with children present | 8,195 | 8% | 5,232 | 11% |

Table 35 – Risk of Lead-Based Paint

Data Source: 2011-2015 ACS (Total Units) 2011-2015 CHAS (Units with Children present)

Vacant Units

| | Suitable for Rehabilitation | Not Suitable for Rehabilitation | Total |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------|
| Vacant Units | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Abandoned Vacant Units | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| REO Properties | 172 | 0 | 172 |
| Abandoned REO Properties | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table 36 - Vacant Units

Need for Owner and Rental Rehabilitation

Given the age of the housing stock in the Urban Orange County, the number of substandard housing units is moderate. Housing age can indicate general housing conditions within a community. Housing is subject to gradual deterioration over time. Deteriorating housing can depress neighboring property values, discourage reinvestment, and eventually impact the quality of life in a neighborhood. According to the 2011-2015 ACS data presented above, 69 percent of the housing stock in the Orange County was constructed prior to 1980. Approximately 69 percent of owner-occupied housing and 68 percent of renter-occupied housing in the County was built before 1980. Approximately five percent of housing units are built before 1950, indicating that a small portion of the housing stock may need significant improvements and rehabilitation.

Estimated Number of Housing Units Occupied by Low- or Moderate-Income Families with LBP Hazards

Housing age is the key variable used to estimate the number of housing units with lead-based paint (LBP). Starting in 1978, the federal government prohibited the use of LBP on residential property. National studies estimate that 75 percent of all residential structures built prior to 1970 contain LBP. Housing built prior to 1940 is highly likely to contain LBP (estimated at 90 percent of housing units), and in housing built between 1960 and 1979, 62 percent of units are estimated to contain LBP.

According to the 2011-2015 ACS, approximately 69 percent of owner-occupied housing and 61 percent of renteroccupied housing in the Urban County were built prior to 1980. Using the 75 percent national average of potential LBP hazard, an estimated 78,007 units (53,243 owner-occupied and 24,764 renter-occupied units) may contain LBP. Furthermore, approximately 51 percent of households in the Urban Orange County are low- and moderate-income (earn less than 100 percent of AMI). This translates to approximately 77,716 housing units with potential LBP that may be occupied by low- and moderate-income households.

Discussion

See discussions above.

MA-25 Public and Assisted Housing – 91.210(b)

Introduction

The Orange County Housing Authority's (OCHA) does not currently own or operate any public housing units and there are no public housing projects located within the Urban County.

Totals Number of Units

| | | | | Program Type | | | | | |
|--|---------------|-------------|----------------------|--|-----------------|----------|--|----------------------------------|---------------|
| | Certificate | -poM | Public | | | Vouchers | rs | | |
| | | Rehab | Housing | Total | Project - | Tenant - | Special | Special Purpose Voucher | cher |
| | | | | | based | based | Veterans Affairs Supportive Housing | Family Unification Program | Disabled * |
| # of units vouchers available | | | | 11,091 | 314 | 9,422 | 980 | 267 | 66 |
| # of accessible units | | | | | | | | | |
| *includes Non-Elderly Disabled, Mainstream One-Year, Mainstream Five-year, and Nursing Home Transition | d, Mainstream | One-Year, N | 1ainstream Fi | ve-year, and Ni | ursing Home Tra | ansition | | | |
| | | Table | 37 – Total Nu | Table 37 – Total Number of Units by Program Type | / Program Type | | | | |

Data Source: PIC (PIH Information Center)

Describe the supply of public housing developments. Describe the number and physical condition of public housing units in the jurisdiction, including those that are participating in an approved Public Housing Agency Plan:

The Orange County Housing Authority's (OCHA) does not currently own or operate any public housing units and there are no public housing projects located within the Urban County. Public Housing Condition

| Public Housing Development | Average Inspection Score |
|----------------------------|--------------------------|
| N/A | N/A |
| Table 29 Dubli | Heuring Condition |

Table 38 - Public Housing Condition

Describe the restoration and revitalization needs of public housing units in the jurisdiction:

The Orange County Housing Authority's (OCHA) does not currently own or operate any public housing units and there are no public housing projects located within the Urban County.

Describe the public housing agency's strategy for improving the living environment of low- and moderateincome families residing in public housing:

While the OCHA does not own and operate public housing, it provides subsidized housing through a number of programs and promotes personal, economic and social upward mobility to provide families the opportunity to make the transition from subsidized to non-subsidized housing.

OCHA has a collaborative relationship with Habitat for Humanity to promote homeownership opportunities for eligible Housing Choice Voucher (HCV) participants. OCHA's Family Self-Sufficiency (FSS) program assists families to achieve their goals and graduate. In addition, OCHA networks with over 180 community organizations and 31 participating cities to ensure awareness of and enforcement of fair housing laws. The Agency also provides housing search assistance when requested. Lists of available units, including accessible units for people with disabilities, are provided to participants and updated weekly. Technical assistance, through referrals to the Fair Housing Council of Orange County, is also provided to owners interested in making reasonable accommodations or units accessible to persons with disabilities.

Furthermore, OCHA works in collaboration with a number of Orange County agencies who have access to a variety of programs and support services that offer; counseling (individual, group, and family), parenting education, after-school recreation and enrichment programs, referral services, domestic violence and anger management education, gang prevention, in-home visitation/parent support programs, and health services referrals. Supportive service providers include:

- Information and Referral: 2-1-1 Orange County. A telephone resource system (available 24/7) that links OC residents to community health & human services & support resources and organizations.
- Legal Resources: Including the Legal Aid Society of Orange County and Fair Housing Council of Orange County.
- Supportive Services: Orange County Health Care Agency and related network of service providers.

Discussion:

See discussions above.

MA-30 Homeless Facilities and Services – 91.210(c)

Introduction

The following estimates of homeless facilities are developed with methodology provided by the Continuum of Care.

Facilities and Housing Targeted to Homeless Households

| | Emergency Shelter Beds | helter Beds | Transitional Housing Beds | Permanent Supportive Housing Beds | ve Housing Beds |
|---|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|
| | Year-Round Beds (Current & New) | Voucher / Seasonal / Overflow Beds | Current & New | Current & New | Under Development |
| Households with Adult(s) and Child(ren) | 529 | 528 | 816 | 196 | 986 |
| Households with Only Adults | 1,299 | 0 | 319 | 2,015 | 0 |
| Chronically Homeless Households | 0 | 0 | 0 | 354 | 0 |
| Veterans | 0 | 0 | 26 | 971 | 0 |
| Unaccompanied Youth | 20 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 |

Table 39 - Facilities and Housing Targeted to Homeless Households

Describe mainstream services, such as health, mental health, and employment services to the extent those services are used to complement services targeted to homeless persons

The Orange County Health Care Agency (OCHCA) directly provides and subcontracts a wide range of physical health, behavioral health, and substance abuse services. These programs are offered free of cost to persons who are in need and do not have means to cover services. Persons experiencing homelessness are able to access services at clinics throughout the county as well as a number of programs that provide mobile based services. Additionally, the County has administered Whole Person Care funding which provides additional services and resources to persons experiencing homelessness through a Medicaid waiver.

The Orange County Social Services Agency (OCSSA) provides general assistance benefits as well as disability benefits advocacy assistance. There is a mobile clinic that goes throughout the county that works to engage persons experiencing to ensure that they are able to access general assistance and are also being enrolled in to Medi-Cal through CalOptima. They have a number of additional assistance programs that are accessible to persons experiencing homelessness including employment programs.

There are a number of employment service programs throughout the county that persons experiencing homelessness are able to access for support with resume development, job training and job search. There is a county contract with Chrysalis which provides job development services specifically tailored towards people experiencing homelessness.

A number of agencies provide services to lower and moderate-income Orange County residents that complement services for the region's homeless population. The Community Action Partnership of Orange County (CAPOC) offers comprehensive services to address the emergency needs of people and provides opportunities for individuals to lift themselves out of poverty. CAPOC operates the Orange County Food Bank, Anaheim Independencia Family Resource Center, El Modena Family Resource Center, and owns a child care facility that offers affordable care. Other services include financial assistance with utility bills, energy education workshops, energy conservation home improvements, health and wellness activities, and assistance in accessing underutilized public benefits such as EITC and CalFresh.

There are a number of food banks located throughout Orange County and some that provide cooked meals to persons experiencing homelessness. The largest food back located in Orange County is the Second Harvest Food Bank of Orange County is committed to helping to improve the lives of the County's most vulnerable populations including children, seniors and families. They collaborate with a network of more than 500 local non-profit agencies, including shelters, church pantries, and after-school tutoring programs to distribute high quality, nutritious food to those in need.

Alcohol and Drug Abuse Services (ADAS) administers alcohol and other drug (AOD) prevention, treatment, and recovery services in Orange County. ADAS works in partnership with California Department of Alcohol and Drug Programs (ADP) to reduce alcoholism, drug addiction and problem gambling in Orange County.

The County has also contracted with community-based organizations since 1987 for the provision of HIV-related support services. Current community providers include: AIDS Services Foundation, APAIT Health Center, Delhi Center, Laguna Beach Community Clinic, Public Law Center, Shanti Orange County (formerly Laguna Shanti), Straight Talk, and The Center.

List and describe services and facilities that meet the needs of homeless persons, particularly chronically homeless individuals and families, families with children, veterans and their families, and unaccompanied youth. If the services and facilities are listed on screen SP-40 Institutional Delivery Structure or screen MA-35 Special Needs Facilities and Services, describe how these facilities and services specifically address the needs of these populations.

According to the 2019 Housing Inventory Count (HIC) for Orange County, a total of 2,539 emergency shelter beds were available in Orange County. These beds were located in 45 different facilities. An additional 1,135 transitional housing beds are also available in 44 facilities.

The Orange County Housing Finance Trust, County, and participating cities share a common goal of creating 2,700 permanent supportive housing units and 2,700 affordable units by June 30, 2025. Since 2018, 24 supportive housing units have been added and 986 are currently in progress.

There are a number of permanent housing resources that service persons experiencing homelessness. In 2019 there were 2,243 available permanent supportive housing beds through 40 different programs, 774 rapid re-housing slots through 27 programs, and 108 beds offered through other permanent housing resources through 3 programs. These services provide both financial assistance and case management in order to support people with obtaining and stabilizing in permanent housing. Many of these programs are specifically tailored to provide specialized services to groups such as families and veterans.

The County's Commission to End Homelessness ("Commission") has collaborated with 2110C and the Santa Ana Office of the Social Security Administration to provide free training sessions consisting of a brief overview of all Social Security programs, including eligibility requirements of the Social Security disability program and the Supplemental Security Income disability program and discussion of the most efficient way to submit claims and how service providers can help address issues unique to homeless clients/applicants.

In addition, the Commission has initiated briefings with service providers and Orange County Transportation Authority to address affordability of bus service with special emphasis on employment-related mobility and access to those with disabilities. The Commission also facilitated presentations and training sessions from Workforce Investment Act One-Stop Employment Center providers and other related providers in preparing the at-risk and homeless population for prospective employment and/or to address underemployment issues.

Since 2013, the OC4Vets collaborative between the County OC Community Services and Health Care Agency has been fully implemented. Through Mental Health Services Act funding, the Orange County Veterans Service Office has a multi-service center that provides at-risk and homeless veterans with services such as mental health, employment, claims benefit, emergency housing assistance, permanent housing assistance, and other critical services.

MA-35 Special Needs Facilities and Services – 91.210(d)

Introduction

A variety of services and facilities targeting persons with special needs are available in Orange County.

Including the elderly, frail elderly, persons with disabilities (mental, physical, developmental), persons with alcohol or other drug addictions, persons with HIV/AIDS and their families, public housing residents and any other categories the jurisdiction may specify, and describe their supportive housing needs

<u>Elderly</u>: Comments received during the community outreach process, along with prior studies of senior service needs in the County, indicate that one of the greatest needs for seniors is affordable housing, especially in South Orange County. Transportation is also one of the most common needs identified by older people. The most often cited reason seniors and their caregivers fail to access available services is lack of awareness that such services exist. Marketing of services is an important component to the reduction of gaps in service delivery, but frequently does not occur because under-funded providers are hard pressed to accommodate their current client base.

<u>Persons with Disabilities</u>: Without action by the County of Orange, local cities and nonprofit agencies, too many adults with developmental disabilities will remain dependent upon aging parents. Absent viable sources of safe and affordable housing, the only alternatives would be for people with developmental disabilities to be placed in congregate living settings or large state-operated facilities. The needs of people with disabilities mirror those of seniors. Housing is an important first step. However, as with seniors, housing must be coupled with appropriate and accessible services. Seniors and adults with developmental disabilities both need safe affordable housing options, universal design accommodations, readily accessible, reliable transportation and social support services.

<u>Large Households</u>: Overcrowding is a critical issue in the community. Many families are forced to live in a single home because of high housing costs. Many residents in the County have completed illegal (unpermitted) additions or expansions to their housing units and they lack the financial means and knowledge to correct them, once cited by code enforcement staff.

<u>Single Parent Households</u>: Low cost childcare was a need specifically identified by Orange County residents attending the community workshops. While the need for affordable childcare was expressed by two-parent and one-parent households alike, this need may be more acute for one-parent households who must single-handedly balance their job and role as primary caregiver.

<u>Victims of Domestic Violence</u>: Victims of domestic violence are typically in need of assistance with childcare and early education programs. In addition, this particular group may also have a need for workforce development services, health services, educational programs, and mental health care and counseling.

<u>Persons with Alcohol/Substance Abuse Addictions</u>: Drug and alcohol abuse is often cited as an issue impacting many homeless persons, especially those who are chronically homeless. Rehabilitation services and stable housing options are two important needs for persons with drug and alcohol addictions.

<u>Veterans</u>: According to the 2020 Orange County Workforce Indicators Report, unemployment among military veterans, particularly those under the age of 30, is prevalent throughout Orange County. Orange County currently has the third highest number of military veterans in the state, with an estimated veteran population of 115,000. For

Orange County, veterans aged 20 to 24 are about three times as likely to be unemployed as their civilian counterparts and generally face financial hindrances to attaining education enabling career growth.

Describe programs for ensuring that persons returning from mental and physical health institutions receive appropriate supportive housing

The hospital community in Orange County has established a post-discharge, recuperative care program for homeless patients admitted to inpatient care and ready for discharge into recovery. Hospitals refer patients on a voluntary basis and pay for all care and administrative services associated with the program. A centralized business model provides hospitals with a single point of contact for referring patients into the program that is managed by the National Health Foundation (NHF), which screens and approves patients for placement within four hours from the time hospitals submit applications.

The County Health Care Agency determines when and to where clients diagnosed with serious and persistent mental illnesses are discharged from either inpatient stays or outpatient services. The County contracts with a number of inpatient providers and contracts with them to follow the mandates of state laws in regard to "anti-dumping" policies. Patients leaving inpatient settings are assessed for level and type of residential setting.

The Illumination Foundation, which provides services under contract to NHF, provides basic medical oversight through certified nursing assistants. Hospitals are eligible to be reimbursed a small percentage of their costs when referring patients into the program whose care was covered by the County program for the uninsured. NHF and the Illumination Foundation also routinely distribute informational communications and updates to hospitals, conduct briefings for hospital discharge planners to review protocols, and identify and address administrative challenges. In addition, Illumination Foundation case managers assist clients in finding permanent medical homes, connect them to permanent housing, and provide other services leading to self-sufficiency. A number of other agencies also work together in various roles to ensure housing for the mentally ill in Orange County, including OC Community Services, Mercy House, Friendship Shelter, Community Care Licensing, and the California Hispanic Commission on Drugs and Alcohol.

Residential care facilities provide supportive housing for persons with disabilities. The following types of facilities are available in Orange County:

- Adult Day Care Facilities (ADCF): Facilities of any capacity that provide programs for frail elderly and developmentally and/or mentally disabled adults in a day care setting.
- Adult Residential Facilities (ARF): Facilities of any capacity that provide 24-hour non-medical care for adults ages 18 through 59, who are unable to provide for their own daily needs. Adults may be physically handicapped, developmentally disabled, and/or mentally disabled.
- **Group Homes**: Facilities of any capacity and provide 24-hour non-medical care and supervision to children in a structured environment. Group Homes provide social, psychological, and behavioral programs for troubled youths.
- **Residential Care Facilities for the Elderly (RCFE)**: Facilities that provide care, supervision and assistance with activities of daily living, such as bathing and grooming. They may also provide incidental medical services under special care plans.

These facilities are regulated by the State Department of Social Services (DSS), Community Care Licensing Division. According to DSS licensing data, there are 40 adult day care facilities, 380 adult residential facilities, and 980 residential care facilities for the elderly located in the County. The adult day cares have the capacity to serve 2,284 persons and the adult residential facilities have the capacity to serve 2,750 persons. Countywide, the residential care facilities for the elderly have the capacity to serve 21,739 persons.

Specify the activities that the jurisdiction plans to undertake during the next year to address the housing and supportive services needs identified in accordance with 91.215(e) with respect to persons who are not homeless but have other special needs. Link to one-year goals. 91.315(e)

Community Action Partnership of Orange County (CAPOC) will continue to work with other agencies to provide services to Orange County residents. CAPOC established the County's first Head Start program and operates the Neighborhood Youth Corps, Legal Aid services, Mobile Health Van, and a helpline for seniors. The Agency's Food Bank just celebrated its 35th anniversary and distributes nearly 15 million pounds of food annually to feed the hungry. CAPOC's Energy & Environmental Services continue to provide utility assistance, weatherization home improvements, and solar energy installations to help low-income households become more energy efficient and lower their energy bills. In addition, the Agency's two-family resource centers continue to help kids succeed, promote financial stability, and support families and seniors so they can thrive.

In partnership with the Orange County Board of Supervisors, the Orange County Workforce Development Board (OCWDB) oversees the County's workforce development activities and establishes programs and services in response to the workforce needs of Orange County. Central to the OCWDB's ability to provide services is the system of One-Stop Centers, affiliate locations, and youth programs located throughout Orange County. Orange County Housing & Community Development is a long-standing One-Stop partner. The Orange County One-Stop Center system includes a network of community providers who work in tandem to share in the responsibility to provide direct services to participants with the objective to meet participants where they are. The Orange County One-Stop centers strive to:

• Provide job seekers with the skills and credentials necessary to secure and advance in employment with wages that sustain themselves and their families;

• Provide access and opportunities to job seekers, including individuals with barriers to employment such as individuals with disabilities, individuals who are English language learners, homeless, justice involved, veterans, underemployed and individuals who have low levels of literacy, to prepare for, obtain, retain, and advance in high-quality jobs and high-demand careers;

• Enable businesses and employers to easily identify and hire skilled workers and access other human resource assistance, including education and training for their current workforce, which may include assistance with pre-screening applicants, writing job descriptions, offering rooms for interviewing, and consultation services on topics like succession planning and career ladder development, and other forms of assistance.

Orange County One-Stop System offers clients expanded workforce services for individuals at all levels of skill and experience. Provide clients access to multiple employment and training resources offered throughout the system. Supportive services with housing, transportation, childcare, linkage to community services, reasonable accommodations for individuals with disabilities assistance with uniforms, tools, etc.

Our goal is to continue to create program and services that address the housing and supportive services needs for Orange County residence and expand our workforce system by partnering with community organizations who share our vision.

The County of Orange Health Care Agency operates a number of programs that serve the various needs of nonhomeless special needs residents. The Alcohol and Drug Abuse Services (ADAS) program provides a range of outpatient and residential treatment programs designed to reduce or eliminate the abuse of alcohol and other drugs within the community. The Older Adult Services program provides mobile mental health services and episodic treatment services to community-dwelling older adults (60 and older) that emphasize individual needs, strengths, choices, and involvement in service planning and implementation. We seek to identify those situations, which could benefit from services leading to a better quality of life for older adults disabled by mental illness. The Agency also manages the WIC Program, a supplemental food, nutrition education and breastfeeding support program, for County residents. It serves low to moderate-income pregnant, breastfeeding and postpartum women, and infants/children up to age 5 who are at nutritional risk. The Children and Youth Services (CYS) clinics serve children and adolescents who require mental health services. Problems may include disruptive behavior disorders, mood disorders, anxiety disorders, sleep and eating disorders, adjustment or personality disorders, other severe emotional disorders and family problems. CYS also provides diagnosis and support services for children who have been removed from their homes and are residing in Orangewood Children's Home, Juvenile Hall, group homes and foster placement.

For entitlement/consortia grantees: Specify the activities that the jurisdiction plans to undertake during the next year to address the housing and supportive services needs identified in accordance with 91.215(e) with respect to persons who are not homeless but have other special needs. Link to one-year goals. (91.220(2)) Not applicable.

MA-40 Barriers to Affordable Housing – 91.210(e)

Negative Effects of Public Policies on Affordable Housing and Residential Investment

Lack of Affordable Housing Funds: The high construction and land costs in Orange County render the need for substantial subsidies for affordable housing. However, the availability of funding for affordable housing has been severely affected by the dissolution of redevelopment agencies in the State of California.

Environmental Protection: State law (California Environmental Quality Act and California Endangered Species Act) and federal law (National Environmental Policy Act and Federal Endangered Species Act) regulations require environmental review of proposed discretionary projects (e.g., subdivision maps, use permits, etc.). Costs and time delay resulting from the environmental review process are also added to the cost of housing. Recent State legislation has aimed to streamline affordable housing development, including exempting certain projects from CEQA processing.

Land Use Policies: Local land use policies could be a constraint to housing development when the requirements for parking, height limit, density, and setbacks result in limiting the number of housing units that can be feasibly

constructed on site. Recent changes in State law now require local jurisdictions develop objective standards to facilitate housing development.

Planning and Development Fees: Planning and development impact fees, such as for transportation, water, and sewer infrastructure improvements, often add to the overall cost of development.

Permit and Processing Procedures: Builders and developers frequently cite the cost of holding land during the evaluation and review process as a significant factor in the cost of housing. Processing times vary with the complexity of the project. Recent changes to State law require jurisdictions allow certain types of housing by right without discretionary review as a way to streamline housing development.

State and Federal Davis-Bacon Prevailing Wages: The State Department of Industrial Relations (DIR) expanded the kinds of projects that require the payment of prevailing wages. Prevailing wage adds to the overall cost of development. A prevailing wage must also be paid to laborers when federal funds are used to pay labor costs for any project over \$2,000 or on any multi-family project over eight units. Based on discussions with developers, various prevailing wage requirements typically inflate the development costs by 35 percent.

MA-45 Non-Housing Assets – 91.215 (f)

Introduction

entrepreneurial business climate, a comparatively well-educated and skilled workforce, and its advantageous location in the heart of Southern California promoting County's employment growth is on par with the national rate and is performing better than surrounding Southem California counties and the State. Many attractive Orange County is comprised of 34 cities and several unincorporated areas. Despite the difficulties the County has faced after the most recent recession, Orange qualities of Orange County continuously contribute to its gradual upswing: a diverse industry cluster base, high wage industry composition, innovating and international trade activity.

Economic Development Market Analysis

Business Activity

| Business by Sector | Number of Workers | Number of Jobs | Share of Workers % | Share of Jobs % | Jobs less workers % |
|---|------------------------------|----------------|--------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|
| Agriculture, Mining, Oil & Gas Extraction | 2,112 | 1,200 | ~ | ~ | 0 |
| Arts, Entertainment, Accommodations | 29,378 | 31,687 | 13 | 16 | c |
| Construction | 12,401 | 16,598 | 5 | ∞ | e |
| Education and Health Care Services | 34,800 | 30,769 | 15 | 15 | |
| Finance, Insurance, and Real Estate | 18,007 | 19,794 | œ | 10 | 2 |
| Information | 6,638 | 2,969 | с | ~ | <u>,</u> |
| Manufacturing | 23,573 | 20,028 | 10 | 10 | Ϋ́, |
| Other Services | 7,542 | 6,294 | ю | n | |
| Professional, Scientific, Management Services | 26,470 | 18,561 | 12 | 0 | လု |
| Public Administration | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Retail Trade | 24,389 | 21,614 | 11 | 11 | |
| Transportation and Warehousing | 6,786 | 3,900 | ю | 2 | Ϋ́, |
| Wholesale Trade | 16,315 | 12,385 | 7 | 9 | ì |
| Total | 208,411 | 185,799 | I | I | 1 |
| | Table 40 - Business Activity | Activity | | | |

Data Source: 2011-2015 ACS (Workers), 2015 Longitudinal Employer-Household Dynamics (Jobs)

Labor Force

| Total Population in the Civilian Labor Force | 272,487 |
|--|---------|
| Civilian Employed Population 16 years and over | 253,355 |
| Unemployment Rate | 7.03 |
| Unemployment Rate for Ages 16-24 | 17.34 |
| Unemployment Rate for Ages 25-65 | 4.86 |

Data Source: 2011-2015 ACS

Table 41 - Labor Force

| • |
|--------|
| 84,820 |
| 9,347 |
| 20,397 |
| 65,925 |
| 13,109 |
| 9,683 |
| |

Data Source: 2011-2015 ACS

Table 42 – Occupations by Sector

Travel Time

| Travel Time | Number | Percentage | |
|------------------------|---------|------------|--|
| < 30 Minutes | 124,240 | 54% | |
| 30-59 Minutes | 82,964 | 36% | |
| 60 or More Minutes | 24,150 | 10% | |
| Total | 231,354 | 100% | |
| Table 43 - Travel Time | | | |

Data Source: 2011-2015 ACS

Education:

Educational Attainment by Employment Status (Population 16 and Older)

| Educational Attainment | In Labo | | |
|------------------------------------|-------------------|------------|--------------------|
| | Civilian Employed | Unemployed | Not in Labor Force |
| Less than high school graduate | 15,307 | 1,535 | 7,834 |
| High school graduate (includes | | | |
| equivalency) | 26,345 | 2,470 | 10,034 |
| Some college or Associate's degree | 64,940 | 4,534 | 17,613 |
| Bachelor's degree or higher | 103,570 | 5,078 | 21,065 |

Table 44 - Educational Attainment by Employment Status

Data Source: 2011-2015 ACS

Educational Attainment by Age

| | Age | | | | |
|---------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|----------|
| | 18–24 yrs. | 25–34 yrs. | 35–44 yrs. | 45–65 yrs. | 65+ yrs. |
| Less than 9th grade | 549 | 2,321 | 3,670 | 6,066 | 5,326 |
| 9th to 12th grade, no diploma | 4,153 | 2,898 | 3,222 | 6,480 | 4,890 |
| High school graduate, GED, or | | | | | |
| alternative | 10,319 | 8,298 | 8,644 | 21,973 | 18,904 |
| Some college, no degree | 19,237 | 14,829 | 12,908 | 34,295 | 20,430 |
| Associate's degree | 2,595 | 4,635 | 5,374 | 15,109 | 7,666 |
| Bachelor's degree | 5,774 | 18,688 | 21,040 | 43,734 | 19,818 |
| Graduate or professional degree | 245 | 6,674 | 12,699 | 27,060 | 16,645 |

Data Source: 2011-2015 ACS

Table 45 - Educational Attainment by Age

Educational Attainment - Median Earnings in the Past 12 Months

| Educational Attainment | Median Earnings in the Past 12 Months |
|---|---------------------------------------|
| Less than high school graduate | 584,424 |
| High school graduate (includes equivalency) | 887,011 |
| Some college or Associate's degree | 1,257,869 |
| Bachelor's degree | 1,597,931 |
| Graduate or professional degree | 2,325,194 |

Data Source: 2011-2015 ACS

Table 46 – Median Earnings in the Past 12 Months

Based on the Business Activity table above, what are the major employment sectors within your jurisdiction?

According to the Business Activity table above, the following sectors employ the most residents in the Urban Orange County: Education/Health Services, Arts/Entertainment/Accommodations, and Retail Trade.

The Orange County Workforce Investment Board has identified 10 target industry clusters for the County. These clusters were chosen to reflect both key economic drivers for the Orange County economy and industries that are central to workforce development. Approximately three-quarters of all Orange County jobs fall into one of these 10 clusters:

- Business and Professional Services
- Energy, Environment and Green Technologies
- Finance, Insurance, and Real Estate
- Construction
- Healthcare
- Information Technology
- Logistics and Transportation
- Manufacturing
- Biotechnology/Nanotechnology

• Hospitality and Tourism

Describe the workforce and infrastructure needs of the business community:

Orange County's economy increasingly demands highly educated workers. The current supply of college graduates will not keep up with demand. In addition, the baby boomer generation (a predominantly highly educated group) will reach retirement age in the near future and leave the workforce. However, the County's demographics are currently shifting toward population groups with historically lower levels of educational attainment. In particular, Hispanics (who comprise the largest group of young adults) have historically had lower rates of college completion. To address this skill gap, a greater effort in curriculum development and promotion is necessary.

Another critical challenge facing the County is the issue of baby boomers constraining lower-level job openings that traditionally fall to new workforce entrants. "Replacement jobs" are defined by the California Employment Development Department (EDD) as job openings created when workers retire or permanently leave an occupation. As it stands, future replacement jobs may not be as available as needed due to older generations of workers that are delaying their retirement plans and are willing to take lower-level jobs to support their eventual retirement. Replacement jobs largely consist of lower-wage entry-level jobs in industries with a significant body of temporary workers. This trend of baby boomers occupying traditionally younger workforce experience than education credentials, senior generations of workers can more easily draw from their larger experience pools to find the right requirements. Baby boomers have been in the workforce longer than younger generations and are likely to be overqualified for these positions, making opportunities for new entrants scarce in what should be a plentiful selection. This preference for the older workforce compounded by the employer-wide trend of operating with leaner teams, which further crowds the younger generation out of the entry-level labor market.

A region's housing supply must keep pace with long-term population and job growth in order to balance projected economic growth with the region's ability to house a growing workforce. Even during the Great Recession, Orange County was a net importer of workers from surrounding Southern California counties. The County's jobs-housing imbalance is further compounded by high median housing prices and the sluggish pace of new home construction in recent years. All these factors have led to a notable shortage in workforce housing in the County.

Describe any major changes that may have an economic impact, such as planned local or regional public or private sector investments or initiatives that have affected or may affect job and business growth opportunities during the planning period. Describe any needs for workforce development, business support or infrastructure these changes may create.

With the ever-increasing importance of the internet, establishing infrastructure to enhance internet access is essential for future economic growth. The County is exploring options for creating a regional wireless network which would be a major tool for providing dependable internet access throughout the County. In addition, savings by government entities could accrue as the broadband infrastructure supplements existing government telecommunications technologies and serves as the foundation for future growth and expansion of these tools as technologies evolve over time. Infrastructure investments such as this will ensure that the proper tools for success in the digital economy are available for all Orange County workers and businesses regardless of location, on either side of the "Digital Divide" so they can succeed.

In addition, the Latino Educational Attainment Initiative, sponsored by education and business entities throughout Orange County, is part of the effort to ensure that Latino, Korean, and Vietnamese immigrant parents in Orange County are prepared for college and other advanced education opportunities. This initiative is aimed at making the college education path and demands more comprehensible to high school students and their family members so they will be more willing and able to go to college.

How do the skills and education of the current workforce correspond to employment opportunities in the jurisdiction?

With an increasingly culturally diverse community and workforce comes the critical need for English language proficiency programs. Language barriers pose significant hardships for students looking to improve their education as well as new entrants in the labor market. As future job markets become increasingly competitive, it will be critical for Orange County to support the development and improvement of English fluency programs. This is particularly important for communities with higher migrant populations. English Language Learner students are those who reported a primary language other than English on the state-approved Home Language Survey and who lack the clearly defined English language skills of listening comprehension, speaking, reading and writing necessary to succeed in the school's regular instructional programs.

In addition, the County's current workforce is ill prepared for jobs that are rapidly being transformed by technology and leaner processes. The dominant industries of the past have evolved, consolidated, gone offshore, or disappeared entirely. There are significant gaps in the ability of local education programs to meet current and future workforce needs. Most of the tools used on a daily basis in the home or at the workplace to create, analyze and communicate are products of Information Technology (IT). A current scan of the education programs related to IT shows that while Orange County is improving in terms of programs offered, content adjustments need to be made to address the new portfolio of skills businesses demand of new graduates in IT-related occupations. Currently, IT-relevant business skills are not adequately addressed in IT and IT-related programs, and several outdated or irrelevant programs (such as web design) remain unchanged despite shifts in workplace trends.

Describe any current workforce training initiatives, including those supported by Workforce Investment Boards, community colleges and other organizations. Describe how these efforts will support the jurisdiction's Consolidated Plan.

In partnership with the Orange County Board of Supervisors, the Orange County Workforce Development Board (OCWDB) oversees the County's workforce development activities. Central to the OCWDB's ability to provide services is the network of One Stop Career Centers, satellite centers, and youth employment and training programs located throughout the County. Each of the OCWDB's One Stop Centers offers on-the-job training (OJT) and customized training as options for job seekers whose occupational interests and/or learning styles are best suited to work-based learning.

Working with the Orange County Social Services Agency, OCWDB provides a range of specialized services to CALWORKS participants via TANF funding. Through this project, individuals can participate in work experience, on-the-job training or classroom-based vocational skills training to increase their readiness for first time or entry-level employment. Participants can also easily connect to the One Stop Centers for additional services and access to further skills development training.

OCWDB provides training and employment opportunities to individuals 55 years and older through the Senior Community Service Employment Program (SCSEP). This program enables participants to gain valuable work experience and/or skills upgrades while working at non-profits or governmental agencies across the County. The OCWDB is an active advocate for veterans' training and employment in Orange County. Through its One-Stop centers OCWDB provides resources, supportive services and opportunities to OC vets by ensuring priority of service to vets, continued collaboration with community partners serving veterans to leverage services, having a strong partnership with the California EDD and applying and receiving veterans training and employment grants. Under the OC4Vets program, the OCWDB works in collaboration with the County of Orange Health Care Agency, County Veterans Service Office, and other partners to assist Veterans in Orange County by providing job development and job support, coaching and training, behavioral health services, supportive services and housing assistance to the veteran population regardless of veteran status; i.e. active, discharged or reserve guard and their families. The goals of this program include increasing access to a comprehensive array of health and supportive services.

OCWDB has been very successful in receiving Veterans' Employment-Related Assistance Program (VEAP) awards from the EDD. VEAP awards are operated in partnership with community agencies, community colleges, other local WIBs and veterans' service agencies. VEAP also provides supportive services, including behavioral health services, transportation and housing assistance. The project will serve those recently separated from active military duty within the last 48 months and other eligible veterans. Services are offered at the Orange County One-Stop Centers located in Irvine and Garden Grove, as well as at the Joint Forces Training Base in Los Alamitos. Additional services are also provided at the Veterans Service Office in Santa Ana.

Does your jurisdiction participate in a Comprehensive Economic Development Strategy (CEDS)? Yes.

If so, what economic development initiatives are you undertaking that may be coordinated with the Consolidated Plan? If not, describe other local/regional plans or initiatives that impact economic growth.

The 2019-2023 Orange County CEDS is a tool created to provide guidance for economic development projects in distressed areas of Orange County while fostering a relationship between the County, its jurisdictions, and the United States Economic Development Administration (EDA). The CEDS contains "Goals," which are established for the long-term vision for improving Orange County, and "Strategies," which are components to build towards goal achievement:

1. Provide World-Class Education, career, and Workforce Opportunities to Address the Skills Gap

- Ensure businesses have enough skilled workers in the workforce to compete in the global economy.
- Support Orange County's education system at all levels to ensure college and career-readiness.
- Support career and technical education.
- Develop and promote targeted education and training programs in Orange County's key high value priority industry clusters – Advanced Manufacturing, Healthcare, Hospitality and Tourism, and Information Technology.
- Increase middle-skills, industry-valued, and recognized post-secondary credentials.
- Analyze and forecast new and emerging technological advances with industry and organizational processes that may require additional training and skills development.

2. Focus on Residents Living in Red Zones

- Develop targeted EDA project proposals in economically distressed Red Zone areas to spur revitalization and employment growth opportunities, including targeted alignment of partner resources.
- Enable economic self-sufficiency for Red Zone residents through the promotion of economic and educational opportunity which can remove barriers to employment and lead to career advancement.
- Upgrade the skills of the current Red Zone workforce to strengthen abilities and improve the lives of Red Zone residents.
- 3. Promote Key Priority Clusters
 - Encourage expansion and retention of Orange County's key high value priority industry clusters as each provide significant economic benefits across a number of complementary and supplemental sectors and industries: Advanced Manufacturing, Healthcare, Hospitality and Tourism, and Information Technology.
 - Form industry sector groups to promote growth and expansion of companies in Orange County's key industry clusters.

4. Improve Orange County's Economic Competitiveness in a Global Economy

- Promote the county as a national and international center for business, global trade, and development.
- Foster a positive, business-friendly environment to make Orange County competitive and create and retain good quality jobs.
- Increase investment in small business start-ups and promote entrepreneurship.
- Identify opportunities to lower the costs of business production and provide streamlined business services to attract and retain businesses.
- 5. Plan and Develop State-of-the-Art Infrastructure
 - Develop an expanded and improved infrastructure system, including affordable housing, to support economic growth and development.
 - Support infrastructure that facilitates the efficient movement of goods, energy, information, and labor.
 - Coordinate infrastructure investments with economic development opportunities in unincorporated parts of the county.
 - Support the expansion of communication networks, such as broadband connectivity, telecommunications, and wireless technologies.
 - Ensure sufficient supply of affordable housing to meet the rising demand from new job creation, including reusing obsolete retail centers for housing opportunities.

| D : | |
|------------|--------|
| | noios |
| Discus | 551011 |
| | |

See discussions above.

MA-50 Needs and Market Analysis Discussion

Are there areas where households with multiple housing problems are concentrated? (include a definition of "concentration")

At the countywide level, Orange County is generally perceived as a highly affluent County. However, this perception has masked the underlying economic distress occurring within the County's borders, especially since the onset of the Great Recession, which hit Orange County particularly hard due to massive layoffs in the construction and financial services industries. Particularly north of the SR-22 and in some areas to the south of SR-22, there are clear pockets of economic distress at the census tract level.

The 2019-2023 Orange County Comprehensive Economic Development Strategy (CEDS) has identified a number of Red Zones within the County. Red Zones are geographic locations within the County experiencing high unemployment and substantially lower levels of income relative to the rest of the County. In order for a census tract to qualify as a Red-Zone, the Census tract must have an unemployment rate two percent over the national average and have a per capita income of no more than 80 percent of the national average. Stanton was the only Urban County city identified as a "Red-Zone" city. Red-Zones represent areas of great need and opportunities for attention and investment.

Red-Zone census tracts have a significantly larger language gap compared to the County overall, which coincides with a large foreign-born population. Minorities, most notably Hispanics and Blacks, account for a disproportionate percent of the population in Red-Zone census tracts. Red-Zones are also significantly behind in terms of educational attainment. In addition, single parent households make up approximately one-quarter of all Red-Zone households, compared to only about 17 percent of total Orange County households. As expected, these single-parent households (and likely single income households) suffer from higher levels of economic distress. Overcrowding was also a major issue for occupied Red-Zones units. Within Red-Zone areas, resident units were more than twice as likely to be overcrowded.

Are there any areas in the jurisdiction where racial or ethnic minorities or low-income families are concentrated? (include a definition of "concentration")

According to the 2010 Census, the racial/ethnic composition of the population in the Orange Urban County was: 58% White (non-Hispanic); 21% Hispanic; 16 percent Asian and Pacific Islander; 2% African American; and 3% indicating other race/ethnic group.

A minority concentration area is defined as a Census block group whose proportion of a specific racial/ethnic group is greater than the County's proportion of that same racial/ethnic group. The specific percentage varies according to the race/ethnicity being analyzed. A mapping of concentrations prepared for this Consolidated Plan is included in Appendix B.

Overall, the geographic concentrations of the Urban Orange County's minority populations generally overlap with the concentrations of low- and moderate-income residents. Concentrations of Hispanics can be found in the cities of Stanton and Placentia, as well as the unincorporated area of the County just east of Irvine. Small portions of Brea, Laguna Hills and Laguna Woods also have concentrations of minority populations.

What are the characteristics of the market in these areas/neighborhoods?

Only one city within the Urban County has been identified as a Red Zone by the 2019-2023 Orange County Comprehensive Economic Development Strategy (CEDS): Stanton.

Stanton's Red-Zone community suffers from an unemployment rate that is three percentage points higher than non-Red Zone tracts and a per capita income that is 23 percent lower. In the city, 56 percent of its population resides in a census tract labeled a Red Zone.

Are there any community assets in these areas/neighborhoods?

The 2019-2023 Orange County Comprehensive Economic Development Strategy (CEDS) has identified advancing the lives of Red Zone residents as a top goal. As part of the citizen participation process for this CEDS, an internet survey was distributed to interested parties including city managers, economic development directors, and workforce training professionals. Residents in this area want to bring in new projects that will stimulate economic development opportunities.

Are there other strategic opportunities in any of these areas?

The 2019-2023 Orange County Comprehensive Economic Development Strategy (CEDS) has identified advancing the lives of Red Zone residents as a top goal. The CEDS has committed the County to undertaking economic development investments around Red-Zones, with particular emphasis on infrastructure and transit-transportation centers. If economic development investments are successful around transit-transportation centers, it is anticipated that private investment will be of benefit to the County as a whole (with substantial effect for the benefit of the residents of Red-Zones) after implementation of the CEDS.

MA-60 Broadband Needs of Housing occupied by Low- and Moderate-Income Households - 91.210(a)(4), 91.310(a)(2)

Describe the need for broadband wiring and connections for households, including low- and moderateincome households and neighborhoods.

The Urban County is moving forward with broadening the community's ease of access to broadband services, including the low- and moderate-income neighborhoods. The Urban County is served by major providers such as AT&T U-Verse, Spectrum, and Cox Communications. Most affordable housing developments in California requires multiple layers of funding sources, such as Low-Income Housing Tax Credits (LIHTC) and HUD funds. These programs either mandate or encourage broadband services. All affordable housing developments in the County have access to broadband services.

Describe the need for increased competition by having more than one broadband Internet service provider serve the jurisdiction.

The Urban County is served by over 20 broadband internet service providers. There is no need to increase competition.

MA-65 Hazard Mitigation - 91.210(a)(5), 91.310(a)(3)

Describe the jurisdiction's increased natural hazard risks associated with climate change.

Pursuant to California Planning and Zoning laws, jurisdictions in California are required to periodically update their General Plans. Specifically, the General Plan is required to include a Safety Element. The Safety Element evaluates all risks of natural and man-made hazards throughout the community, including low- and moderate-income neighborhoods, and establishes goals, policies, and implementing actions to mitigate the risks of natural and man-made hazards. Jurisdictions within Orange County are required to update the Safety Element along with the update to the Housing Element, which is due October 2021.

Multiple cities in the Urban County are working to establish plans to address natural hazard risks associated with climate change. Brea, Dana Point, Laguna Beach, Laguna Hills, Laguna Woods, and La Palma have completed Greenhouse Gas Emissions Inventories. Dana Point has subsequently adopted a Greenhouse Gas Reduction Plan and an Energy Action Plan to mitigate the risks that have been associated with climate change and their greenhouse gas emissions.

Describe the vulnerability to these risks of housing occupied by low- and moderate-income households based on an analysis of data, findings, and methods.

Currently, natural absorbers of carbon dioxide are not able to take in all of the carbon dioxide being emitted into the atmosphere, increasing the greenhouse effect. As the population in the Urban County grows and electricity sources are stretched to meet the increased electricity demands, greenhouse gas emissions will increase, and energy prices will rise. Becoming more energy efficient will allow Urban County households, including low- and moderate-income households, to save money in the long-term on living necessities.

Strategic Plan

SP-05 Overview

Strategic Plan Overview

The Strategic Plan is the centerpiece of the Consolidated Plan. The Plan describes:

- General priorities for assisting households;
- Programs to assist those households in need; and
- Five-year objectives identifying proposed accomplishments.

The Strategic Plan also addresses the following areas:

- Anti-poverty strategy;
- Lead-based paint hazard reduction;
- Reduction of barriers to affordable housing; and
- Institutional Structure/Coordination among agencies.

SP-10 Geographic Priorities – 91.215 (a)(1)

General Allocation Priorities

Currently, the County uses a competitive funding application process (FAPP) to distribute CDBG, and HOME funds. The FAPP is a planning document that is to utilize priorities listed in the Consolidated Plan to address local Housing & Community Development needs with Federal and local resources in preparing the Annual Action Plan (APP). The FAPP also includes estimated funding goals for eligible housing, Housing & Community Development, and/or economic development activities; the process for distributing funding; funding priorities; funding exceptions; and overall requirements to be met when using Federal and local funds. Individual participating cities, County departments, are required to submit applications for funding. Housing & Community Development works with the independent Application Review Committee (ARC) to rank each application according to the Consolidated Plan priorities, urgency of needs, readiness of projects, experience of program/project administrators, and cost-effectiveness. The ARC is an evaluation team comprised of professionals knowledgeable about Housing & Community Development, and housing activities. The ARC reviews and scores the applications for funding based exclusively on the written submission by the applicants. Many factors, such as the administrative burden of the program, the number of eligible census block groups, annexations, and population growth in the participating cities, weigh into the analysis of the most efficient and effective way to distribute CPD funds to meet the high-priority activities outlined in this Consolidated Plan.

The competitive basis for funding distribution has the advantage of flexibly dealing with the changing needs and geographic disparities in terms of needs and resources. Using this method, the County is able to devote resources to communities where the needs are most urgent and extensive. In addition to priority and non-priority ranked projects, the Urban County Program also undertakes certain activities that are <u>exempt</u> from the competitive process.

Exemptions from the Funding Allocation Process:

- Administration The Urban County Program utilizes a percentage of Housing & Community Development allocations to administer the CDBG, ESG and HOME programs.
- Orange County's obligations to specific community centers within the unincorporated areas.
- Orange County's, Emergency Shelter Program to receive Public Services CDBG/ESG funds (or other appropriate source of funds) allocations on a non-competitive basis.
- Orange County's Emergency Shelter Program, and other low-threshold emergency shelters located in participating cities to receive remaining CDBG Public Services funds (capped at 15% of total CDBG allocation, plus receipted Program Income) and ESG funds.
- Fair Housing and Equal Opportunity related activities as mandated by HUD.

CDBG program funds will be distributed and expended based on program criteria. Housing rehabilitation programs will be provided on a citywide basis in each participating city and in the unincorporated County areas based on lowand moderate-income qualified residents. Supportive services will be available throughout the Urban County to lowand moderate-income residents and persons with special needs. Public and infrastructure improvements will be located primarily in the Urban County's low- and moderate-income areas. HOME funds will be distributed to those projects that meet priority needs, are timely and meet other evaluation factors that indicate a strong probability the project will come to fruition. ESG funds and CDBG funds for Public Services will be awarded to projects using a noncompetitive process that will best serve the priority needs of homeless individuals, families, those at risk of becoming homeless and Public Services that serve the community operating County owned facilities.

SP-25 Priority Needs - 91.215(a)(2)

Priority Needs

| 1 | Priority Need | Expand Affordable Housing Opportunities |
|---|----------------|---|
| | Name | |
| | Priority Level | High |
| | Population | Extremely Low |
| | | Low |
| | | Moderate |
| | | Large Families |
| | | Families with Children |
| | | Elderly |
| | Geographic | |
| | Areas | |
| | Affected | |
| | Associated | Expand Affordable Housing Opportunities |
| | Goals | |
| | Description | Expand and preserve the supply of affordable housing to create housing opportunities for low- and |
| | | moderate-income households and homeless individuals and families. |

| | Basis for | There is a need for affordable housing in the Urban County. Housing problems in the Urban County |
|---|----------------|--|
| | Relative | impact renter-households more significantly, with 49 percent of all renter-households experiencing at |
| | Priority | least one housing problem (inadequate housing, overcrowding, cost burden of 50 percent, or cost |
| | l nonty | burden of 30 percent), compared to 36 percent of owner-households. In Community Meetings, residents |
| | | commented on the lack of affordable housing in the Urban County. |
| 2 | Priority Need | Enhance Quality of Life |
| 2 | Name | |
| | Priority Level | High |
| | Population | Extremely Low |
| | | Low |
| | | Moderate |
| | | Elderly |
| | | Frail Elderly |
| | | Persons with Physical Disabilities |
| | Geographic | |
| | Areas | |
| | Affected | |
| | Associated | Enhance Quality of Life |
| | Goals | |
| | Description | Strengthen, preserve, and enhance the physical character and quality of life in Orange County's low- |
| | | and moderate-income neighborhoods, including the housing stock and public infrastructure and facilities. |
| | Basis for | These needs were identified based on the results of the Housing & Community Development Needs |
| | Relative | Survey and comments received during a series of five Community Meetings and one Focus Group |
| | Priority | Meeting to solicit input on needs. These meetings were held during the development of the |
| | | Consolidated Plan, as described in the Citizen Participation Section. |
| 3 | Priority Need | Public Services |
| | Name | |
| 1 | Priority Level | High |

| | Population | Extremely Low |
|---|----------------|---|
| | ropulation | |
| | | Low |
| | | Moderate |
| | | Chronic Homelessness |
| | | Individuals |
| | | Families with Children |
| | | Mentally III |
| | | Chronic Substance Abuse |
| | | veterans |
| | | Persons with HIV/AIDS |
| | | Victims of Domestic Violence |
| | | Unaccompanied Youth |
| | | Elderly |
| | | Frail Elderly |
| | | Persons with Mental Disabilities |
| | | Persons with Physical Disabilities |
| | | Persons with Developmental Disabilities |
| | | Persons with Alcohol or Other Addictions |
| | | Persons with HIV/AIDS and their Families |
| | | Victims of Domestic Violence |
| | Geographic | |
| | Areas | |
| | Affected | |
| | Associated | Public Services |
| | Goals | |
| | Description | Continue to build the capacity of residents to empower themselves and help strengthen their |
| | Description | |
| | | community, through the funding of needed public services for seniors, the homeless, and those at risk |
| | Desist | of homelessness. |
| | Basis for | Comments received during the community outreach process, along with prior studies of senior service |
| | Relative | needs in the County, indicate that transportation is one of the most common needs identified by older |
| | Priority | people. The needs of people with disabilities mirror those of seniors. Seniors and adults with |
| | | developmental disabilities both need safe affordable housing options, universal design |
| | | accommodations, readily accessible, reliable transportation and social support services. Low cost |
| | | childcare was a need specifically identified by Orange County residents attending the Community |
| | | Meetings. |
| 4 | Priority Need | Planning and Administration |
| | Name | |
| | Priority Level | High |
| | Population | Other |
| | Geographic | |
| | Areas | |
| | Affected | |
| | Associated | Planning and Administration |
| | Goals | |
| | 00010 | 1 |

| Description | The Urban County will implement the goals and objectives of the Consolidated Plan by delivering a variety of Housing & Community Development programs and activities. The Urban County will also continue to comply with the planning and reporting requirements of the Consolidated Plan regulations and CDBG, HOME, and ESG regulations. Annually, the Urban County will monitor its use of CDBG, HOME, and ESG funds to ensure effective and appropriate use of funds. |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| Basis for Relative Priority | Compliance with all HUD Consolidated Plan and CDBG, HOME, and ESG program regulations is a requirement for participation in this program. |

Table 47 – Priority Needs Summary

Narrative (Optional)

In establishing five-year priorities for assistance, the Urban County has considered input from various sources including: the Housing & Community Development Needs Survey, demographic and empirical data analysis, interviews with staff and service providers, and direct input by residents and stakeholders during public workshops. CDBG, HOME, and ESG funds would only be used to support activities identified as High Priority Needs:

- Expand and preserve the supply of affordable housing to create housing opportunities for low- and moderate-income households and homeless individuals and families
- Strengthen, preserve, and enhance the physical character and quality of life in Orange County's low- and moderate-income neighborhoods, including the housing stock and public infrastructure and facilities.
- Continue to build the capacity of residents to empower themselves and help strengthen their community, through the funding of needed public services for seniors, the homeless, and those at risk of homelessness.
- Planning and administration.

SP-30 Influence of Market Conditions – 91.215 (b)

Influence of Market Conditions

| Affordable | Market Characteristics that will influence |
|---|--|
| Housing Type | the use of funds available for housing type |
| Housing Type Tenant Based Rental Assistance (TBRA) | the use of funds available for housing type Tenant Based Rental Assistance (TBRA) program is designed to help address the need for permanent housing solutions for low-income individuals, families, seniors and special needs populations that may be homeless or on the verge of homelessness throughout Orange County. The program provides eligible populations with move-in assistance and/or rental subsides. The Orange County Housing Authority (OCHA), a division of Housing & Community Development, administers the Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Rental Assistance Program for Urban County residents. The 2019 Fair Market Rents in Orange County range from \$1,415 for a studio apartment, \$1,632 one-bedroom, \$2,037 two-bedroom, \$2,862 three-bedroom and \$3,304 for a four-bedroom home/apartment, which would require an income far in excess of the incomes earned by lower-income households. In addition, the Mental Health Services Act (MHSA) Housing Plan assessed market factors and highlight the need for programs such as TBRA as effective ways to expand affordable housing opportunities in Orange County. The County approved and implemented a TBRA HOME-funded program on January 29, 2013. The current market conditions of high rents and low inventory of safe and decent affordable housing in Orange County create a critical need for TBRA, particularly for serving special needs, seniors and homeless families. The need for TBRA is detailed in previous sections of the Consolidated Plan. As noted in these sections, the lack of affordable rentals in Orange County is evident by the waitlist for the Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher program. Currently, the TBRA program is being funded with Housing Successor funds, when these funds expire, the County may |
| | utilize HOME funds to continue this program. |
| TBRA for Non- Homeless Special Needs | Tenant Based Rental Assistance (TBRA) program is designed to help address the need for permanent housing solutions for low-income individuals, families, seniors and special needs populations that may be homeless or on the verge of homelessness throughout Orange County. The program provides eligible populations with move-in assistance and/or rental subsides. The Orange County Housing Authority (OCHA), a division of Housing & Community Development, administers the Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Rental Assistance Program for Urban County residents. The 2019 Fair Market Rents in Orange County range from \$1,415 for a studio apartment, \$1,632 one-bedroom, \$2,037 two-bedroom, \$2,862 three-bedroom and \$3,304 for a four-bedroom home/apartment, which would require an income far in excess of the incomes earned by lower-income households. In addition, Mental Health Services Act (MHSA) Housing Plan assessed market factors and highlight the need for programs such as TBRA as effective ways to expand affordable housing opportunities in Orange County create a critical need for TBRA, particularly for serving special needs, seniors and homeless families. The need for TBRA is detailed in previous sections of the Consolidated Plan. As noted in these sections, the lack of affordable rentals in Orange County is evident by the waitlist for the Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher program. Currently, the TBRA program is being funded with Housing Successor funds, when these funds expire, the County may |
| New Unit Production | utilize HOME funds to continue this program.The County has committed over \$194 million to affordable housing developments since FY 2010-11.The types of funds committed to affordable housing development during this time include HOMEProgram funds, former OCDA Housing Set-Aside funds, and Mental Health Services Act HousingProgram funds, and Mental Health Services Act One-Time funds. The focus of the HOME program isto improve and /or expand the County's affordable housing stock. The Urban County will continue tocommit its HOME funds towards promoting the development of additional affordable housing unitsand maintaining existing affordable housing in participating cities, County unincorporated islands, andpartnerships with several entitlement cities in Orange County. The 2020-2024 CP identifies theacquisition, construction, and rehabilitation of affordable housing as a high priority. |

| Rehabilitation | Given the age of the housing stock in the Urban Orange County, the number of substandard housing units is moderate. Housing age can indicate general housing conditions within a community. Housing is subject to gradual deterioration over time. Deteriorating housing can depress neighboring property values, discourage reinvestment, and eventually impact the quality of life in a neighborhood. According to the 2011-2015 ACS, 69 percent of the housing stock in the Orange County was constructed prior to 1980. Approximately 69 percent of owner-occupied housing and 68 percent of renter-occupied housing in the County was built before 1980. Approximately five percent of housing units are built before 1950, indicating that a small portion of the housing stock may need significant improvements and rehabilitation. |
|---|---|
| Acquisition, including preservation | Prior to 2012, redevelopment funds were used by the Urban County in conjunction with HOME funds to provide incentive and support for the development of affordable homeownership and rental housing through the acquisition, new construction, reconstruction and rehabilitation of housing units. Despite limited funding, the Urban County will continue to dedicate Federal funding for the acquisition, construction, and/or rehabilitation of affordable permanent housing. In addition to Federal funding, Housing Successor Agency funds may also be used to support affordable housing activities. |

Table 48 – Influence of Market Conditions

SP-35 Anticipated Resources - 91.215(a)(4), 91.220(c)(1,2)

Introduction

A number of Housing & Community Development resources are currently available in the Urban County area. They include:

- Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) funds
- HOME Investment Partnerships Program (HOME) funds
- Emergency Solutions Grant (ESG) funds
- General funds
- HUD Section 108 Loan funds
- HUD Housing Choice Voucher Program (through the Orange County Housing Authority)
- California Housing Finance Agency funds (CalHFA)
- State Housing and Housing & Community Development housing funds
- State transportation funds
- National Housing Trust Fund

Attachment A

Anticipated Resources

| Program | Source | Uses of Funds | Ex | Expected Amount Available Year | Available Year 1 | | Expected | Narrative Description |
|---------|---------------------|---|--------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|--|--|
| | of Funds | | Annual Allocation: \$ | Program Income: \$ | Prior Year Resources: \$ | Total: \$ | Amount Available Remainder of ConPlan \$ | |
| CDBG | public - federal | Acquisition Admin and Planning Economic Development Housing | | | | | | The estimated amount of CDBG funds available over the planning period is based on allocations from FY 2019, excluding funds carried over |
| | | Public Services | 3,273,896 | | | | 13,095,584 | |
| HOME | public - federal | Acquisition Homebuyer assistance | | | | | | The estimated amount of HOME funds available over |
| | | Homeowner renap Multifamily rental new construction | | | | | | the planning period is based on allocations from FY 2019, excluding funds carried over |
| | | Multifamily rental rehab New construction for | | | | | | from prior years. |
| | | ownership TBRA | 1,038,528 | | | | 4,154,112 | |
| ESG | public - federal | Conversion and rehab for transitional housing | | | | | | The estimated amount of ESG funds available over the |
| | | r Inancial Assistance Overnight shelter Rapid re-housing | | | | | | plaining period is based on allocations from FY 2019, excluding funds carried over |
| | | (rental assistance) Rental Assistance | | | | | | from prior years. |
| | | Services Transitional housing | 223,982 | | | | 895,928 | |

Table 49 - Anticipated Resources

| hing | |
|---------|---------------|
| natc | |
| OW L | |
| of h | |
| otion | |
| scrip | |
| a de | |
| ding | |
| nclu | |
| ds), i | |
| func | |
| ocal | |
| and | |
| tate | |
| ate, s | |
| priva | |
| ces (| |
| sour | |
| al re | |
| ition | |
| add | |
| hose | |
| age tl | |
| everage | |
| vill le | be |
| v sbr | tisfi |
| al fur | oe sa |
| edera | will k |
| ow fe | ents |
| ain h | reme |
| Expla | equi |
| - | - |

County's ability to leverage projects at previous levels. However, the County continues to aggressively leverage its funding partnerships with public, private and Local and state budget deficits, and elimination of the state's redevelopment agencies by the Supreme Court decision, are factors that will continue to limit the non-profit groups.

housing program. Funds assigned to the State under the SNHP fund capitalized operating subsidy reserves and capital funding for the development of supportive Currently, the County utilizes local funding to leverage affordable housing projects and for Emergency Shelter programs. The County also works with Finance Agency (CalHFA), administered the Special Needs Housing Program (SNHP) which is a successor program to the Mental Health Services Act (MHSA) housing to serve persons with serious mental illness who are homeless, at risk of homelessness, and who otherwise meet the MHSA. In total, the County has other agencies, participating cities, and the private sector to leverage funds whenever possible. The County, in concert with the California Housing allocated \$70.5 million in funding towards the development of MHSA/SNHP supportive housing units.

rehabilitate permanent supportive housing for homeless individuals with serious mental illness who are homeless, chronically homeless or at-risk of chronically Community Development (State HCD) released a NOFA for the Place Like Home (NPLH) program. NPLH funds can be used to acquire, construction, and/or homelessness. Four Orange County projects submitted applications under the NPLH Round 1 Competitive NOFA and three projects received awards for a The County continues to seek funding opportunities to develop and maintain affordable housing. In FY 2018-19, the California Department of Housing & combined total of \$11.8 million. In addition, a well-planned CoC for the homeless has already been created which leverages Continuum of Care Grants, Emergency Solutions Grants, and State for the senior and special needs populations. In general, Housing & Community Development funded projects are highly successful at leveraging other financing EFSP and EHAP funds. The County can also leverage HUD Section 202 and 811 funds in conjunction with non-profit sponsors to expand the supply of housing sources, as Housing & Community Development loan commitments are usually the first source of funding committed to a development.

Service providers usually solicit and provide their one hundred percent (100%) cash or in-kind match through private donations, fundraisers, foundations, United The Urban County's non-profit and public sector partners contribute non-federal funds to meet the HOME match requirement. To ensure compliance with ESG match requirements, the County requires verification of matching funds by service providers who submit documentation for reimbursement of expenditures. Way grants, and volunteer hours. The County plans to pursue the National Housing Trust Fund when it becomes available. The County plans on using this funding source to leverage its affordable housing development efforts, including new construction and acquisition/rehabilitation. If appropriate, describe publicly owned land or property located within the jurisdiction that may be used to address the needs identified in the plan

In 2013, the Orange County Board of Supervisors approved local funding that was utilized in acquiring sites for a year-round emergency shelter(s) and multiservice center(s) for homeless families and individuals in Orange County.

Discussion

See discussions above.

SP-40 Institutional Delivery Structure – 91.215(k)

Explain the institutional structure through which the jurisdiction will carry out its consolidated plan including private industry, non-profit organizations, and public institutions.

| Responsible Entity | Responsible Entity Type | Role | Geographic Area Served |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------|---|------------------------|
| ORANGE COUNTY | Government | Economic Development Homelessness Non-homeless special needs Planning neighborhood improvements public facilities public services | Jurisdiction |
| Orange County Housing Authority | PHA | Rental | Region |

 Table 50 - Institutional Delivery Structure

Assess of Strengths and Gaps in the Institutional Delivery System

The institutional structure, through which the Consolidated Plan will be implemented, includes various agencies of County government, participating cities, nonprofit organizations, and private industry. Housing & Community Development has oversight responsibility for the Consolidated Plan/Annual Plan processes. Housing & Community Development is a component of OC Community Resources, which consists of OC Animal Care, OC Community Services, OC Parks, and OC Public Libraries, which are part of the County of Orange.

In addition, the County has developed a comprehensive, coordinated, and regional Continuum of Care (CoC) strategy that includes the participation of all thirty-four cities in the County, County Agencies, the County's homeless housing and service providers, and other community groups (including non-profits, local governmental agencies, faith-based organizations, the homeless and formerly homeless, interested business leaders, schools, and many other stakeholders) to identify the gaps and unmet needs of the County's homeless.

Orange County's CoC planning process is continually sustained with regular community wide efforts. Throughout the year the Commission to End Homelessness, and other interested parties, continue the process of identifying gaps and priority needs, and examining new strategies to strengthen the current system of care. This planning process includes identification of the greatest needs facing the homeless of Orange County and assessing the funding priorities to address these needs.

Since 1996, this public/private planning process has resulted in the allocation of over \$200 million in federal homeless assistance funds for Orange County. HUD CoC funds are leveraged with federal, state, and local resources allocated to Orange County's CoC System. Although Orange County's CoC System has dramatically improved since 1996, there are still huge gaps at all levels of the system. While the dollar amount that the County received is a notable amount, it does not provide enough to service all those that are in need.

| Availability of services targeted to | o homeless perso | ons and persons with HIV | and mainstream services |
|--------------------------------------|------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|
| | Homelessne | ess Prevention Services | |
| Counseling/Advocacy | Х | | X |
| Legal Assistance | Х | X | |
| Mortgage Assistance | Х | | |
| Rental Assistance | Х | X | X |
| Utilities Assistance | Х | Х | Х |
| | Street | Outreach Services | |
| Law Enforcement | X | X | |
| Mobile Clinics | Х | X | |
| Other Street Outreach Services | X | X | |
| | | portive Services | |
| Alcohol & Drug Abuse | X | X | |
| Child Care | Х | X | |
| Education | Х | X | |
| Employment and Employment | | | |
| Training | X | X | |
| Healthcare | X | X | |
| HIV/AIDS | X | X | X |
| Life Skills | X | X | |
| Mental Health Counseling | X | X | |
| Transportation | X | X | |
| | | Other | |
| Other | | | |

Table 51 - Homeless Prevention Services Summary

Describe how the service delivery system including, but not limited to, the services listed above meet the needs of homeless persons (particularly chronically homeless individuals and families, families with children, veterans and their families, and unaccompanied youth)

To meet the needs of the region's homeless persons, the Urban County utilizes SHP, ESG, CDBG, and OCHA funds to support the Continuum of Care (CoC) system by providing emergency shelters, transitional housing, permanent housing, rapid re-housing, homeless prevention, and supportive services. In collaboration with the entire CoC, the County of Orange Health Care Agency has also implemented Proposition 63 Full-Service Partnership Programs. These programs have created outreach options for homeless persons to access services starting at the street outreach level and continuing until the individual and/or family is well established in permanent supportive housing. Homeless needs and priorities continue to be identified through the County's CoC. Specifically, CoC funds have been awarded to agencies in the County to implement a broad range of activities, which benefit homeless persons.

The CoC system has several components to meet the needs of the homeless in Orange County: prevention services, outreach services, and shelter and housing services. The County's system of facilities and services is based on the CoC strategy Plan to End Homelessness. The first two goals of the Plan are related to prevention and outreach. Activities include identifying and securing new resources to expand homeless prevention services. In addition, the Ten Year-Plan to End Homelessness prioritizes prevention as one of the nine goals including the development of regional access centers to provide prevention assistance, supporting the development of community resources and housing options for individuals transitioning out of jails, hospitals, and foster care systems and the establishment of a pool of flexible funding that can be used for assistance for those at risk of losing their current housing.

In response to priorities set forth by Congress, HUD placed permanent supportive affordable housing as the highest priority in its annual competition for CoC Homeless Assistance Program funds. In 2010, added emphasis was made to provide assistance to homeless veterans. The Commission to End Homelessness, in turn, has since followed the Federal government's lead and recommended policies for the CoC to meet the permanent housing priority and include the populations, which HUD has identified as a priority. Permanent supportive affordable housing is intended for homeless people who also have a disability, such as the mentally ill or persons afflicted with AIDS, and who will continue to need assistance even after transitioning from homelessness. Due to physical and mental limitations, these individuals will need sustained services and special housing on a long-term basis. Further, the County, in partnership with its CoC partners, works to ensure that resources are allocated towards the development of emergency and transitional shelter. The County of Orange will continue to create and prioritize new permanent housing beds for chronically homeless individuals.

Describe the strengths and gaps of the service delivery system for special needs population and persons experiencing homelessness, including, but not limited to, the services listed above

Based on the findings from the 2019 Orange County PIT Count and Survey, a total of 6,860 individuals are homeless on any given night in shelters and on the streets in Orange County on the night of January 23, 2019. However, availability of beds is limited to those needing emergency shelters, transitional housing or permanent housing, with an estimated 2,539 Emergency Shelter and 1,135 Transitional Housing beds throughout the County according to the 2019 Homeless Inventory Count (HIC).Orange County continues to increase its available shelter beds working towards providing shelter for a larger percentage of persons experiencing homelessness.

Orange County continues to make significant progress in reducing the number of veterans experiencing homelessness. The County of Orange and United Way have created the Marching Home initiative with the goal of ending veteran homelessness by the end of 2020. With the available resources and progress this is a strength and area that Orange County has seen strong reductions and impact.

The biggest gaps in Orange County are the availability of permanent housing resources such as permanent supportive housing and rapid re-housing. With a high rent market there is a need for more resources that have ongoing rental subsidies attached. Orange County has a high rental market with the challenge around availability of affordable housing options. This impacts the length of time that it is taking permanent housing programs to locate housing. With high rental costs this makes rapid re-housing challenging for many households experiencing homelessness. Orange County has looked for ways in which to reduce the amount of time that it takes for programs to locate permanent housing and resources to encourage property owners to work with homeless service programs.

The annual setting of priority needs and gaps for Orange County's system of care is facilitated through the Continuum of Care, Commission to End Homelessness and Implementation Groups, the Homeless Providers Forum, and the results of annual homeless needs assessment.

Provide a summary of the strategy for overcoming gaps in the institutional structure and service delivery system for carrying out a strategy to address priority needs

Each year when the County's Armory Emergency Shelter Program closes for the season, Orange County immediately loses 400 low-demand emergency shelter beds. To address this issue, it is critical to transition the

seasonal Armory Emergency Shelter Program to a year-round emergency shelter program. In order to improve the efficiency of the emergency shelter and access system to develop year-round permanent emergency shelters to replace the seasonal Armory Emergency Shelter Program in accordance with the ss. During 2011, the Commission to End Homelessness convened multiple community meetings and forums to solicit key stakeholder input regarding policies for year-round emergency shelter development. In 2013, the Orange County Board of Supervisors approved local funding to be utilized in acquiring sites for year-round emergency shelter and multi-service center for homeless families and individuals in Orange County and since 4 programs have been created consisting of over 700-year-round beds. The County has partnered with Cities to build additional shelters with 2 facilities currently being developed to open in 2020.

The County has identified creative ways in which various resources can be combined to provide permanent supportive housing for persons experiencing homelessness. This includes creating a prioritization for a portion of the turnover Housing Choice Vouchers (HCV) for persons experiencing homelessness. All housing authorities within Orange County have created a prioritization. Then funds through the state and local are being utilized to provide supportive services for persons who are being matched to the turnover HCV vouchers.

Orange County is also currently implementing a Plan to End Homelessness. The plan to end homelessness in Orange County is the product of integrated community collaboration and involves a dynamic, comprehensive system of services proportionate to the need, which effectively ends homelessness that began in 2012. It acts as a roadmap for how to effectively end homelessness in Orange County within ten years with a governing body (Commission to End Homelessness) that includes representatives from various municipal governments, business leaders, and other key stakeholders to implement the Plan and to provide accountability for its success. The County's Plan to End Homelessness proposes the following nine goals over the 2012-2022 period:

- Goal 1: Prevent Homelessness Ensure that no one in our community becomes homeless.
- Goal 2: Outreach to those who are homeless and at-risk of homelessness.
- Goal 3: Improve the efficacy of the emergency shelter and access system.
- Goal 4: Make strategic improvements in the transitional housing system.
- Goal 5: Develop permanent housing options linked to a range of supportive services.
- Goal 6: Ensure that people have the right resources, programs, and services to remain housed.
- Goal 7: Improve data systems to provide timely, accurate data that can be used to define the need for housing and related services and to measure outcomes.
- Goal 8: Develop the systems and organizational structures to provide oversight and accountability.
- Goal 9: Advocate for community support, social policy, and systemic changes necessary to succeed.
- •

SP-45 Goals Summary – 91.215(a)(4)

| Information | |
|-----------------|--|
| Goals Summary | |

| Sort Order | Goal Name | Start Year | End Year | Category | Geographic Area | Needs Addressed | Funding | Goal Outcome Indicator |
|------------|------------------------------|---------------|-------------|-----------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|--|--|
| ~ | Expand Affordable Housing | 2020 | 2024 | Affordable Housing | | Expand Affordable | CDBG: \$3,600,000 HOME: \$4,000,000 | Rental units constructed: 56 Household Housing Unit |
| | Opportunities | | | | | Housing Opportunities | EXG: \$0 | Homeowner Housing |
| | | | | | | | | 80 Household Housing Unit |
| 2 | Enhance Quality of | 2020 | 2024 | Affordable | | Enhance Quality | CDBG: \$4,675,000 | Public Facility or Infrastructure |
| | Life | | | Housing | | of Life | HOME: \$0 | Activities other than Low/Moderate |
| | | | | Non-Housing & | | | ESG: \$0 | Income Housing Benefit: |
| | | | | Community | | | | sons Assisted 21,340 People |
| | | | | Development | | | | |
| 3 | Public Services | 2020 | 2024 | Homeless | | Public Services | CDBG: \$1,990,688 | Public service activities other than |
| | | | | Non-Homeless | | | HOME: \$0 | Low/Moderate Income Housing |
| | | | | Special Needs | | | ESG: \$817,048 | Benefit: |
| | | | | | | | | 14,460 Persons Assisted |
| 4 | Planning and | 2020 | 2024 | Administration | | Planning and | CDBG: \$2,668,696 | |
| | Administration | | | | | Administration | HOME: \$938,823 | |
| | | | | | | | ESG: \$66.244 | |

Table 52 – Goals Summary

Goal Descriptions

| - | Goal Name | Goal Name Expand Affordable Housing Opportunities |
|---|-------------|---|
| | Goal | Expand and preserve the supply of affordable housing to create housing opportunities for low- and moderate-income households and homeless individuals |
| | Description | and families. |
| 2 | Goal Name | Enhance Quality of Life |
| | Goal | Strengthen, preserve, and enhance the physical character and quality of life in Orange County's low- and moderate-income neighborhoods, including the |
| | Description | housing stock and public infrastructure and facilities. |
| e | Goal Name | 3 Goal Name Public Services |

| | Goal | Continue to build the capacity of residents to empower themselves and help strengthen their community, through the funding of needed public services for |
|---|-------------|--|
| | Description | seniors, the homeless, and those at risk of homelessness. |
| 4 | Goal Name | 4 Goal Name Planning and Administration |
| | Goal | The Urban County will implement the goals and objectives of the Consolidated Plan by delivering a variety of Housing & Community Development |
| | Description | programs and activities. The Urban County will also continue to comply with the planning and reporting requirements of the Consolidated Plan regulations |
| | | and CDBG, HOME, and ESG regulations. Annually, the Urban County will monitor its use of CDBG, HOME, and ESG funds to ensure effective and |
| | | appropriate use of funds. |

Estimate the number of extremely low-income, low-income, and moderate-income families to whom the jurisdiction will provide affordable housing as defined by HOME 91.315(b)(2) The County anticipates utilizing HOME funds to expand the affordable rental housing inventory by a minimum of 56 units during this Consolidated Plan period.

SP-50 Public Housing Accessibility and Involvement – 91.215(c)

Need to Increase the Number of Accessible Units (if Required by a Section 504 Voluntary Compliance Agreement)

The public housing needs of Urban Orange County residents are met by the Orange County Housing Authority (OCHA), a division within Orange County Housing & Community Development. Most recently, OCHA has collaborated with the Housing & Community Development Division and the Orange County Health Care Agency for the following housing projects that are designed to accommodate residents with special needs:

- Doria II (Irvine): 10 units set aside to assist MHSA eligible households from OCHA's waiting list. The initial term of the HAP contract is 15 years, effective Jan 1, 2014. All 10 units are currently leased up.
- San Clemente Senior Apts. (San Clemente): 27 units set aside to assist 15 MHSA Chronically Disabled, and 12 seniors with a Chronic Health condition.
- Cerritos Senior Apts. (Unincorporated Anaheim): 19 units to assist MHSA households.
- Avenida Villas: 28 units to assist MHSA households. The initial term of the HAP contract is 10 years, effective January 2013. All 28 units are currently leased up.

OCHA takes efforts to ensure that their units are maintained to the highest standard of decent, safe, and sanitary housing. OCHA also maintains (and updates weekly) a list of all available units, including accessible units, in the lobby of its main office.

Activities to Increase Resident Involvements

OCHA has established a Resident Advisory Board, the membership of which represents the residents assisted by OCHA. The Board was consulted in the development of OCHA's Five-Year and Annual Public Housing Agency Plan.

Is the public housing agency designated as troubled under 24 CFR part 902?

No.

Plan to remove the 'troubled' designation

Not applicable.

SP-55 Barriers to affordable housing – 91.215(h)

Barriers to Affordable Housing

Lack of Affordable Housing Funds: The high construction and land costs in Orange County render the need for substantial subsidies for affordable housing. However, the availability of funding for affordable housing has been severely affected by the dissolution of redevelopment agencies in the State of California.

Environmental Protection: State law (California Environmental Quality Act and California Endangered Species Act) and federal law (National Environmental Policy Act and Federal Endangered Species Act) regulations require environmental review of proposed discretionary projects (e.g., subdivision maps, use permits, etc.). Costs and time delay resulting from the environmental review process are also added to the cost of housing. Recent State legislation

has aimed to streamline affordable housing development, including exempting certain projects from CEQA processing.

Land Use Policies: Local land use policies could be a constraint to housing development when the requirements for parking, height limit, density, and setbacks result in limiting the number of housing units that can be feasibly constructed on site. Recent changes in State law now require local jurisdictions develop objective standards to facilitate housing development.

Planning and Development Fees: Planning and development impact fees, such as for transportation, water, and sewer infrastructure improvements, often add to the overall cost of development.

Permit and Processing Procedures: Builders and developers frequently cite the cost of holding land during the evaluation and review process as a significant factor in the cost of housing. Processing times vary with the complexity of the project. Recent changes to State law require jurisdictions allow certain types of housing by right without discretionary review as a way to streamline housing development.

State and Federal Davis-Bacon Prevailing Wages: The State Department of Industrial Relations (DIR) expanded the kinds of projects that require the payment of prevailing wages. Prevailing wage adds to the overall cost of development. A prevailing wage must also be paid to laborers when federal funds are used to pay labor costs for any project over \$2,000 or on any multi-family project over eight units. Based on discussions with developers, various prevailing wage requirements typically inflate the development costs by 35 percent.

Strategy to Remove or Ameliorate the Barriers to Affordable Housing

The County and participating cities have also worked to address the issues surrounding barriers to affordable housing development through the Housing Element process required by the State of California. The California Department of Housing & Community Development (HCD) must review the Housing Element for compliance with State law. Among other things, each jurisdiction's Housing Element is required to identify opportunity sites with land use controls that facilitate affordable housing development. Another key component of HCD review is the extent of government policies that act as barriers to housing development, especially affordable housing development, and the jurisdiction's commitment to eliminating or mitigating the barriers. The current Housing Element planning period covers through October 2021. For the next update cycle, jurisdictions in Orange County are collectively responsible for the planning to accommodate over 182,000 new housing units.

Furthermore, the State legislative had passed numerous housing bills between 2017 and 2019 with the goal of facilitating housing production by streamlining development process, establishing objective development standards, providing funding and incentives, and making local governments accountable for compliance with State law.

To address neighborhood resistance to affordable housing, Housing & Community Development encourages all developers to work closely with local residents and community groups. In efforts to educate the public about Housing & Community Development and affordable housing efforts, the County also consults with the Kennedy Commission, a nonprofit organization that provides advocacy and education related to affordable housing in the County. The County's 2014-2021 Housing Element establishes an action that the County's Affordable Housing Project Manager and Housing & Community Development staff will work cooperatively with other governmental agencies, business

groups, universities, environmental organizations, housing advocates and the development community to increase public awareness of the importance of affordable housing to the County's long-term viability.

SP-60 Homelessness Strategy – 91.215(d)

Reaching out to homeless persons (especially unsheltered persons) and assessing their individual needs

agencies dispatch outreach teams to cities and unincorporated areas throughout the Orange County region. These teams are dispatched on a referral basis, as well as through the agency's outreach schedule. Frequently, the Health Care Agency and the Mental Health Association collaborate and coordinate activities and services for individual clients.

The Orange County Health Care Agency manages several state and locally-funded programs that conduct outreach to homeless individuals, through workers offering mental health services, substance abuse prevention, and AIDS/HIV prevention services. The Health Care Agency's Comprehensive Health Assessment Team-Homeless (CHAT–H) is charged with meeting the growing health needs of homeless individuals in families with children. This team is instrumental in dispatching Mobile Unit Teams that provide direct client services though mobile medical vans to sites (including the Santa Ana and Fullerton Cold Weather Shelters) and select motels where homeless families reside. The Mobile Unit Team has demonstrated success in serving homeless individuals and families with children.

There are also some local law enforcement agencies that have dedicated patrol officers to outreach to the homeless, sometimes independently and in other cases in partnership with County mental health workers. Independent and informal outreach efforts are also conducted through private organizations, including homeless service providers, faith-based organizations, service clubs, and private individuals. These services frequently include meals and/or food at local congregations, parks, and established gathering sites for people who are homeless.

To end homelessness in Orange County, it is essential that the programs and services aimed at preventing homelessness be strengthened and that potential clients be identified before their situation deteriorates and a crisis occurs. The primary goals of a community-wide homeless prevention system are to identify and assist persons at-risk of homelessness as quickly as possible and to offer ongoing case management and linkage to supportive services to address the underlying causes of the housing instability. The County's Plan to End Homelessness has recommended establishing a Central Intake system to identify and assess those at-risk of homelessness and link them to needed services.

The Continuum of Care utilizes a Coordinated entry system to outreach, assess, and coordinate those most in need and provide the appropriate resolves through a single point of entry.

Addressing the emergency and transitional housing needs of homeless persons

There has been a significant investment to increase the availability of emergency shelter within Orange County. There are six cities within Orange County over the past three years who have created new emergency shelters. This has been accomplished through the availability of resources from the state level as well as increased commitment towards addressing homelessness from a local level.

One notable response within Orange County is its use of the California National Guard Armories as emergency shelters during the winter months. The "Armory Emergency Shelter Program" allows for emergency shelter for

approximately five months out of the year, serving a maximum capacity of 400 individuals nightly, divided between two locations. The Armory Emergency Shelter Program is the main emergency shelter program in Orange County, both in terms of numbers served and operating principles. However, the program is more mass shelter than a service enriched housing environment, and the need far exceeds the 149-day operating period.

Transitional housing units in the County are supported through services from a variety of community-based service organizations. Transitional housing programs in Orange County assist all kinds of families and individuals, including women in crisis, pregnant women and their newborns, abused children and homeless youth, at-risk teens, victims of domestic violence and/or spousal desertion, young adults who have aged-out of the foster care system, military veterans, homeless adults living with HIV/AIDS, the mentally challenged, developmentally disabled persons, people who are homeless as a result of job loss or illness, those being discharged from hospitals, jails, or foster care, and those affected by natural disasters and other catastrophes. As part of recent HUD analysis, the Orange County CoC is reviewing the appropriate use of Transitional Housing in the CoC and "right-sizing" the housing and Shelter system to meet the needs of homeless individuals

Helping homeless persons (especially chronically homeless individuals and families, families with children, veterans and their families, and unaccompanied youth) make the transition to permanent housing and independent living, including shortening the period of time that individuals and families experience homelessness, facilitating access for homeless individuals and families to affordable housing units, and preventing individuals and families who were recently homeless from becoming homeless again.

The goal of the current model of homeless case management is to move clients towards self-sufficiency and a permanent nursing home. This current system model works well when partnered with a variety of supportive services. In Orange County, a variety of private, federal, state and county-funded programs offer job training courses, child care, work-appropriate clothing, food bags and/or meals, among other services. Many of these services follow clients through their shelter stay(s), and sometimes even after they have found permanent housing. The diversity of non-profit service providers in Orange County has meant that many different types of clients can be served simultaneously. Each provider tends to specialize in a particular type of client in order to tailor services effectively. Currently served populations include, but are not limited to: families, victims of domestic violence, veterans, chronically homeless men and women, individuals with mental health issues and their families, and individuals with physical and developmental disabilities and their families.

Historically, the most difficult clients to house are the chronically homeless. Most chronically homeless people have a disability that requires significant and costly support. The Mental Health Services Act (MHSA) Housing Program is a critical program that provides permanent housing with supportive services that cater to the needs of this hard-to-serve group. In addition to the MHSA Housing Program, other housing and supportive services are provided through the MHSA Housing program and Supports component of the MHSA for clients enrolled in a Full-Service Partnership Program. Clients of these programs must be seriously mentally ill adults or older adults or seriously mentally ill/seriously emotionally disturbed children and youth. The programs are client and family-driven and provide flexible resources that are tailored to each client's specific needs.

Help low-income individuals and families avoid becoming homeless, especially extremely low-income individuals and families who are likely to become homeless after being discharged from a publicly funded institution or system of care, or who are receiving assistance from public and private agencies that address housing, health, social services, employment, education or youth needs

The most fundamental risk factor for becoming homeless is acute poverty. Orange County has dozens of organizations, both private and public, providing resources to those at risk of homelessness. The solutions to developing a system capable of ensuring that people have the right resources to remain housed generally involve a continued high level of supportive services once an individual or family has been placed in permanent housing. Implementation of the Mental Health Services Act in Orange County has provided a large array of supportive services for those who are homeless or at high-risk of homelessness as well as having a serious mental illness. Supportive services include, but are not limited to, employment services, mentoring, in-home crisis stabilization, education and training, centralized assessment team services, recovery centers, residential treatment, a wellness center, and a transitional age youth discovery program.

SP-65 Lead based paint Hazards - 91.215(i)

Actions to address LBP hazards and increase access to housing without LBP hazards

In Orange County, the Childhood Lead Poisoning Prevention Program (CLPPP) follows children with abnormal or high blood lead levels, making home visits to families of affected children to determine the source of lead and provide education about lead poisoning. Program staff also coordinates health care needs; follow-up visits when needed and provides outreach and educational presentations to the community. CLPPP also provides resources to cities with significant number of older housing units to help respond to complaints of deteriorated lead-based paint and other lead-related hazards in residential housing.

The CLPPP's Environmental Health Department is currently working toward:

- Using progressive notification and action to achieve elimination of lead hazards identified during environmental investigations;
- Developing and implementing programs for training of investigation and enforcement agency personnel on identifying and correcting lead hazards in high risk jurisdictions;
- Providing educational materials on renovation and remodeling activities to housing and building departments, for public distribution; and
- Encouraging building departments to incorporate informing about lead-safe work practices into their building permit process (such as attaching renovation pamphlets to building permits) for housing built before 1978.

How are the actions listed above related to the extent of lead poisoning and hazards?

According to the 2011-2015 ACS, approximately 69 percent of owner-occupied housing and 61 percent of renteroccupied housing in the Urban County were built prior to 1980. Using the 75 percent national average of potential LBP hazard, an estimated 78,007 units (53,243 owner-occupied and 24,764 renter-occupied units) may contain LBP. Furthermore, approximately 51 percent of households in the Urban Orange County are low- and moderate-income (earn less than 100 percent of AMI). This translates to approximately 77,716 housing units with potential LBP that may be occupied by low- and moderate-income households.

How are the actions listed above integrated into housing policies and procedures?

The County continues to address the problem of lead-based paint through its implementation of the Lead-Safe Housing Regulation, which targets housing constructed before 1978 and where children under age six are most at risk of being affected by the hazards of lead-based paint. The County of Orange has designed its various housing programs to comply with 24 CFR Part 35. In addition, County housing rehabilitation programs allow for the abatement of lead-based paint as an eligible activity for assistance.

SP-70 Anti-Poverty Strategy – 91.215(j)

Jurisdiction Goals, Programs and Policies for reducing the number of Poverty-Level Families

The challenges associated with poverty–stress, strained family relationships, substandard housing, lower educational attainment, limited employment skills, unaffordable child care, and transportation difficulties – make it hard for low-income families to obtain and maintain employment, and therefore housing and basic needs. Economic stability can have lasting and measurable benefits for both parents and children.

The County seeks to reduce the number of people living in poverty (extremely low-income households earning less than 30 percent of the AMI) by providing a number of programs including housing assistance, supportive services, economic development assistance, and job training opportunities. The County will continue to leverage opportunities to support funding for Community Based Development Organizations, cities, and other groups to provide training and employment opportunities to extremely low-income persons. In collaboration with the County's Workforce Investment Board (OCDB), the County will continue to offer outreach and training to poverty level families and individuals. Through the CoC system, the County will work with the OCDB job-training providers to ensure that low- and moderate-income persons are trained with employable skills with the potential to earn higher wages.

In addition, the County's funding (through CDBG and ESG funds) of human service programs for basic needs, food distribution, and case management for homeless and those at risk of homelessness support the goals and strategies of reducing poverty.

How are the Jurisdiction poverty reducing goals, programs, and policies coordinated with this affordable housing plan?

OCHA's Housing Choice Voucher Program provides assisted families an incentive for employment opportunities through its Family Self Sufficiency (FSS) Program. The FSS Program participants receive resources and are taught job skills that enable them to gain employment and become self-sufficient.

Furthermore, housing costs often consume a large portion of lower-income households' monthly income. This creates a housing cost burden, leaving less money accessible for other necessities. As such, the County's efforts to create new affordable housing, both permanent and transitional, and rehabilitate existing housing contribute to curbing poverty in the County.

SP-80 Monitoring – 91.230

Describe the standards and procedures that the jurisdiction will use to monitor activities carried out in furtherance of the plan and will use to ensure long-term compliance with requirements of the programs involved, including minority business outreach and the comprehensive planning requirements

Housing & Community Development monitors entitlement grant activities carried out in continuance of each annual funding plan to ensure long-term compliance with the requirements of the programs involved. Housing & Community Development utilizes a comprehensive monitoring strategy called the Subrecipient Monitoring Compliance Plan (SMCP). The SMCP defines the roles and responsibilities of the various divisions and sections within Housing & Community Development and also the responsibilities and expectations of the subrecipients in terms of record keeping and reporting to ensure program compliance.

Productivity

As defined in the above referenced HUD Notice, productivity reflects the level of efficiency with which a grantee or subgrantee undertakes its activities. This can be measured by the quantity, quality, and pace that a project or activity is managed. During the last 10 years, the County has had in place mechanisms that have been utilized by subrecipients and staff to report the productivity of funded activities. One such tool has been the Grantee Performance Report (GPR) that is completed by subrecipients and submitted as supporting documentation for requests for reimbursement. This information is keyed into HUD's IDIS and subsequently used by Housing & Community Development staff to evaluate future funding applications, and to assist application review committees in recommending how entitlement funds are to be allocated.

Process

During the initial application submittal phase, each subrecipient of Federal funds is required to expand its presentation of proposed goals and outputs by including a narrative of the activity's outcomes. Outputs and Outcomes identified are subsequently incorporated into funding agreements and are viewed as additional performance milestones. All projects and activities are administered through these agreements. Contract Administrators evaluate the progress of their projects based on the funded agency's ability to meet the goals, outputs, and outcomes set forth by the Annual Action Plan.

In addition to the two required monitoring visits (including the project site, the subrecipients project files, accounting records), contract administrators may conduct site visits to provide technical assistance at the request of subrecipients. Additional technical assistance may be provided, should the determination be made that the subrecipient will benefit from the additional training. Technical assistance is provided as often as deemed necessary to ensure successful completion of the projects. Affordable housing development projects are monitored to ensure compliance with housing quality standards through Housing & Community Development staff-performed site visits, inspections, and auditing tenant files. In addition, property management is required to submit quarterly reports documenting compliance with income restrictions.

| S |
|----------------------|
| Č |
| ŏ |
| Ξ. |
| |
| 0 |
| õ |
| ă |
| $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}$ |
| |
| |
| σ |
| Ō |
| j, |
| Ö |
| Ō |
| õ |
| - |
| × |
| - T |
| |

AP-15 Expected Resources – 91.220(c)(1,2)

Introduction

A number of Housing & Community Development resources are currently available in the Urban County area. They include:

- Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) funds
- HOME Investment Partnerships Program (HOME) funds
 - Emergency Solutions Grant (ESG) funds
- General funds
- HUD Section 108 Loan funds
- HUD Housing Choice Voucher Program (through the Orange County Housing Authority)
- California Housing Finance Agency funds (CalHFA)
- State Housing and Community Development (HCD) housing funds
- State transportation funds
- National Housing Trust Fund

Anticipated Resources

| | | | Ex | pected Amount | Expected Amount Available Year 1 | | Expected | |
|---------|-----------------------|---|--------------------------|-----------------------|--|--------------|--|---|
| Program | Source of Funds | Uses of Funds | Annual Allocation: \$ | Program Income: \$ | Prior Year Resources: \$ | Total: \$ | Amount Available Remainder of ConPlan \$ | Narrative Description |
| CDBG | public - federal | Acquisition Admin and Planning Economic Development Housing Public Improvements | | | | | | The estimated amount of CDBG funds available over the planning period is based on allocations from FY 2019, excluding funds carried over from prior years. |
| | | Public Services | 3,273,896 | 200,000 | 451,301 | 3,965,197 | 13,895,000 | |
| НОМЕ | public - federal | Acquisition Homebuyer assistance Homeowner rehab Multifamily rental new construction Multifamily rental rehab New construction for ownership TBRA | 1,038,528 | 135,000 | | 1,173,528 | 4,694,000 | The estimated amount of HOME funds available over the planning period is based on allocations from FY 2019, excluding funds carried over from prior years. |
| ESG | public - federal | Conversion and rehab for transitional housing Financial Assistance Overnight shelter Rapid re-housing (rental assistance) Rental Assistance Services Transitional housing | 223,982 | | | 223,982 | 895,000 | The estimated amount of ESG funds available over the planning period is based on allocations from FY 2019, excluding funds carried over from prior years. |
| | | | Table 53 - Expe | cted Resources | Table 53 - Expected Resources – Priority Table | | | |

Page 96 of 151

| ∢ |
|------|
| nent |
| achr |
| Att |

| ing | |
|----------|---------|
| atch | |
| v m | |
| hov | |
| n of | |
| ptio | |
| scri | |
| a de | |
| ing a | |
| pnic | |
| , inc | |
| nds) | |
| al fu | |
| loc | |
| and | |
| tate | |
| ie, st | |
| rivat | |
| s (pi | |
| urce | |
| esol | |
| nal r | |
| litio | |
| add | |
| ose | |
| e th | |
| erage | |
| leve | |
| will | fied |
| u spui | atist |
| al fu | be s |
| / federa | will |
| w fe | nts wil |
| ain ho | eme |
| plai | quir |
| Щ | re |

County's ability to leverage projects at previous levels. However, the County continues to aggressively leverage its funding partnerships with public, private and Local and state budget deficits, and elimination of the state's redevelopment agencies by the Supreme Court decision, are factors that will continue to limit the non-profit groups.

homeless funds. The County can also leverage other State and HUD funds in conjunction with non-profit sponsors to expand the supply of housing for the senior and special needs populations. In general, Housing & Community Development funded projects are highly successful at leveraging other financing sources, as A well-planned CoC for the homeless has already been created which is funded with CoC, Emergency Solutions Grants, and State EFSP, and various state Housing & Community Development loan commitments are usually the first source of funding committed to a development.

Service providers usually solicit and provide their one hundred percent (100%) cash or in-kind match through private donations, fundraisers, foundations, United The Urban County's non-profit and public sector partners contribute non-federal funds to meet the HOME match requirement. To ensure compliance with ESG match requirements, the County requires verification of matching funds by service providers who submit documentation for reimbursement of expenditures. Way grants, and volunteer hours.

In 2013, the Orange County Board of Supervisors approved local funding to be utilized in acquiring sites for a year-round emergency shelter and multi-service If appropriate, describe publicly owned land or property located within the jurisdiction that may be used to address the needs identified in the plan

center for homeless families and individuals in Orange County.

Discussion

See discussions above.

Annual Goals and Objectives

AP-20 Annual Goals and Objectives

Goals Summary Information

| Expand Affordable20202021Affordable HousingExpand AffordableCDBG::Homeowner Housing RehatHousing OpportunitiesYr63,200Housing Opportunities\$763,200Housing UnitHousing Opportunities20202021Affordable HousingFinhance Quality ofEcs.50Housing UnitEnhance Quality of20202021Affordable HousingLife\$1,556,400Benefit 37,822 Persons AssLifeNon-HousingCommunityLifeHOME: 50Benefit 37,822 Persons AssDevelopmentCommunityCommunityESC: 50Benefit 37,822 Persons AssPublic Services20202021Mon-HousingLifeHOME: 50DevelopmentDevelopmentLifeHOME: 50Businesses Assisted: 5Public Services20202021Non-HousingPublic ServicesCDBG:DevelopmentDevelopmentDevelopmentBenefit 37,822 Persons Assisted: 5Public Services20202021Non-HousiesPublic ServicesS,973,033Public Services20202021RenderesPublic ServicesS,973,033Public Services202020212021AdministrationCDBG:Public Service Stories Assisted: 5Public Services202020212021AdministrationCDBG:Public Service Stories Assisted: 5Planning and20202021AdministrationAdministrationCDBG:AdministrationPlanning and20202021Administration< | Sort Order | Goal Name | Start Year | End Year | Category | Geographic Area | Needs Addressed | Funding | Goal Outcome Indicator |
|---|---------------|-----------------------|---------------|-------------|--------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|----------------|--|
| ies is in the interval of the | | Expand Affordable | 2020 | | Affordable Housing | | Expand Affordable | CDBG: | Homeowner Housing Rehabilitated: 80 |
| 2020 2021 Affordable Housing Enhance Quality of ESG: \$0 2020 2021 Affordable Housing Enhance Quality of CDBG: Non-Housing Life \$1,526,400 HOME: \$0 Development Enhance Quality of CDBG: \$1,526,400 Non-Housing Life \$1,526,400 HOME: \$0 2020 2021 Homeless Public Services \$1,526,400 Non-Housing Development Enhance Quality of CDBG: \$1,526,400 2020 2021 Homeless Non-Houses \$1,526,400 HOME: \$0 2020 2021 Homeless Public Services \$265,50 \$207,183 2020 2021 Administration \$565,630 HOME: \$0 \$203,382 2020 2021 Administration \$565,630 HOME: \$0 \$203,382 | | Housing Opportunities | | | | | Housing Opportunities | \$763,200 | Household Housing Unit |
| 120202021Affordable Housing5880,14620202021Affordable HousingEnhance Quality ofESG: \$0Non-HousingNon-HousingLife\$1,526,400Non-HousingCommunityEnhance Quality ofCDBG:20202021HomelessNon-Housing\$1,526,400Non-HousingCommunityEnhance Quality of\$1,526,40020202021HomelessNon-Homeless\$4,4,233Non-HomelessNon-Homeless\$424,223\$4,4,233Non-HomelessNon-Homeless\$4,24,223Non-HomelessNon-Homeless\$4,24,223Non-HomelessNon-Homeless\$4,24,223Non-HomelessNon-Homeless\$4,24,223Non-HomelessNon-Homeless\$4,24,223Non-HomelessNon-Homeless\$4,24,223Non-HomelessNon-Homeless\$4,24,223Non-HomelessNon-Homeless\$4,24,223Non-HomelessNon-Homeless\$4,24,223Non-HomelessNon-Homeless\$2,07,18320202021Administration\$565,630Non-HomelessAdministration\$565,630Non-HomelessAdministration\$565,630Non-HomelessAdministration\$565,630Non-HomelessS565,630HOME:Solor Solor So | | | | | | | | HOME: | |
| Image: state s | | | | | | | | \$880,146 | |
| 20202021Affordable HousingEnhance Quality ofCDBG:Non-HousingNon-HousingLife\$1,526,400Non-HousingCommunityBevelopment\$1,526,400CommunityCommunityEsG: \$0\$20220202021HomelessPublic Services\$424,223Non-HomelessNon-Homeless\$424,223HOME: \$020202021Administration\$565,630HOME: \$020202021Administration\$565,630HOME: \$020202021Administration\$565,630HOME: \$020202021Administration\$565,630HOME: \$020202021Administration\$565,630HOME: \$0 | | | | | | | | ESG: \$0 | |
| Non-HousingLife\$1,526,400CommunityCommunityHOME: \$0CommunityDevelopmentESG: \$020202021HomelessRublic ServicesNon-HomelessNon-Homeless\$424,223Non-HomelessNon-Homeless\$424,223Special NeedsESC: \$0ESC: \$0020202021Administration\$565,63020202021Administration\$565,630AdministrationSpecial NeedsPlanning and\$565,630AdministrationESC: \$203,183HOME:20202021Administration\$565,63020202021Administration\$565,630AdministrationScos, \$293,382\$293,382ESC: \$16,798ESC: \$16,798 | | Enhance Quality of | 2020 | 2021 | Affordable Housing | | Enhance Quality of | CDBG: | Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities |
| CommunityHOME: \$0DevelopmentDevelopment20202021Non-HomelessPublic ServicesSpecial Needs\$424,223Special NeedsSecial Needs20202021Administration\$565,630HOME: \$0Administration2020\$203,3828233,382\$233,3828233,382\$233,3828233,382\$233,3828233,382\$233,3828233,382\$233,3828233,382\$233,3828233,382\$233,3828233,382\$233,3828233,382\$233,3828233,382\$233,3828233,382\$233,3828233,382\$233,3828233,382\$233,382 | | Life | | | Non-Housing | | Life | \$1,526,400 | other than Low/Moderate Income Housing |
| DevelopmentESG: \$020202021Homeless20202021HomelessNon-Homeless\$424,223Non-Homeless\$424,223Special NeedsBublic Services20202021Administration\$565,630HOME:\$203,382Administration\$565,630HOME:\$203,382\$203,382\$293,382\$203,382\$203,382\$203,382\$203,382 | | | | | Community | | | HOME: \$0 | Benefit: 37,822 Persons Assisted |
| 20202021HomelessPublic ServicesCDBG:20202021Homeless\$424,223Non-HomelessNon-Homeless\$424,223Special NeedsESG: \$207,18320202021Administration20202021Administration20202021Administration20202021Administration20202021Administration20202021ESG: \$16,798 | | | | | Development | | | ESG: \$0 | Businesses Assisted: 5 |
| 20202021HomelessPublic ServicesCDBG:Non-HomelessNon-Homeless\$424,223Non-HomelessSpecial Needs\$424,223Special NeedsESG: \$207,183ESG: \$207,18320202021Administration\$565,630AdministrationSpecial NeedsAdministration20202021Administration\$565,63020202021Administration\$565,63020202021ESG: \$16,798 | | | | | | | | | |
| Non-Homeless Non-Homeless \$424,223 Special Needs ESG: \$207,183 2020 2021 Administration 2020 2021 Planning and 2020 2021 Administration 2033 8265,630 HOME: \$265,630 HOME: \$293,382 ESG: \$203,382 ESG: \$16,798 | | Public Services | 2020 | 2021 | | | Public Services | CDBG: | Public service activities other than |
| Special Needs HOME: \$0 2020 2021 Administration ESG: \$207,183 2020 2021 Administration \$565,630 Administration \$565,630 HOME: \$0 ESG: \$293,382 ESG: \$16,798 | | | | | Non-Homeless | | | \$424,223 | Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit: |
| 2020 2021 Administration Planning and Administration | | | | | Special Needs | | | HOME: \$0 | 2,879 Persons Assisted |
| 2020 2021 Administration Planning and Administration | | | | | | | | ESG: \$207,183 | |
| Administration | | Planning and | 2020 | 2021 | Administration | | Planning and | CDBG: | |
| | | Administration | | | | | Administration | \$565,630 | |
| \$293,382 ESG: \$16,798 | | | | | | | | HOME: | |
| ESG: \$16,798 | | | | | | | | \$293,382 | |
| | | | | | | | | ESG: \$16,798 | |

Table 54 – Goals Summary

Goal Descriptions

| - | 1 Goal Name | Expand Affordable Housing Opportunities |
|---|-------------|--|
| | Goal | Expand and preserve the supply of affordable housing to create housing opportunities for low- and moderate-income households and |
| | Description | homeless individuals and families. |
| 2 | Goal Name | Enhance Quality of Life |
| | Goal | Strengthen, preserve, and enhance the physical character and quality of life in Orange County's low- and moderate-income |
| | Description | neighborhoods, including the housing stock and public infrastructure and facilities. |
| n | Goal Name | Public Services |
| | Goal | Continue to build the capacity of residents to empower themselves and help strengthen their community, through the funding of needed |
| | Description | public services for seniors, the homeless, and those at risk of homelessness. |
| 4 | Goal Name | Planning and Administration |
| • | Goal | The Urban County will implement the goals and objectives of the Consolidated Plan by delivering a variety of housing and community |
| | Description | development programs and activities. The Urban County will also continue to comply with the planning and reporting requirements of the |
| | | Consolidated Plan regulations and CDBG, HOME, and ESG regulations. Annually, the Urban County will monitor its use of CDBG, |
| | | HOME, and ESG funds to ensure effective and appropriate use of funds. |

Projects

AP-35 Projects - 91.220(d)

Introduction

This plan outlines the action steps that the County of Orange will use to address housing and community development needs in the Orange Urban County. The plan includes a listing of activities that the County will undertake during FY 2020-2021 (July 1, 2020 through June 30, 2021) that utilize CDBG, HOME, and ESG funds. For FY 2020-2021, the County has a combined CDBG, HOME, and ESG allocation of \$4,716,963, including program income and prior year resources.

Projects

| # Project Name 1 Single Family Housing Rehabilitation (Brea) 2 Single Family Housing Rehabilitation (Cypress) 3 Leisure World Bathroom Accessibility Program (Seal Beach) 4 Safe Homes for OC Seniors (County) 5 ADA Ramp Compliance Uggrade (Brea) 6 District 13 Salary Seal (Cypress) 7 Sewer Lift Station at City's Homeless Shelter (Laguna Beach) 8 ADA Pedestrian Accessibility Improvements (Laguna Woods) 9 Apartment Row Street Improvement Project (Los Alamitos) 10 Placentia Hope Project (Colette's) 11 Midway City Community Center (Abrazar) 12 Alternative Sleeping Area (Laguna Beach) 13 Emergency Shelters (Mercy House) 14 Community Centers Improvements (County – Midway City) 15 Affordable Housing (County) 16 Fair Housing Education, Counseling and Enforcement 17 Administration (DBG) 18 Administration (CDBG) 19 Administration - Placentia (County) 21 Administration - Placentia (County) 22 S | | |
|---|----|--|
| 2 Single Family Housing Rehabilitation (Cypress) 3 Leisure World Bathroom Accessibility Program (Seal Beach) 4 Safe Homes for OC Seniors (County) 5 ADA Ramp Compliance Upgrade (Brea) 6 District 13 Salary Seal (Cypress) 7 Sewer Lift Station at City's Homeless Shelter (Laguna Beach) 8 ADA Pedestrian Accessibility Improvements (Laguna Woods) 9 Apartment Row Street Improvement Project (Los Alamitos) 10 Placentia Hope Project (Colette's) 11 Midway City Community Center (Abrazar) 12 Alternative Sleeping Area (Laguna Beach) 13 Emergency Shelters (Mercy House) 14 Community Centers Improvements (County – Midway City) 15 Affordable Housing (County) 16 Fair Housing Education, Counseling and Enforcement 17 Administration (CDBG) 18 Administration (ESG) 20 Administration – Placentia (County) 21 Administration – Placentia (County) 22 Senior Lunch Program (Placentia) 23 Neighborhood Services (Placentia) 24 Neighborhood Improvements (Placentia) | # | Project Name |
| 3 Leisure World Bathroom Accessibility Program (Seal Beach) 4 Safe Homes for OC Seniors (County) 5 ADA Ramp Compliance Upgrade (Brea) 6 District 13 Salary Seal (Cypress) 7 Sewer Lift Station at City's Homeless Shelter (Laguna Beach) 8 ADA Pedestrian Accessibility Improvements (Laguna Woods) 9 Apartment Row Street Improvement Project (Los Alamitos) 10 Placentia Hope Project (Colette's) 11 Midway City Community Center (Abrazar) 12 Alternative Sleeping Area (Laguna Beach) 13 Emergency Shelters (Mercy House) 14 Community Centers Improvements (County – Midway City) 15 Affordable Housing (County) 16 Fair Housing Education, Counseling and Enforcement 17 Administration (CDBG) 18 Administration (ESG) 20 Administration – Placentia (County) 21 Administration - Placentia (County) 22 Senior Lunch Program (Placentia) 23 Neighborhood Services (Placentia) 24 Neighborhood Improvements (Placentia) 25 Housing Rehabilitation (Placentia) 26 <td></td> <td></td> | | |
| 4 Safe Homes for OC Seniors (County) 5 ADA Ramp Compliance Upgrade (Brea) 6 District 13 Salary Seal (Cypress) 7 Sewer Lift Station at City's Homeless Shelter (Laguna Beach) 8 ADA Pedestrian Accessibility Improvements (Laguna Woods) 9 Apartment Row Street Improvement Project (Los Alamitos) 10 Placentia Hope Project (Colette's) 11 Midway City Community Center (Abrazar) 12 Alternative Sleeping Area (Laguna Beach) 13 Emergency Shelters (Mercy House) 14 Community Centers Improvements (County – Midway City) 15 Affordable Housing (County) 16 Fair Housing Education, Counseling and Enforcement 17 Administration (CDBG) 18 Administration (HOME) 19 Administration (ESG) 20 Administration - Placentia (County) 21 Administration - Placentia (City) 22 Senior Lunch Program (Placentia) 23 Neighborhood Services (Placentia) 24 Neighborhood Improvements (Placentia) 25 Housing Rehabilitation (Placentia) 26 Façade Improvements (Plac | | |
| 5 ADA Ramp Compliance Upgrade (Brea) 6 District 13 Salary Seal (Cypress) 7 Sewer Lift Station at City's Homeless Shelter (Laguna Beach) 8 ADA Pedestrian Accessibility Improvements (Laguna Woods) 9 Apartment Row Street Improvement Project (Los Alamitos) 10 Placentia Hope Project (Colette's) 11 Midway City Community Center (Abrazar) 12 Alternative Sleeping Area (Laguna Beach) 13 Emergency Shelters (Mercy House) 14 Community Centers Improvements (County – Midway City) 15 Affordable Housing (County) 16 Fair Housing Education, Counseling and Enforcement 17 Administration (CDBG) 18 Administration (HOME) 19 Administration (ESG) 20 Administration Placentia (County) 21 Administration - Placentia (County) 22 Senior Lunch Program (Placentia) 23 Neighborhood Services (Placentia) 24 Neighborhood Improvements (Placentia) 25 Housing Rehabilitation (Placentia) 26 Façade Improvements (Placentia) 27 Administration – Yorba Lind | 3 | |
| 6 District 13 Salary Seal (Cypress) 7 Sewer Lift Station at City's Homeless Shelter (Laguna Beach) 8 ADA Pedestrian Accessibility Improvements (Laguna Woods) 9 Apartment Row Street Improvement Project (Los Alamitos) 10 Placentia Hope Project (Colette's) 11 Midway City Community Center (Abrazar) 12 Alternative Sleeping Area (Laguna Beach) 13 Emergency Shelters (Mercy House) 14 Community Centers Improvements (County – Midway City) 15 Affordable Housing (County) 16 Fair Housing Education, Counseling and Enforcement 17 Administration (CDBG) 18 Administration (ESG) 20 Administration – Placentia (County) 21 Administration - Placentia (County) 22 Senior Lunch Program (Placentia) 23 Neighborhood Services (Placentia) 24 Neighborhood Improvements (Placentia) 25 Housing Rehabilitation (Placentia) 26 Façade Improvements (Placentia) 27 Administration – Yorba Linda (County) 28 Administration – Yorba Linda (City) | 4 | Safe Homes for OC Seniors (County) |
| 7Sewer Lift Station at City's Homeless Shelter (Laguna Beach)8ADA Pedestrian Accessibility Improvements (Laguna Woods)9Apartment Row Street Improvement Project (Los Alamitos)10Placentia Hope Project (Colette's)11Midway City Community Center (Abrazar)12Alternative Sleeping Area (Laguna Beach)13Emergency Shelters (Mercy House)14Community Centers Improvements (County – Midway City)15Affordable Housing (County)16Fair Housing Education, Counseling and Enforcement17Administration (CDBG)18Administration (HOME)19Administration – Placentia (County)21Administration - Placentia (County)22Senior Lunch Program (Placentia)23Neighborhood Services (Placentia)24Neighborhood Improvements (Placentia)25Housing Rehabilitation (Placentia)26Façade Improvements (Placentia)27Administration – Yorba Linda (County)28Administration – Yorba Linda (City) | 5 | ADA Ramp Compliance Upgrade (Brea) |
| 8 ADA Pedestrian Accessibility Improvements (Laguna Woods) 9 Apartment Row Street Improvement Project (Los Alamitos) 10 Placentia Hope Project (Colette's) 11 Midway City Community Center (Abrazar) 12 Alternative Sleeping Area (Laguna Beach) 13 Emergency Shelters (Mercy House) 14 Community Centers Improvements (County – Midway City) 15 Affordable Housing (County) 16 Fair Housing Education, Counseling and Enforcement 17 Administration (CDBG) 18 Administration (HOME) 19 Administration (ESG) 20 Administration - Placentia (County) 21 Administration - Placentia (City) 22 Senior Lunch Program (Placentia) 23 Neighborhood Services (Placentia) 24 Neighborhood Improvements (Placentia) 25 Housing Rehabilitation (Placentia) 26 Façade Improvements (Placentia) 27 Administration - Yorba Linda (County) 28 Administration - Yorba Linda (City) | 6 | District 13 Salary Seal (Cypress) |
| 9 Apartment Row Street Improvement Project (Los Alamitos) 10 Placentia Hope Project (Colette's) 11 Midway City Community Center (Abrazar) 12 Alternative Sleeping Area (Laguna Beach) 13 Emergency Shelters (Mercy House) 14 Community Centers Improvements (County – Midway City) 15 Affordable Housing (County) 16 Fair Housing Education, Counseling and Enforcement 17 Administration (CDBG) 18 Administration (HOME) 19 Administration (ESG) 20 Administration – Placentia (County) 21 Administration - Placentia (City) 22 Senior Lunch Program (Placentia) 23 Neighborhood Improvements (Placentia) 24 Neighborhood Improvements (Placentia) 25 Housing Rehabilitation (Placentia) 26 Façade Improvements (Placentia) 27 Administration – Yorba Linda (City) | 7 | Sewer Lift Station at City's Homeless Shelter (Laguna Beach) |
| 10Placentia Hope Project (Colette's)11Midway City Community Center (Abrazar)12Alternative Sleeping Area (Laguna Beach)13Emergency Shelters (Mercy House)14Community Centers Improvements (County – Midway City)15Affordable Housing (County)16Fair Housing Education, Counseling and Enforcement17Administration (CDBG)18Administration (HOME)19Administration (ESG)20Administration - Placentia (County)21Administration - Placentia (County)22Senior Lunch Program (Placentia)23Neighborhood Services (Placentia)24Neighborhood Improvements (Placentia)25Housing Rehabilitation (Placentia)26Façade Improvements (Placentia)27Administration - Yorba Linda (County)28Administration - Yorba Linda (City) | 8 | ADA Pedestrian Accessibility Improvements (Laguna Woods) |
| 11Midway City Community Center (Abrazar)12Alternative Sleeping Area (Laguna Beach)13Emergency Shelters (Mercy House)14Community Centers Improvements (County – Midway City)15Affordable Housing (County)16Fair Housing Education, Counseling and Enforcement17Administration (CDBG)18Administration (HOME)19Administration (ESG)20Administration - Placentia (County)21Administration - Placentia (City)22Senior Lunch Program (Placentia)23Neighborhood Services (Placentia)24Neighborhood Improvements (Placentia)25Housing Rehabilitation (Placentia)26Façade Improvements (Placentia)27Administration - Yorba Linda (County)28Administration - Yorba Linda (City) | 9 | Apartment Row Street Improvement Project (Los Alamitos) |
| 12Alternative Sleeping Area (Laguna Beach)13Emergency Shelters (Mercy House)14Community Centers Improvements (County – Midway City)15Affordable Housing (County)16Fair Housing Education, Counseling and Enforcement17Administration (CDBG)18Administration (HOME)19Administration (ESG)20Administration – Placentia (County)21Administration – Placentia (City)22Senior Lunch Program (Placentia)23Neighborhood Services (Placentia)24Neighborhood Improvements (Placentia)25Housing Rehabilitation (Placentia)26Façade Improvements (Placentia)27Administration – Yorba Linda (County)28Administration – Yorba Linda (City) | 10 | Placentia Hope Project (Colette's) |
| 13Emergency Shelters (Mercy House)14Community Centers Improvements (County – Midway City)15Affordable Housing (County)16Fair Housing Education, Counseling and Enforcement17Administration (CDBG)18Administration (HOME)19Administration (ESG)20Administration – Placentia (County)21Administration - Placentia (City)22Senior Lunch Program (Placentia)23Neighborhood Services (Placentia)24Neighborhood Improvements (Placentia)25Housing Rehabilitation (Placentia)26Façade Improvements (Placentia)27Administration – Yorba Linda (County)28Administration – Yorba Linda (City) | 11 | Midway City Community Center (Abrazar) |
| 14Community Centers Improvements (County – Midway City)15Affordable Housing (County)16Fair Housing Education, Counseling and Enforcement17Administration (CDBG)18Administration (HOME)19Administration (ESG)20Administration – Placentia (County)21Administration - Placentia (County)22Senior Lunch Program (Placentia)23Neighborhood Services (Placentia)24Neighborhood Improvements (Placentia)25Housing Rehabilitation (Placentia)26Façade Improvements (Placentia)27Administration – Yorba Linda (County)28Administration – Yorba Linda (City) | 12 | Alternative Sleeping Area (Laguna Beach) |
| 15Affordable Housing (County)16Fair Housing Education, Counseling and Enforcement17Administration (CDBG)18Administration (HOME)19Administration (ESG)20Administration – Placentia (County)21Administration - Placentia (City)22Senior Lunch Program (Placentia)23Neighborhood Services (Placentia)24Neighborhood Improvements (Placentia)25Housing Rehabilitation (Placentia)26Façade Improvements (Placentia)27Administration – Yorba Linda (County)28Administration – Yorba Linda (City) | 13 | Emergency Shelters (Mercy House) |
| Fair Housing Education, Counseling and Enforcement Administration (CDBG) Administration (HOME) Administration (ESG) Administration – Placentia (County) Administration – Placentia (City) Senior Lunch Program (Placentia) Neighborhood Services (Placentia) Neighborhood Improvements (Placentia) Housing Rehabilitation (Placentia) Façade Improvements (Placentia) Administration – Yorba Linda (County) Administration – Yorba Linda (City) | 14 | Community Centers Improvements (County – Midway City) |
| 17Administration (CDBG)18Administration (HOME)19Administration (ESG)20Administration – Placentia (County)21Administration – Placentia (City)22Senior Lunch Program (Placentia)23Neighborhood Services (Placentia)24Neighborhood Improvements (Placentia)25Housing Rehabilitation (Placentia)26Façade Improvements (Placentia)27Administration – Yorba Linda (County)28Administration – Yorba Linda (City) | 15 | Affordable Housing (County) |
| Administration (HOME) Administration (ESG) Administration – Placentia (County) Administration – Placentia (City) Senior Lunch Program (Placentia) Neighborhood Services (Placentia) Neighborhood Improvements (Placentia) Housing Rehabilitation (Placentia) Façade Improvements (Placentia) Administration – Yorba Linda (County) Administration – Yorba Linda (City) | 16 | Fair Housing Education, Counseling and Enforcement |
| 19Administration (ESG)20Administration – Placentia (County)21Administration – Placentia (City)22Senior Lunch Program (Placentia)23Neighborhood Services (Placentia)24Neighborhood Improvements (Placentia)25Housing Rehabilitation (Placentia)26Façade Improvements (Placentia)27Administration – Yorba Linda (County)28Administration – Yorba Linda (City) | 17 | Administration (CDBG) |
| 20Administration - Placentia (County)21Administration - Placentia (City)22Senior Lunch Program (Placentia)23Neighborhood Services (Placentia)24Neighborhood Improvements (Placentia)25Housing Rehabilitation (Placentia)26Façade Improvements (Placentia)27Administration - Yorba Linda (County)28Administration - Yorba Linda (City) | 18 | Administration (HOME) |
| Administration - Placentia (City) Senior Lunch Program (Placentia) Neighborhood Services (Placentia) Neighborhood Improvements (Placentia) Housing Rehabilitation (Placentia) Façade Improvements (Placentia) Administration – Yorba Linda (County) Administration – Yorba Linda (City) | 19 | Administration (ESG) |
| 22 Senior Lunch Program (Placentia) 23 Neighborhood Services (Placentia) 24 Neighborhood Improvements (Placentia) 25 Housing Rehabilitation (Placentia) 26 Façade Improvements (Placentia) 27 Administration – Yorba Linda (County) 28 Administration – Yorba Linda (City) | 20 | Administration – Placentia (County) |
| 23 Neighborhood Services (Placentia) 24 Neighborhood Improvements (Placentia) 25 Housing Rehabilitation (Placentia) 26 Façade Improvements (Placentia) 27 Administration – Yorba Linda (County) 28 Administration – Yorba Linda (City) | 21 | Administration - Placentia (City) |
| 24 Neighborhood Improvements (Placentia) 25 Housing Rehabilitation (Placentia) 26 Façade Improvements (Placentia) 27 Administration – Yorba Linda (County) 28 Administration – Yorba Linda (City) | 22 | Senior Lunch Program (Placentia) |
| Housing Rehabilitation (Placentia) Façade Improvements (Placentia) Administration – Yorba Linda (County) Administration – Yorba Linda (City) | 23 | Neighborhood Services (Placentia) |
| 26 Façade Improvements (Placentia) 27 Administration – Yorba Linda (County) 28 Administration – Yorba Linda (City) | 24 | Neighborhood Improvements (Placentia) |
| 27 Administration – Yorba Linda (County) 28 Administration – Yorba Linda (City) | 25 | Housing Rehabilitation (Placentia) |
| 28 Administration – Yorba Linda (City) | 26 | Façade Improvements (Placentia) |
| | 27 | Administration – Yorba Linda (County) |
| | 28 | Administration – Yorba Linda (City) |
| | 29 | |

| # | Project Name |
|----|--|
| 30 | Neighborhood Improvement Program (Yorba Linda) |
| 31 | ADA Improvements (Public Parks Parking Lots and Public Facilities) |
| | Table 55 Drainat Information |

 Table 55 – Project Information

Describe the reasons for allocation priorities and any obstacles to addressing underserved needs

One of the greatest challenges in meeting the underserved needs of low- and moderate-income persons is having limited financial resources. The Urban County will continue to use its CDBG, HOME, and ESG funding to support: the development of affordable housing and public service agencies that address the special needs of the underserved, including the homeless, those at risk of homelessness, youth, seniors, female-headed households, victims of domestic violence, and the disabled. The County also proactively seeks additional resources to better meet the underserved needs. Funding will principally be used to expand affordable housing opportunities through new construction and acquisition/rehabilitation.

AP-38 Project Summary

Project Summary Information

| | Project Name | Single Family Rehabilitation |
|---|---|--|
| | Target Area | City of Brea |
| | Goals Supported | Expand Affordable Housing Opportunities |
| | Needs Addressed | Expand Affordable Housing Opportunities |
| | Funding | \$190,800 |
| 1 | Description | Funds will be used for the rehabilitation of single-family low or very-low-income owner-occupied residences. Improvements will include interior and exterior improvements to homes and mobile home units. |
| | Target Date | 6/30/2021 |
| | Estimate the number and type of families that will benefit from the proposed activities | An estimated 10 households are expected to benefit from these proposed activities. |
| | Location Description | City Wide |
| | Planned Activities | HR - LMH |
| | Project Name | Single Family Housing Rehabilitation |
| | Target Area | City of Cypress |
| | Goals Supported | Expand Affordable Housing Opportunities |
| | Needs Addressed | Expand Affordable Housing Opportunities |
| | Funding | \$169,600 |
| 2 | Description | Funds will be used to support the Home Enhancement Program or HELP II, which provides forgivable loans up to \$20,000 to income eligible homeowners in order to assist them with home improvements. |
| | Target Date | 6/30/2021 |
| | Estimate the number and type of families that will benefit from the proposed activities | An estimated minimum of 5 households are expected to benefit from these proposed activities. |
| | Location Description | City Wide |
| | Planned Activities | HR - LMH |
| | Project Name | Leisure World Bathroom Accessibility Program |
| | Target Area | City of Seal Beach Leisure World |
| | Goals Supported | Expand Affordable Housing Opportunities |
| | Needs Addressed | Expand Affordable Housing Opportunities |
| | Funding | \$190,800 |
| 3 | Description | Funds will be used to provide grants to income qualified, disabled seniors to improve bathroom accessibility and safety by converting the tub/shower into an accessible shower stall. |
| | Target Date | 6/30/2021 |
| | Estimate the number and type of families that will benefit from the proposed activities | An estimated 55 households are expected to benefit from these proposed activities |
| | Location Description | Leisure World of Seal Beach |
| | Planned Activities | HR - LMH |
| | • | |

| | Project Name | Safe Homes for OC Seniors |
|---|---|---|
| | Target Area | County Unincorporated Areas |
| | Goals Supported | Expand Affordable Housing Opportunities |
| | Needs Addressed | Expand Affordable Housing Opportunities |
| | Funding | \$212.000 |
| 4 | Description | The rehabilitation of manufactured housing and Single-Family Homes for seniors in unincorporated areas of the County |
| | Target Date | 6/30/2021 |
| | Estimate the number and type of families that will benefit from the proposed activities | Minimum of 10 units rehabilitated |
| | Location Description | Unincorporated areas in Orange County |
| | Planned Activities | HR |
| | Project Name | ADA Ramp Compliance Upgrade |
| | Target Area | City of Brea |
| | Goals Supported | Enhance the Quality of Life |
| | Needs Addressed | Enhance the Quality of Life |
| | Funding | \$159,000 |
| 5 | Description | ADA Ramp Compliance Upgrade |
| Ū | Target Date | 6/30/2021 |
| | Estimate the number and type of families that will benefit from the proposed activities | 10 to 15 Ramps - LMA 1504 people |
| | Location Description | City Wide |
| | Planned Activities | PF&I - LMA |
| | Project Name | District 13 Salary Seal |
| | Target Area | City of Cypress |
| | Goals Supported | Enhance the Quality of Life |
| | Needs Addressed | Enhance the Quality of Life |
| | Funding | \$302,100 |
| 6 | Description | Street Improvements |
| | Target Date | 6/30/2021 |
| | Estimate the number and type of families that will benefit from the proposed activities | 1,985 People |
| | Location Description | District 13 in the City of Cypress |
| | Planned Activities | PF&I - LMA |
| | Project Name | Sewer Lift Station at City's Homeless Shelter |
| | Target Area | City of Laguna Beach |
| | Goals Supported | Enhance the Quality of Life |
| 7 | Needs Addressed | Enhance the Quality of Life |
| | Funding | \$371,000 |
| | Description | ASL Sewer Improvements |
| | Target Date | 6/30/2021 |

| | Estimate the number and type of families that will benefit from the proposed activities | 16,425 people |
|----|---|---|
| | Location Description | Alternative Sleeping Location |
| | Planned Activities | PF&I |
| | Project Name | ADA Pedestrian Accessibility Improvements |
| | Target Area | City of Laguna Woods |
| | Goals Supported | Enhance the Quality of Life |
| | Needs Addressed | Enhance the Quality of Life |
| | Funding | \$159,000 |
| 8 | Description | Funds will be used for the reconstruction of estimated 7 curb ramps to comply with ADA requirements, sidewalk lifts and adjustments needed. |
| | Target Date | 6/30/2021 |
| | Estimate the number and type of families that will benefit from the proposed activities | 15,395 People |
| | Location Description | City Wide |
| | Planned Activities | PF&I - LMA |
| | Project Name | Apartment Row Street Improvement Project |
| | Target Area | City of Los Alamitos |
| | Goals Supported | Enhance the Quality of Life |
| | Needs Addressed | Enhance the Quality of Life |
| | Funding | \$116,600 |
| 9 | Description | Street Improvements |
| | Target Date | 6/30/2021 |
| | Estimate the number and type of families that will benefit from the proposed activities | 1,426 People |
| | Location Description | Apartment Row Street Improvement Project |
| | Planned Activities | PF&I |
| | Project Name | Placentia Hope Project |
| | Target Area | Colette's Children's Home |
| | Goals Supported | Enhance the Quality of Life |
| | Needs Addressed | Enhance the Quality of Life |
| | Funding | \$371,000 |
| 10 | Description | 6/30/2021 |
| | Target Date | 6/30/2021 |
| | Estimate the number and type of families that will benefit from the proposed activities | 2 Units added to existing structure to assist 12 people. |
| | Location Description | Placentia Hope Project |
| | Planned Activities | PF&I - LMC |
| | Project Name | Abrazar |
| 11 | Target Area | Midway City Community Services and Education Center |
| | Goals Supported | Public Services |

| | Needs Addressed | Public Services |
|----|---|--|
| | Funding | \$64,470 |
| | Description | Funds will be used to provide social services programming at the Community Center. Abrazar, Inc. will be responsible for delivery of continuous support of existing social services programs for residents within the immediate neighborhoods. Services provided include: educational, recreational, and cultural programming, transportation, senior citizen programming, employment, health and medical information and referrals. |
| | Target Date | 6/30/2021 |
| | Estimate the number and type of families that will benefit from the proposed activities | An estimated 1,200 persons will be assisted through these proposed activities. |
| | Location Description | Midway City Community Center |
| | Planned Activities | Public Service Operations |
| | Project Name | Alternative Sleeping Area |
| | Target Area | City of Laguna Beach |
| | Goals Supported | Public Services |
| | Needs Addressed | Public Services |
| | Funding | \$140,326 |
| 12 | Description | Funds will be used to provide emergency shelter for 45 homeless individuals from the City of Laguna Beach and surrounding cities. |
| | Target Date | 6/30/2021 |
| | Estimate the number and type of families that will benefit from the proposed activities | 45 Homeless Individuals per night. |
| | Location Description | Alternative Sleeping Location Laguna Beach |
| | Planned Activities | Public Service Operations |
| | Project Name | Mercy House |
| | Target Area | Shelter Program(Year-Round/Seasonal) |
| | Goals Supported | Public Services |
| | Needs Addressed | Public Services |
| | Funding | CDBG: \$221,218 ESG: \$207,183 |
| 13 | Description | Funds will be provided to Mercy House for the provision of essential services, operations, emergency shelter, showers, and meals for homeless clients. |
| | Target Date | 6/30/2021 |
| | Estimate the number and type of families that will benefit from the proposed activities | An estimated 1,654 homeless persons are expected to benefit from this proposed activity. |
| | Location Description | Armory and or Homeless Shelter(s) |
| | Planned Activities | Funds will be used to provide essential services, operations, emergency shelter, showers, and meals for homeless clients. |
| | Project Name | Community Center Improvements |
| 11 | Target Area | Midway City |
| 14 | Goals Supported | Enhance the Quality of Life |
| | Needs Addressed | Enhance the Quality of Life |

| | Funding | \$47,700 |
|----|---|---|
| | Description | Funds will be used for improvements to a County owned facility. |
| | Target Date | 6/30/2021 |
| | Estimate the number and type of families that will benefit from the proposed activities | An estimated 1,075 persons will benefit from these proposed activities. |
| | Location Description | Midway City Community Center |
| | Planned Activities | PF&I |
| | Project Name | Affordable Housing |
| | Target Area | Orange County |
| | Goals Supported | Expand Affordable Housing Opportunities |
| | Needs Addressed | Expand Affordable Housing Opportunities |
| | Funding | HOME: \$880,146 |
| 15 | Description | The County will use funds for affordable housing development opportunities. |
| | Target Date | 6/30/2021 |
| | Estimate the number and type of families that will benefit from the proposed activities | |
| | Location Description | Orange County |
| | Planned Activities | The County will use funds for affordable housing development opportunities. |
| | Project Name | Fair Housing Education, Counseling and Enforcement |
| | Target Area | County of Orange |
| | Goals Supported | Planning and Administration |
| | Needs Addressed | Planning and Administration |
| 16 | Funding | \$52,000 |
| | Description | Utilize funds to provide fair housing education, six community events, counseling, enforcement, and landlord/tenant counseling and updates to the AI. |
| | Target Date | 6/30/2021 |
| | Estimate the number and type of families that will benefit from the proposed activities | An estimated 1,080 persons are expected to benefit from this proposed activity |
| | Location Description | Orange County |
| | Planned Activities | Public Service funded with Administration Funds |
| 17 | Project Name | Administration (CDBG) |
| | Target Area | County of Orange |
| | Goals Supported | Planning and Administration |
| | Needs Addressed | Planning and Administration |
| | Funding | \$565,630 |
| | Description | Funds will be utilized for administration of HUD-sponsored Urban County programs. |
| | Target Date | 6/30/2021 |
| | Estimate the number and type of families that will benefit from the proposed activities | N/A |
| | Location Description | Orange County |

| | Planned Activities | Planning and Administration |
|----|---|---|
| | Project Name | Administration (HOME) |
| | Target Area | County of Orange |
| | Goals Supported | Planning and Administration |
| | Needs Addressed | Planning and Administration |
| | Funding | \$293,382 |
| 18 | Description | Funds will be utilized for administration of HUD-sponsored Urban County programs. |
| | Target Date | 6/30/2021 |
| | Estimate the number and type of families that will benefit from the proposed activities | N/A |
| | Location Description | Orange County |
| | Planned Activities | Planning and Administration |
| | Project Name | Administration (ESG) |
| | Target Area | County of Orange |
| | Goals Supported | Planning and Administration |
| | Needs Addressed | Planning and Administration |
| | Funding | \$16,798 |
| 19 | Description | Funds will be utilized for administration of HUD-sponsored Urban County programs. |
| | Target Date | 6/30/2021 |
| | Estimate the number and type of families that will benefit from the proposed activities | N/A |
| | Location Description | Orange County |
| | Planned Activities | Planning and Administration |
| | Project Name | Administration (County) |
| | Target Area | City of Placentia |
| | Goals Supported | Planning and Administration |
| | Needs Addressed | Planning and Administration |
| | Funding | CDBG: \$39,102 |
| 20 | Description | Funds will be used for administration of the Contracts for the City of Placentia |
| | Target Date | 6/30/2021 |
| | Estimate the number and type of families that will benefit from the proposed activities | N/A |
| | Location Description | Orange County |
| | Planned Activities | Planning and Administration |
| | Project Name | Administration (City) |
| | Target Area | City of Placentia |
| | Goals Supported | Planning and Administration |
| 21 | Needs Addressed | Planning and Administration |
| | Funding | CDBG: \$39,102 |
| | Description | Funds will be used for administration of the Contracts for the City of Placentia |

| | Target Date | 6/30/2021 |
|----|---|--|
| | Estimate the number and type of families that will benefit from the proposed activities | N/A |
| | Location Description | City of Placentia |
| | Planned Activities | Public Services |
| | Project Name | Senior Lunch Program |
| | Target Area | City of Placentia |
| | Goals Supported | Public Services |
| | Needs Addressed | Public Services |
| | Funding | \$20,700 |
| 22 | Description | Senior Lunch Program |
| 22 | Target Date | 6/30/2021 |
| | Estimate the number and type of families that will benefit from the proposed activities | An estimated 450 seniors are expected to benefit from the proposes activity. |
| | Location Description | Senior Center |
| | Planned Activities | Public Services |
| | Project Name | Neighborhood Services |
| | Target Area | City of Placentia |
| | Goals Supported | Public Services |
| | Needs Addressed | Public Services |
| | Funding | \$37,879 |
| 00 | Description | Funds will be used for the operations and support staff at the Senior Center. |
| 23 | Target Date | 6/30/2021 |
| | Estimate the number and type of families that will benefit from the proposed activities | An estimated 800 low income people are expected to benefit from the proposed activity |
| | Location Description | Senior Center |
| | Planned Activities | Funds will be used for the operations and support staff on the Neighborhood Services Division for various food distribution programs and coordinating services for low income residents. |
| 24 | Project Name | Neighborhood Improvements |
| | Target Area | City of Placentia |
| | Goals Supported | Enhance the Quality of Life |
| | Needs Addressed | Enhance the Quality of Life |
| | Funding | \$191,744 |
| | Description | Neighborhood Facilities (Old City Hall: ADA Improvements to Restrooms, Doorways and Ramps) |
| | Target Date | 6/30/2021 |
| | Estimate the number and type of families that will benefit from the proposed activities | 600 People |
| | Location Description | Old City Hall |
| | Planned Activities | PF&I |
| 25 | Project Name | Housing Rehabilitation - SFR |

| | Target Area | City of Placentia |
|----|---|---|
| | Goals Supported | Expand Affordable Housing Opportunities |
| | Needs Addressed | Expand Affordable Housing Opportunities |
| | Funding | \$12,500 |
| | Description | Housing Rehabilitation - SFR |
| | Target Date | 6/30/2021 |
| | Estimate the number and type of families that will benefit from the proposed activities | Up to 2 units |
| | Location Description | City Wide |
| | Planned Activities | Funds will be used for rehabilitation grants for single family and mobile homes. |
| | Project Name | Façade Improvements |
| | Target Area | City of Placentia |
| | Goals Supported | Enhance the Quality of Life |
| | Needs Addressed | Enhance the Quality of Life |
| | Funding | \$50,000 |
| 26 | Description | Funds will be used for façade improvements for up to 5 businesses. |
| | Target Date | 6/30/2021 |
| | Estimate the number and type of families that will benefit from the proposed activities | up to 5 businesses |
| | Location Description | |
| | Planned Activities | Funds will be used for façade improvements for up to 5 businesses. |
| | Project Name | Administration (County) |
| | Target Area | Orange County |
| | Goals Supported | Planning and Administration |
| | Needs Addressed | Planning and Administration |
| | Funding | \$25,472 |
| 27 | Description | Funds will be used for the administration of the contracts for the City of Yorba Linda. |
| | Target Date | 6/30/2021 |
| | Estimate the number and type of families that will benefit from the proposed activities | N/A |
| | Location Description | Orange County |
| | Planned Activities | Planning and Administration |
| | Project Name | Administration (City) |
| | Target Area | City of Yorba Linda |
| | Goals Supported | Planning and Administration |
| 28 | Needs Addressed | Planning and Administration |
| -0 | Funding | \$25,472 |
| | Description | Funds will be used for the administration of the contracts for the City of Yorba Linda. |
| | Target Date | 6/30/2021 |

| | Estimate the number and type of families that will benefit from the proposed activities | N/A |
|----|---|--|
| | Location Description | City of Yorba Linda |
| | Planned Activities | Funds will be used for administration of the Contracts for the City of Placentia |
| | Project Name | Senior Lunch Program |
| | Target Area | City of Yorba Linda |
| | Goals Supported | Public Services |
| | Needs Addressed | Public Services |
| | Funding | \$38,208 |
| 29 | Description | Funds will be used to provide a Senior Nutrition Program. The program assists in providing nutritional meals to low to moderate income senior citizens or disabled persons. |
| | Target Date | 6/30/2021 |
| | Estimate the number and type of families that will benefit from the proposed activities | 150 people |
| | Location Description | City of Yorba Linda |
| | Planned Activities | Senior Lunch Program |
| | Project Name | Neighborhood Improvement Program |
| | Target Area | City of Yorba Linda |
| | Goals Supported | Expand Affordable Housing Opportunities |
| | Needs Addressed | Expand Affordable Housing Opportunities |
| | Funding | \$90,000 |
| 30 | Description | Funds will be used to provide rehabilitation grants to qualified homeowners for general property improvements and repairs to meet local codes, standards and ordinances. |
| | Target Date | 6/30/2021 |
| | Estimate the number and type of families that will benefit from the proposed activities | 17 units |
| | Location Description | City of Yorba Linda |
| | Planned Activities | Housing Rehabilitation - SFR |
| | Project Name | ADA Improvements (Public Parks Parking Lots and Public Facilities) |
| | Target Area | City of Yorba Linda |
| | Goals Supported | Enhance the Quality of Life |
| | Needs Addressed | Enhance the Quality of Life |
| | Funding | \$75,566 |
| 31 | Description | ADA Improvements to Parking lot |
| | Target Date | 6/30/2021 |
| | Estimate the number and type of families that will benefit from the proposed activities | ADA Improvements (city wide) 16,884 People |
| | Location Description | Public Parking Lot |
| | Planned Activities | ADA Improvements |

AP-50 Geographic Distribution – 91.220(f)

Description of the geographic areas of the entitlement (including areas of low-income and minority concentration) where assistance will be directed

The "Urban County" of Orange is comprised of 11 cities with populations under 50,000 (participating cities), two "Metro" cities – Placentia and Yorba Linda – with populations over 50,000, and the unincorporated areas of Orange County. The 11 participating cities include Brea, Cypress, Dana Point, Laguna Beach, Laguna Hills, Laguna Woods, La Palma, Los Alamitos, Seal Beach, Stanton, and Villa Park. With populations over 50,000 Placentia and Yorba Linda are eligible to participate in the CPD programs as entitlement jurisdictions and receive funding directly from HUD. However, these cities have elected to join the Urban County for the overall implementation of these programs.

Currently, the County uses a competitive funding application process to distribute CDBG and HOME funds. Individual participating cities and County departments are required to submit applications for funding. Housing & Community Development works with the independent Application Review Committee (ARC) to rank each application according to the Consolidated Plan priorities, urgency of needs, readiness of projects, experience of program/project administrators, and cost-effectiveness. The ARC is an evaluation team comprised of professionals knowledgeable about community development, community services, and housing activities. The ARC reviews and scores the applications for funding based exclusively on the written submission by the applicants. Many factors, such as the administrative burden of the program, completeness of answers, project results, weigh into the analysis of the most efficient and effective way to distribute CPD funds to meet the high-priority activities outlined in this Consolidated Plan.

The competitive basis for funding distribution has the advantage of flexibly dealing with the changing needs and geographic disparities in terms of needs and resources. Using this method, the County is able to devote resources to communities where the needs are most urgent and extensive.

CDBG program funds will be distributed and expended based on program criteria. Housing rehabilitation programs will be provided on a citywide basis in each participating city and in the unincorporated County areas based on lowand moderate-income qualified residents. Supportive services will be available throughout the Urban County to lowand moderate-income residents and persons with special needs. Public and infrastructure improvements will be located primarily in the Urban County's low- and moderate-income areas. HOME funds will be distributed to those projects that meet priority needs, are timely and meet other evaluation factors that indicate a strong probability the project will come to fruition. ESG funds will be awarded to projects that will best serve the priority needs of homeless individuals and families and those at risk of becoming homeless.

Geographic Distribution

| Target Area | Percentage of Funds |
|--------------|------------------------|
| N/A | N/A |
| Table 56 - G | eographic Distribution |

Page 111 of 151

Rationale for the priorities for allocating investments geographically

The Urban County has not established geographic target areas for expending funds.

Discussion

See discussions above.

AP-55 Affordable Housing – 91.220(g)

Introduction

The Orange Urban County plans to utilize CDBG and HOME funds to support a number of authorized housing activities, including various residential rehabilitation programs and an affordable housing development program. These activities are expected to provide rehabilitation assistance to 101 households.

| One Year Goals for the Number of House | holds to be Supported |
|--|-----------------------|
| Homeless | 0 |
| Non-Homeless | 101 |
| Special-Needs | 0 |
| Total | 101 |

 Table 57 - One Year Goals for Affordable Housing by Support Requirement

| One Year Goals for the Number of Households Supp | oorted Through |
|--|----------------|
| Rental Assistance | 0 |
| The Production of New Units | 0 |
| Rehab of Existing Units | 101 |
| Acquisition of Existing Units | 0 |
| Total | 101 |

Table 58 - One Year Goals for Affordable Housing by Support Type

Discussion

See discussion above.

AP-60 Public Housing – 91.220(h)

Introduction

There are no public housing projects in the Urban County program. The affordable housing needs of Urban Orange County residents are met by the Orange County Housing Authority (OCHA), a division within Orange County Community Services.

Actions planned during the next year to address the needs to public housing

There are no public housing projects in the Urban County program.

Actions to encourage public housing residents to become more involved in management and participate in homeownership

OCHA has established a Resident Advisory Board, the membership of which represents the residents assisted by OCHA. The Board was consulted in the development of OCHA's Five-Year and Annual Public Housing Agency Plan.

If the PHA is designated as troubled, describe the manner in which financial assistance will be provided or other assistance

Not applicable.

Discussion

See discussions above.

AP-65 Homeless and Other Special Needs Activities – 91.220(i)

Introduction

Factors contributing to the rise in homelessness include a lack of housing affordable to low- and moderate-income persons, increases in the number of persons whose income falls below the poverty level, reductions in subsidies to the poor, drug/alcohol abuse, and the de-institutionalization of the mentally ill. The recent housing market and economic conditions have also resulted in some families facing homelessness due to foreclosures, unemployment, and/or underemployment.

On any given night in Orange County, approximately 6,860 people are homeless. Homeless people in Orange County are diverse: they are young and old, men and women, chronic and newly homeless, alone or in families. Despite their differences, each homeless person is in need of safe and permanent housing. The County of Orange recognizes that fully engaging in efforts to end homelessness requires a deeply involved community and accurate information. The 2019 Point in Time Count is a result of the commitment of County officials, service providers, volunteers, 211OC staff and leadership, and homeless people themselves.

Describe the jurisdictions one-year goals and actions for reducing and ending homelessness including: Reaching out to homeless persons (especially unsheltered persons) and assessing their individual needs

To meet the needs of the region's homeless persons, the Urban County utilizes SHP, ESG, CDBG, and OCHA funds to support the Continuum of Care (CoC) system by providing emergency shelters, transitional housing, permanent housing, rapid rehousing, homeless prevention, and supportive services. In collaboration with the entire CoC, the County of Orange Health Care Agency has also implemented Proposition 63 Full-Service Partnership Programs. These programs have created outreach options for homeless persons to access services starting at the street outreach level and continuing until the individual and/or family is well established in permanent supportive housing. Homeless needs and priorities continue to be identified through the County's CoC. Specifically, CoC funds have been awarded to agencies in the County to implement a broad range of activities, which benefit homeless persons.

Addressing the emergency shelter and transitional housing needs of homeless persons

The County has allocated \$207,183 in ESG funds and \$221,218 in CDBG funds during FY 2020-2021 for essential services, operations, emergency shelter, showers, and meals for homeless clients. The County operates the "Armory Emergency Shelter Program," which allows for emergency shelter for approximately five months out of the year, serving a maximum capacity of 400 individuals nightly, divided between two locations.

Helping homeless persons (especially chronically homeless individuals and families, families with children, veterans and their families, and unaccompanied youth) make the transition to permanent housing and independent living, including shortening the period of time that individuals and families experience homelessness, facilitating access for homeless individuals and families to affordable housing units, and preventing individuals and families who were recently homeless from becoming homeless again

The goal of the current model of homeless case management is to move clients towards self-sufficiency and a permanent home. This current system model works well when partnered with a variety of supportive services that can hedge a client against future homelessness. In Orange County, a variety of private, federal, state and county-funded programs offer job training courses, child care, work-appropriate clothing, food bags and/or meals, among other services. Many of these services follow clients through their shelter stay(s), and sometimes even after they have found permanent housing. The variety of non-profit service providers that have formed in Orange County over the last 30 years has meant that many different types of clients can be served simultaneously. Each provider tends to specialize in a particular type of client in order to tailor services effectively. Currently served populations include, but are not limited to: families, victims of domestic violence, veterans, chronically homeless men and women, individuals with mental health issues and their families, and individuals with physical and developmental disabilities and their families.

Historically, the most difficult clients to house are the chronically homeless. Most chronically homeless people have a disability that requires significant and costly support. Orange County has been allocated \$95.5 million to provide additional housing for the homeless mentally ill. In addition to the MHSA Housing Program, other housing and supportive services are provided through the MHSA Community Services and Supports component of the MHSA for clients enrolled in a Full-Service Partnership Program. Clients of these programs must be seriously mentally ill adults or older adults or seriously mentally ill/seriously emotionally disturbed children and youth. The programs are client and family-driven and provide flexible resources that are tailored to each client's specific needs.

Helping low-income individuals and families avoid becoming homeless, especially extremely low-income individuals and families and those who are: being discharged from publicly funded institutions and systems of care (such as health care facilities, mental health facilities, foster care and other youth facilities, and corrections programs and institutions); or, receiving assistance from public or private agencies that address housing, health, social services, employment, education, or youth needs

The most fundamental risk factor for becoming homeless is acute poverty. Orange County has dozens of organizations, both private and public, providing resources to those at risk of homelessness. The solutions to developing a system capable of ensuring that people have the right resources to remain housed generally involve a continued high level of supportive services once an individual or family has been placed in permanent housing. Implementation of the Mental Health Services Act in Orange County has provided a large array of supportive services for those who are homeless or at high-risk of homelessness as well as having a serious mental illness. Supportive services include, but are not limited to, employment services, mentoring, in-home crisis stabilization, education and training, centralized assessment team services, recovery centers, residential treatment, a wellness center, and a transitional age youth discovery program.

Discussion

See discussions above.

AP-75 Barriers to affordable housing – 91.220(j)

Introduction:

Lack of Affordable Housing Funds: The high construction and land costs in Orange County render the need for substantial subsidies for affordable housing. However, the availability of funding for affordable housing has been severely affected by the dissolution of redevelopment agencies in the State of California.

Environmental Protection: State law (California Environmental Quality Act and California Endangered Species Act) and federal law (National Environmental Policy Act and Federal Endangered Species Act) regulations require environmental review of proposed discretionary projects (e.g., subdivision maps, use permits, etc.). Costs and time delay resulting from the environmental review process are also added to the cost of housing. Recent State legislation has aimed to streamline affordable housing development, including exempting certain projects from CEQA processing.

Land Use Policies: Local land use policies could be a constraint to housing development when the requirements for parking, height limit, density, and setbacks result in limiting the number of housing units that can be feasibly constructed on site. Recent changes in State law now require local jurisdictions develop objective standards to facilitate housing development.

Planning and Development Fees: Planning and development impact fees, such as for transportation, water, and sewer infrastructure improvements, often add to the overall cost of development.

Permit and Processing Procedures: Builders and developers frequently cite the cost of holding land during the evaluation and review process as a significant factor in the cost of housing. Processing times vary with the complexity of the project. Recent changes to State law require jurisdictions allow certain types of housing by right without discretionary review as a way to streamline housing development.

State and Federal Davis-Bacon Prevailing Wages: The State Department of Industrial Relations (DIR) expanded the kinds of projects that require the payment of prevailing wages. Prevailing wage adds to the overall cost of development. A prevailing wage must also be paid to laborers when federal funds are used to pay labor costs for any project over \$2,000 or on any multi-family project over eight units. Based on discussions with developers, various prevailing wage requirements typically inflate the development costs by 35 percent.

Actions it planned to remove or ameliorate the negative effects of public policies that serve as barriers to affordable housing such as land use controls, tax policies affecting land, zoning ordinances, building codes, fees and charges, growth limitations, and policies affecting the return on residential investment

The County and participating cities have also worked to address the issues surrounding barriers to affordable housing development through the Housing Element process required by the State of California. The California Department of Housing & Community Development (HCD) must review the Housing Element for compliance with State law. Among other things, each jurisdiction's Housing Element is required to identify opportunity sites with land use controls that facilitate affordable housing development. Another key component of HCD review is the extent of government policies that act as barriers to housing development, especially affordable housing development, and the

jurisdiction's commitment to eliminating or mitigating the barriers. The current Housing Element planning period covers through October 2021. For the next update cycle, jurisdictions in Orange County are collectively responsible for the planning to accommodate over 182,000 new housing units.

Furthermore, the State legislative had passed numerous housing bills between 2017 and 2019 with the goal of facilitating housing production by streamlining development process, establishing objective development standards, providing funding and incentives, and making local governments accountable for compliance with State law.

To address neighborhood resistance to affordable housing, Housing & Community Development encourages all developers to work closely with local residents and community groups. In efforts to educate the public about Housing & Community Development and affordable housing efforts, the County also consults with the Kennedy Commission, a nonprofit organization that provides advocacy and education related to affordable housing in the County. The County's 2014-2021 Housing Element establishes an action that the County's Affordable Housing Project Manager and Housing & Community Development staff will work cooperatively with other governmental agencies, business groups, universities, environmental organizations, housing advocates and the development community to increase public awareness of the importance of affordable housing to the County's long-term viability.

Discussion:

See discussions above.

AP-85 Other Actions – 91.220(k)

Introduction:

Priority Needs established in the FY 2020 - FY 2024 Five-Year Consolidated Plan, which form the basis for establishing objectives and outcomes in the FY 2020-2021 One-Year Action Plan, are as follows:

High Priority

- Expand and preserve the supply of affordable housing to create housing opportunities for low- and moderate-income households and homeless individuals and families
- Strengthen, preserve, and enhance the physical character and quality of life in Orange County's low- and moderate-income neighborhoods, including the housing stock and public infrastructure and facilities.
- Continue to build the capacity of residents to empower themselves and help strengthen their community, through the funding of needed public services for seniors, the homeless, and those at risk of homelessness.
- Planning and administration.

Actions planned to address obstacles to meeting underserved needs

One of the greatest challenges in meeting the underserved needs of low- and moderate-income persons is having limited financial resources. The County must weigh and balance the input from different groups and assign funding priorities that best bridge the gaps in the County's service delivery system. While other goals the County has set are also important, for the purposes of the Consolidated Plan, only those which are anticipated to be funded with CPD funding programs (CDBG, HOME, and ESG) during the five-year planning cycle are indicated to be high priorities.

The County utilizes other funding sources to meet goals that are not considered high priority in the Consolidated Plan. The County established priorities for allocating CPD funds based on a number of criteria, including:

- Urgency of needs
- Cost efficiency
- Eligibility of activities/programs
- Availability of other funding sources to address specific needs
- Funding program limitations
- Capacity and authority for implementing actions
- Consistency with countywide goals, policies, and efforts

Actions planned to foster and maintain affordable housing

OCHA's Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program provides assisted families an incentive for employment opportunities through its Family Self Sufficiency (FSS) Program. The FSS Program participants receive resources and are taught job skills that enable them to gain employment and become self-sufficient.

Furthermore, housing costs often consume a large portion of lower-income households' monthly income. This creates a housing cost burden, leaving less money accessible for other necessities. As such, the County's efforts to create new affordable housing, both permanent and transitional, and rehabilitate existing housing contribute to curbing poverty in the County.

Actions planned to reduce lead-based paint hazards

In Orange County, the Childhood Lead Poisoning Prevention Program (CLPPP) follows children with abnormal or high blood lead levels, making home visits to families of affected children to determine the source of lead and provide education about lead poisoning. Program staff also coordinates health care needs; follow-up visits when needed and provides outreach and educational presentations to the community. CLPPP also provides resources to cities with significant number of older housing units to help respond to complaints of deteriorated lead-based paint and other lead-related hazards in residential housing.

The CLPPP's Environmental Health Department is currently working toward:

- Using progressive notification and action to achieve elimination of lead hazards identified during environmental investigations;
- Developing and implementing programs for training of investigation and enforcement agency personnel on identifying and correcting lead hazards in high risk jurisdictions;
- Providing educational materials on renovation and remodeling activities to housing and building departments, for public distribution; and
- Encouraging building departments to incorporate informing about lead-safe work practices into their building permit process (such as attaching renovation pamphlets to building permits) for housing built before 1978.

Actions planned to reduce the number of poverty-level families

The challenges associated with poverty–stress, strained family relationships, substandard housing, lower educational attainment, limited employment skills, unaffordable child care, and transportation difficulties – make it hard for low-income families to obtain and maintain employment, and therefore housing and basic needs. Economic stability can have lasting and measurable benefits for both parents and children.

The County seeks to reduce the number of people living in poverty (extremely low-income households earning less than 30 percent of the AMI) by providing a number of programs including housing assistance, supportive services, economic development assistance, and job training opportunities. The County will continue to leverage opportunities to support funding for Community Based Development Organizations (CBDOs), cities, and other groups to provide training and employment opportunities to extremely low-income persons. In collaboration with the Orange County Development Board (OCDB), the County will continue to offer outreach and training to poverty level families and individuals. Through the CoC system, the County will work with the OCDB job-training providers to ensure that low-and moderate-income persons are trained with employable skills with the potential to earn higher wages. In addition, the County's funding (through CDBG and ESG funds) of human service programs for basic needs, food distribution, and case management for homeless and those at risk of homelessness support the goals and strategies of reducing poverty.

Actions planned to develop institutional structure

The institutional structure, through which this Annual Action Plan will be implemented, includes various agencies of County government, participating cities, nonprofit organizations, and private industry. Housing & Community Development has oversight responsibility for the Consolidated Plan/Annual Plan processes. Housing & Community Development is a component of OC Community Resources, which consists of OC Animal Care, OC Community Services, Housing & Community Development, OC Parks, and OC Public Libraries.

Actions planned to enhance coordination between public and private housing and social service agencies

The Housing & Community Development oversees housing community development such as public infrastructure, housing rehabilitation, community development, preservation and improvement activities, affordable rental housing, homeownership activities and loans funded through the CDBG, HOME, ESG.

The cities of Brea, Cypress, Dana Point, Laguna Beach, Laguna Hills, Laguna Woods, La Palma, Los Alamitos, Placentia, Seal Beach, Stanton and Villa Park participate in the County program and are responsible for delivering specific programs and activities in their communities.

Nonprofit organizations play a vital role in implementing the Annual Action Plan. Nonprofit organizations form a network of resources that address a wide variety of housing and community development needs. These organizations provide a valuable link between the population in need of assistance and the pool of resources available. These organizations are awarded entitlement funds through a competitive evaluation process. The County works with numerous nonprofit organizations annually.

Private sector participants may include lending institutions and for-profit development entities. Lending institutions may be the source of low-cost loans for affordable housing and rehabilitation projects. Developers are the primary providers of the housing stock and are encouraged to participate in low-income housing in a variety of ways, including through density bonuses and participation in the Low-Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) program.

Discussion:

See discussions above.

AP-90 Program Specific Requirements – 91.220(I)(1,2,4)

Introduction:

The following describes other program-specific requirements.

Community Development Block Grant Program (CDBG)

| Reference | 24 | CFR | 91.220(l)(1) | |
|-----------|----|-----|--------------|--|
|-----------|----|-----|--------------|--|

Projects planned with all CDBG funds expected to be available during the year are identified in the Projects Table. The following identifies program income that is available for use that is included in projects to be carried out.

| The total amount of program income that will have been received before the start of the next program year and that has not yet been reprogrammed | 0 |
|--|---|
| The amount of proceeds from section 108 loan guarantees that will be used during the year to address the priority needs and specific objectives | 0 |
| identified in the grantee's strategic plan | |
| 3. The amount of surplus funds from urban renewal settlements | 0 |
| 4. The amount of any grant funds returned to the line of credit for which the | 0 |
| planned use has not been included in a prior statement or plan. | |
| The amount of income from float-funded activities | 0 |
| Total Program Income | 0 |

Other CDBG Requirements

1. The amount of urgent need activities

HOME Investment Partnership Program (HOME)

Reference 24 CFR 91.220(I)(2)

A description of other forms of investment being used beyond those identified in Section 92.205 is as follows:

FY 2020-2021 HOME funds will be used primarily for the development of affordable housing.

A description of the guidelines that will be used for resale or recapture of HOME funds when used for homebuyer activities as required in 92.254, is as follows:

The County had previously provided homebuyer assistance using HOME funds and CDBG funds are used to operate a Neighborhood Preservation Program for housing rehabilitation assistance. As a condition of receiving HOME and CDBG grant or loan funds, the County requires the applicant to enter into a covenant agreement that is recorded against the property and runs with the land. The agreement contains a recapture restriction stipulating that during the affordability period if the unit is sold, the grant or loan must be paid in full.

Additionally, should a default occur during the affordability period, the County reserves the right to purchase the property at the restricted sales price, less the amount of assistance originally provided by the County plus interest.

0

The restricted sales price is calculated using a formula that takes into account the purchase price, the change in consumer price index, and improvements made to the property.

A description of the guidelines for resale or recapture that ensures the affordability of units acquired with HOME funds? See 24 CFR 92.254(a)(4) are as follows:

See discussion above.

Plans for using HOME funds to refinance existing debt secured by multifamily housing that is rehabilitated with HOME funds along with a description of the refinancing guidelines required that will be used under 24 CFR 92.206(b), are as follows:

This plan does not include use of HOME funds to refinance existing debts for multifamily housing.

Emergency Solutions Grant (ESG)

Reference 91.220(I)(4)

Include written standards for providing ESG assistance (may include as attachment)

ESG entitlement jurisdictions will utilize assessment and evaluation instruments developed in consultation with the HMIS lead agency and previously funded HPRP program sub grantees. The County will ensure the ongoing effectiveness of the program's design and standards. In addition, the County will ensure that subrecipients comply with eligibility and verification requirements including HMIS.

Sub-grantee staff will conduct an initial intake interview with clients to verify program eligibility and assess level of client need. In order to be eligible for the program clients must be homeless based upon HUD definition of homeless. No income threshold at initial evaluation and income must be at or below 30 percent of Area Median Income at annual reevaluation as defined by HUD. Subgrantees will complete income verification forms and submit to appropriate income sources for 3rd party verification. Completed income verification and program eligibility documentation should be placed in the client file.

If the Continuum of Care has established centralized or coordinated assessment system that meets HUD requirements, describe that centralized or coordinated assessment system.

In 2009, Congress passed the Homeless Emergency Assistance and Rapid Transition to Housing (HEARTH) Act. Under the new ESG, the CoC is required to develop and implement a centralized or coordinated assessment system, to include a common assessment tool for use throughout the community to address the immediate needs of people seeking homeless assistance.

Coordinated entry offers a more organized, efficient approach to providing homeless families with services and housing by creating quicker linkages to programs and matching families' needs to providers' strengths. When implemented effectively, it simplifies the roles of providers, shortens the path back to permanent housing and fosters a sense of system-wide responsibility to place every homeless individual or family into permanent housing as quickly as possible. Currently, the County meets collaboratively with local entitlement jurisdictions to work on a coordinated regional approach. The County works in conjunction with the Continuum of Care, Commission to end Homelessness, has been formed and is meeting to explore possibilities and challenges associated with this concept and solicit input from all participants on the topic, including how intake and referrals work today, the problems and positive aspects of that, and the necessary criteria for any new system. Ultimately, the county and how it should function.

Additionally, the CoC is coordinating with the HMIS lead agency to ensure all ESG-funded victim service providers utilize a common policy/procedure to address the needs of households feeling domestic violence. Significant progress has been made in establishing a system. The County received a CoC Planning Grant for which a part of the funds will be utilized to help coordinate implementation of such a system.

Identify the process for making sub-awards and describe how the ESG allocation available to private nonprofit organizations (including community and faith-based organizations).

The County has already aligned its funding to align with the priorities in the County's Ten Year Plan and to fill gaps in the system of care. As an Urban County, the County of Orange coordinates with its local participating cities on policies on funding. The funding for emergency shelter has been established as a high priority regionally and in the Plan and as such these funds have been allocated following the County's FAPP which is a public document.

If the jurisdiction is unable to meet the homeless participation requirement in 24 CFR 576.405(a), the jurisdiction must specify its plan for reaching out to and consulting with homeless or formerly homeless individuals in considering policies and funding decisions regarding facilities and services funded under ESG.

The County of Orange has a comprehensive, coordinated, and regional Continuum of Care strategy that includes participation of all 34 cities in Orange County, County Agencies, the County's homeless housing and service providers, and other community groups, including non-profits, local governmental agencies, faith-based organizations, the homeless and formerly homeless, interested business leaders, schools and other stakeholders to identify the gaps and unmet needs of the County's homeless. Homeless needs and priorities continue to be identified through the County's Continuum of Care system. The Ten Year Plan and the implementation groups formed are public and inclusive to participation of all stakeholders including homeless and formerly homeless individuals. In addition, the CoC consults with and engages homeless individuals to participate in the biennial Point and Time Count and Survey of the homeless and the implementation Groups of the Commission to End Homelessness.

Describe performance standards for evaluating ESG.

The County will continue use the outcome standards indicated in the Consolidated Plan as a preliminary performance standard and will continue to review these standards in consultation with the CoC to determine their appropriateness in measuring the performance of the ESG program. Besides regular site visits to provide technical assistance to subrecipients, all projects/programs funded by Housing & Community Development are officially monitored at least three times per fiscal year, which involves the following three phases: performance monitoring, compliance monitoring, and exit monitoring.

Appendix A: Summary of Public Outreach

Meeting Notes and Comments Received:

Meeting #1 – El Modena Community Center, October 23rd, 2019 – SPANISH

- Home prices are too high and need financial assistance. Need home buying education assistance. Residents related experiences of relatives with home buying where they made bad choices because they did not know what to look for (e.g. code violations and additions to house they were financially responsible for).
- A user of El Modena Community Center (called it a senior center) said she benefited from the center and that it was good therapy for her.
- Residents described tenant/landlord issues they have had in rental units, primarily related to repairs. Landlords don't fix things and/or raise rent rates. The residents also see an issue when landlords limit number of people that can live in unit, they cannot afford to move to a larger unit.

Meeting # 2 – Brea Senior Center, November 5th, 2019

- Senior activity attendance is greatly affected by transportation services. To get more seniors involved transportation services or other senior activities are needed.
- Seniors need more assistance when trying to find housing. Participants stated how their fixed income does not allow them to have many options, even in Senior Housing projects.
- Rental housing in Brea has been found to be a little cheaper for residents, but the quality of living is still not what they expect for the price.

Meeting # 3 – Housing & Community Development and Homeless Services, November 19th, 2019 – Focus Group

- The needs of this meeting focused on the homeless and the needs of the community.
- Safe park areas should be established for homeless to go to sleep on nights where temporary shelter is not available.
- Homeless shelters of some sort of temporary shelters are needed in South County.
- Affordable housing construction is needed throughout the county for all income levels and household types.
- It was expressed that the homeless population is of greatest concern to the participants, however also the need for affordable childcare and transportation services that benefit the whole community would be great. These services can also benefit homeless persons who need childcare or transportation services when job opportunities arise.

Mailing List

To ensure that the fair housing concerns of low and moderate income and special needs residents were addressed, a copy of the public meeting notice was mailed to agencies and organizations that serve the low and moderate income and special needs community. The following agencies and organizations were contacted:

Attn: Mr. Stewart Kwoh, President & CEO Asian Americans Advancing Justice - Los Angeles 1145 Wilshire Blvda, 2nd Floor Los Angeles, CA 90017

Attn: Ms. Christina Altmayer, Executive Director Children and Families Commission of Orange County 1505 E. 17th Street, Suite 230 Santa Ana, CA 92705

Attn: Ms. Shelly Hoss, President Orange County Community Foundation 4041 MacArthur Blvd., Suite 510 Newport Beach, CA 92660

Attn: Ms. Tricia Nguyen, CEO Vietnamese Community of Orange County, Inc. 1618 W. First St Santa Ana, CA 92703

Attn: Director Buena Park One-Stop 6281 Beach Blvd, Suite 302 Buena Park, CA 90621

Attn: Mr. Reuben D. Franco, President & CEO Orange County Hispanic Chamber of Commerce 2130 E. 4th, St. Suite 160 Santa Ana, CA 92705

Attn: Mr. Kerry Doi, President & CEO Pacific Asian Consortium in Employment 1055 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 1475 Los Angeles, CA 90017

Attn: Mr. Tam Nguyen, President Vietnamese American Chamber of Commerce of Orange County 16511 Brookhurst Street, Suite B Fountain Valley, CA 92708

Attn: Director Women's Opportunities Center - UCI E Peltason Dr,Building 234 Irvine, CA 92697

Attn: Ms. Nina Boyd, Associate Superintendent Alternative Education - (ACCESS) 200 Kalmus Costa Mesa, CA 92626 Attn: Ms. Debra A. Fong, Executive Director Asian Pacific Community Fund 1145 Wilshire Blvd, Suite 105 Los Angeles, CA 90017

Attn: Mr. Jack Toan, Chairman Illumination Foundation 2691 Richter Ave, Suite 107 Irvine, CA 92606

Attn: Mr. Kenneth Babcock, Executive Director Public Law Center 601 Civic Center Dr. Santa Ana, CA 92701

Attn: Ms. Kim-Yen Huynh, President Asian American Business Women Association 8907 Warner Ave, Ste 153 Huntington Beach, CA 92647

Attn: Director Irvine One-Stop 125 Technology Drive West, Suite 200 Irvine, CA 92618

Attn: Ms. Leila Mozaffari, Director Orange County Small Business Development Center 2323 North Broadway, Suite 201 Santa Ana, CA 92706

Attn: Director San Juan Capistrano One-Stop 33161 Camino Capistrano, Suite G San Juan Capistrano, CA 92675

Attn: Director Westminster One-Stop 5405 Garden Grove Blvd. Westminster, CA 92683

Attn: Mr. Ruben Aceves, Executive Director Workforce Investment Board (WIB) Anaheim 290 South Anaheim Blvd., Suite 101 Anaheim, CA 92805

Attn: Dr. Linda Wagner, Superintendent Anaheim City School District 1001 S. East St. Anaheim, CA 92805 Attn: Ms. Claudia Cappio, Executive Director California Housing Finance Agency 100 Corporate Pointe, Ste. 250 Culver City, CA 90230

Attn: Ms. Linda Akutagawa, President & CEO Leadership Education for Asian Pacifics, Inc. 327 E. 2nd Street, Suite # 226 Los Angeles, CA 90012

Attn: Ms. Paula Starr, Executive Director Southern California Indian Center 10175 Slater Ave., Suite 150 Fountain Valley, CA 92708

Attn: Ms. Iris McCammon, President Asian Business Association of Orange County 2960 S. Daimler St. Santa Ana, CA 92705

Attn: Ms. Lucy Dunn, President & CEO Orange County Business Council 2 Park Plaza, Suite 100 Irvine, CA 92614

Attn: Mr. Andrew Munoz, Director Orange County Workforce Investment Board Community Investment Division 1300 S. Grand Ave Building B, 3rd Floor Santa Ana, CA 92705

Attn: Director Veteran's Service Center at Joint Forces Training Base 11200 Lexington Dr. Bldg. 244 Los Alamitos, CA 90720

Attn: Ms. Janie Wollicki, CEO/Executive Director WHW Santa Ana 1800 East McFadden Avenue, Suite 1A Santa Ana, CA 92705

Attn: Ms. Jerri Rosen, CEO Working Wardrobes for a New Start 3030 Pullman Street, Suite A Costa Mesa, CA 92626

Attn: Mr. Michael Matsuda, Superintendent Anaheim Union High 501 N. Crescent Way Anaheim, CA 92801

Attn: Mr. A.J. Roland, Superintendent Brea Olinda Unified School District 1 Civic Center Circle, Level II Brea, CA 92821

Attn: Ms. Diana Schneider, Senior Director Central County ROP 2323 North Broadway, Suite 301 Santa Ana, CA 92706

Attn: Ms. Darlene Le Fort, Superintendent Coastline ROP 1001 Presidio Square Costa Mesa, CA 92626

Attn: Mr. George Giokaris, Superintendent Fullerton Joint Union High School District 1051 W. Bastanchury Rd. Fullerton, CA 92833

Attn: Mr. Gregg Haulk, Superintendent Huntington Beach City School District 20451 Craimer Lane Huntington Beach, CA 92646

Attn: Ms. Sherine Smith, Superintendent Laguna Beach Unified School District 550 Blumont St. Laguna Beach, CA 92651

Attn: Ms. Ellen Curtin, Superintendent Magnolia School District 2705 W. Orange Ave. Anaheim, CA 92804

Attn: Mr. Michael Worley, Superintendent North Orange County ROP 385 N. Muller St. Anaheim, CA 92801

Attn: Mr. Michael L. Christensen, Superintendent Orange Unified School District 1401 N. Handy St. Orange, CA 92867

Attn: Mr. Clint Harwick, Superintendent Saddleback Valley Unified School District 25631 Peter A. Hartman Way Mission Viejo, CA 92691 Attn: Mr. Greg Magnuson, Superintendent Buena Park School District 6885 Orangethorpe Ave Buena Park, CA 90620

Attn: Ms. Diane Scheerhorn, Superintendent Centralia School District 6625 La Palma Ave. Buena Park, CA 90620

Attn: Ms. Beverly M, Hempstead , Superintendent Cypress School District 9470 Moody St. Cypress, CA 90630

Attn: Mr. Robert Pletka, Superintendent Fullerton School District 1401 W. Valencia Dr. Fullerton, CA 92833

Attn: Mr. Terry L. Walker, Superintendent Irvine Unified School District 5050 Barranca Parkway Irvine, CA 92604

Attn: Ms. Sherry Kropp, Superintendent Los Alamitos Unified School District 10293 Bloomfield St. Los Alamitos, CA 90720

Attn: Mr. Frederick Navarro, Superintendent Newport-Mesa Unified School District 2985-A Bear St. Costa Mesa, CA 92626

Attn: Mr. Gustavo Balderas, Superintendent Ocean View School District 17200 Pinehurst Lane Huntington Beach, CA 92647

Attn: Mr. Doug Domene, Superintendent Placentia - Yorba Linda School District 1301 E. Orangethorpe Ave. Placentia, CA 92870

Attn: Mr. Rick Miller, Superintendent Santa Ana Unified School District 1601 East Chestnut Ave. Santa Ana, CA 92701 Attn: Mr. Joseph M. Farley, Superintendent Capistrano Unified School District 33122 Valle Road San Juan Capistrano, CA 92675

Attn: Mr. Andrew C. Jones, Chancellor Coast Community College District 1370 Adams Ave. Costa Mesa, CA 92626

Attn: Mr. Marc Ecker, Superintendent Fountain Valley School District 10055 Slater Ave. Fountain Valley, CA 92708

Attn: Ms. Gabriela Mafi, Superintendent Garden Grove Unified School District 10331 Stanford Ave. Garden Grove, CA 92840

Attn: Ms. Susan Belenardo, Superintendent La Habra City School District 500 N. Walnut St. La Habra, CA 90631

Attn: Ms. Patricia Howell, Superintendent Lowell Joint School District 11019 Valley Home Ave. Whittier, CA 90603

Attn: Dr. Ned Doffoney, Chancellor North Orange County Community College District 1830 W. Romneya Dr. Anaheim, CA 92801

Attn: Mr. Alan Mijares, County Superintendent of Schools Orange County Department of Education P.O. Box 9050 Costa Mesa, CA 92626

Attn: Dr. Raul Rodriguez, Chancellor Rancho Santiago Community College District 2323 N. Broadway Santa Ana, CA 92706

Attn: Dr. Sue Johnson, Superintendent Savanna School District 1330 S. Knott Ave. Anaheim, CA 92804

Attn: Ms. Kim Thomason, Superintendent South Coast ROP 31522 El Camino Real San Juan Capistrano, CA 92675

Attn: Dr. Gregory A. Franklin, Superintendent Tustin Unified School District 300 South C St Tustin, CA 92780

Attn: Ms. Karen Crocker, Director City of Aliso Viejo, Community Services 12 Journey, Ste. 100 Aliso Viejo, CA 0

Attn: Mr. Albert Armijo, Director City of Aliso Viejo, Planning Dept 12 Journey, Ste. 100 Aliso Viejo, CA 0

Attn: Mr. Chris Emeterio, Director City of Brea, Community Services 1 Civic Center Circle Brea, CA 92821

Attn: Mr. Peter Grant, City Manager City of Cypress, Dept of Admin P.O. Box 609 Cypress, CA 90630

Attn: Mr. Steve Clarke, Redevelopment Project Manager City of Cypress, Redev & Econ Dev P.O. Box 609 Cypress, CA 90630

Attn: Mr. Kevin Evans, Director City of Dana Point, Community Services & Parks 33282 Golden Lantern Dana Point, CA 29629

Attn: Mr. Douglas Dumhart, Director City of La Palma, Community Development 7822 Walker Street La Palma, CA 90623

Attn: Mr. John Pietig, City Manager City of Laguna Beach City Manager 505 Forest Ave Laguna Beach, CA 92651 Attn: Mr. Gary Poertner, Chancellor South Orange County Community College District 28000 Marguerite Parkway Mission Viejo, CA 92692

Attn: Dr. Marian Kim Phelps, Superintendent Westminster School District 14121 Cedarwood Ave. Westminster, CA 92683

Attn: Mr. Shaun Pelletier, Director City of Aliso Viejo, Dept of Public Works 12 Journey, Ste. 100 Aliso Viejo, CA 0

Attn: Mr. Tim O'Donnell, City Manager City of Brea, City Manager 1 Civic Center Circle Brea, CA 92821

Attn: Mr. Eric Nicoll, Director City of Brea, Public Works 545 Berry St. Brea, CA 92821

Attn: Mr. Douglas Dancs, Director City of Cypress, Public Works Dept P.O. Box 609 Cypress, CA 90630

Attn: Mr. Doug Chotkevys, City Manager City of Dana Point, City Manager 33282 Golden Lantern Dana Point, CA 29629

Attn: Mr. Brad Fowler, Director City of Dana Point, Public Works & Engineering Services 33282 Golden Lantern Dana Point, CA 29629

Attn: Mr. Jeff Moneda, Director City of La Palma, Public Works 7822 Walker Street La Palma, CA 90623

Attn: Mr. Greg Pfost, Director City of Laguna Beach, Community Development 505 Forest Ave Laguna Beach, CA 92651 Attn: Mr. Dennis Roberson, Chief Special Education Services Orange County Dept. of Education 200 Kalmus Costa Mesa, CA 92626

Attn: Mr. David Doyle, City Manager City of Aliso Viejo, City Manager 12 Journey, Ste. 100 Aliso Viejo, CA 0

Attn: Mr. Glenn Yasui, Administrative Services Director City of Aliso Viejo, Economic Dev Dept 12 Journey, Ste. 100 Aliso Viejo, CA 0

Attn: Mr. David Crabtree, Director City of Brea, Community Development 1 Civic Center Circle Brea, CA 92821

Attn: Mr. Douglas Dancs, Acting Director of Community Development City of Cypress, Comm Dev Dept P.O. Box 609 Cypress, CA 90630

Attn: Ms. June Liu, Director City of Cypress, Rec & Comm Svcs P.O. Box 607 Cypress, CA 90630

Attn: Ms. Ursula Luna-Reynosa, Director City of Dana Point, Community Development 33282 Golden Lantern Dana Point, CA 29629

Attn: Ms. Ellen Volmert, City Manager City of La Palma, City Manager 7822 Walker Street La Palma, CA 90623

Attn: Ms. Janice Hobson, Director City of La Palma, Recreation & Community Services 7821 Walker Street La Palma, CA 90623

Attn: Mr. Ben Siegel, Director City of Laguna Beach, Community Services 505 Forest Ave. Laguna Beach, CA 92651

Attn: Ms. Jeanine Benton, City of Laguna Beach, Public Works 505 Forest Ave. Laguna Beach, CA 92651

Attn: Mr. David Reynolds, Deputy City Manager City of Laguna Hills, Community Services 25555 Alicia Pkwy. Laguna Hills, CA 92653

Attn: Mr. Patrick Foley, Manager City of Laguna Woods, Community Services 24264 El Toro Rd Laguna Woods, CA 92637

Attn: Mr. Steven Mendoza, Director City of Los Alamitos, Community Development 3191 Katella Avenue Los Alamitos, CA 90720

Attn: Mr. Troy L. Butzlaff, City Administrator City of Placentia, Administration 401 E. Chapman Avenue Placentia, CA 92870

Attn: Ms. Jeannette Ortega, Coordinator City of Placentia, Neighborhood Services 900 S. Melrose Placentia, CA 92870

Attn: Mr. Jim Basham, Director City of Seal Beach, Community Development 211 Eighth Street Seal Beach, CA 90740

Attn: Mr. James A. Box, City Manager City of Stanton, City Manager 7800 Katella Avenue Stanton, CA 90680

Attn: Mr. Allan Rigg, Director/City Engineer City of Stanton, Public Works 7800 Katella Avenue Stanton, CA 90680

Attn: Mr. Mike Knowles, Maintanence Supervisor City of Villa Park, Public Works 17855 Santiago Blvd. Villa Park, CA 92861 Attn: Mr. Bruce E. Channing, City Manager City of Laguna Hills, City Manager 24035 El Toro Rd. Laguna Hills, CA 92653

Attn: Mr. Kenneth H. Rosenfield, Director City of Laguna Hills, Public Services/Engineering 25555 Alicia Pkwy. Laguna Hills, CA 92653

Attn: Mr. Doug Reilly, Assitant City Manager City of Laguna Woods, Public Works 24264 El Toro Rd Laguna Woods, CA 92637

Attn: Mr. David Hunt, City Engineer City of Los Alamitos, Public Works 3191 Katella Avenue Los Alamitos, CA 90720

Attn: Mr. Jonathon Nicks, Deputy Director City of Placentia, Community Services Department 401 E. Chapman Avenue Placentia, CA 92870

Attn: Mr. Michael McConaha, Senior Management Analyst City of Placentia, Public Works Department 401 E. Chapman Avenue Placentia, CA 92870

Attn: Director City of Seal Beach, Community Services 211 Eighth Street Seal Beach, CA 90740

Attn: Mr. Omar Dadabhoy, Director City of Stanton, Community Development 7800 Katella Avenue Stanton, CA 90680

Attn: Mr. Jarad Hildenbrand, City Manager City of Villa Park, City Manager 17855 Santiago Blvd. Villa Park, CA 92861

Attn: Mr. Mark Pulone, City Manager City of Yorba Linda, City Manager P.O. Box 87014 Yorba Linda, CA 92885 Attn: Mr. David Chantarangsu, Director City of Laguna Hills, Community Development 24035 El Toro Rd. Laguna Hills, CA 92653

Attn: Mr. Christopher Macon, City Manager City of Laguna Woods, City Manager 24264 El Toro Rd Laguna Woods, CA 92637

Attn: Mr. Bret M. Plumlee, City Manager City of Los Alamitos, City Manager 3191 Katella Avenue Los Alamitos, CA 90720

Attn: Mr. Corey Lakin, Director City of Los Alamitos, Recreation & Community Services 10911 Oak Street Los Alamitos, CA 90720

Attn: Mr. Robert Chang, Chief Building Official City of Placentia, Development Services Department 401 E. Chapman Avenue Placentia, CA 92870

Attn: Ms. Jill R. Ingram, City Manager City of Seal Beach, City Manager 211 Eighth Street Seal Beach, CA 90740

Attn: Director City of Seal Beach, Public Works 211 Eighth Street Seal Beach, CA 90740

Attn: Ms. Julie Roman, Director City of Stanton, Community Services 7800 Katella Avenue Stanton, CA 90680

Attn: Ms. Jennifer Lilley, Planning Manager City of Villa Park, Planning 17855 Santiago Blvd. Villa Park, CA 92861

Attn: Mr. Steve Harris, Director City of Yorba Linda, Community Development P.O. Box 87014 Yorba Linda, CA 92885

Attn: Mr. Michael Wolfe, Director City of Yorba Linda Public Works' Engineering Department P.O. Box 87014 Yorba Linda, CA 92885

Attn: Director Department of Rehabilitation Orange/San Gabriel District 222 S. Harbor Blvd., Suite 300 Anaheim, CA 92805

Attn: Executive Director Housing Authority - Santa Ana P.O. Box 22030 Santa Ana, CA 92702

Attn: Mr. Mark Denny, Director OC Parks 13042 Old Myford Road Irvine, CA 0

Attn: Ms. Michelle Chan, Staff Specialist, OC Engineering OC Public Works 300 N. Flower St. Santa Ana, CA 0

Attn: Mr. Joel John Roberts, CEO PATH 340 North Madison Ave. Los Angeles, CA 90004

Attn: Director Anaheim Interfaith Center - Halycon P.O. Box 528 Anaheim, CA 92815

Attn: Director Fullerton Interfaith Emergency Services 244 E. Valencia, Room 16 Fullerton, CA 92634

Attn: Ms. Maria Mazzenga Avellaneda, Executive Director Pathways of Hope PO Box 6326 Fullerton, CA 92834

Attn: Ms. Lara Fisher, Executive Director South County Outreach 7 Whatney, Suite B Irvine, CA 92618 Attn: Director City of Yorba Linda, Recreation & Community Services Department P.O. Box 87014 Yorba Linda, CA 92885

Attn: Mr. John Wooshead, Executive Director Housing Authority - Anaheim P.O. Box 3222 Anaheim, CA 92803

Attn: Mr. John F. Parent, Orange County Veterans Service Officer, Interim OC Community Services, Veterans Service Office 1300 S. Grand Avenue, Building B Santa Ana, CA 92705

Attn: Mr. Larry Stansifer, Administrative Manager OC Public Works 300 N. Flower St. Santa Ana, CA 92703

Attn: Mr. Darrell Johnson, CEO OCTA - Access Paratransit PO Box 14184 Orange, CA 92863

Attn: Director St. Irenaeus - H.O.P.E. 5201 Evergreen Ave. Cypress, CA 90630

Attn: Director Beach Cities Interfaith Services, Inc. 1207 Main Street Huntington Beach, CA 92648

Attn: Ms. Teri Niebuhr, Director H.I.S. House P.O. Box 1293 Placentia, CA 92871

Attn: Ms. Laura Syzdek, Chairpeson Project Self-Sufficiency 18685 Main Street, #A109 Huntington Beach, CA 92648

Attn: Ms. Martha Lester, Executive Director The Gary Center 341 S. Hillcrest St. La Habra, CA 90631 Attn: Ms. Pamela Stoker, Housing and Redevelopment Manager City of Yorba Linda, Redevelopment/ Housing P.O. Box 87014 Yorba Linda, CA 92885

Attn: Mr. Matthew J. Fertal, Executive Director Housing Authority - Garden Grove 11222 Acacia Parkway Garden Grove, CA 92840

Attn: Mr. Mark A. Refowitz, Agency Director OC Health Care Agency Hall of Administration 333 W. Santa Ana Blvd. Santa Ana, CA 92701

Attn: Mr. Vincent Gin, Manager, OC Engineering OC Public Works 300 N. Flower St. Santa Ana, CA 0

Attn: Director Orange County Housing Authority 1770 North Broadway Santa Ana, CA 92706

Attn: Director All's Well Home, Inc. 8181 Page St Buena Park, CA 90621

Attn: Ms. Mary Gray Perdue, Executive Director Fullerton Assistance Ministries - Resource Center 1030 Calle Negocio San Clemente, CA 92673

Attn: Ms. Laura Miller, Executive Director Orange Coast Interfaith Shelter 1963 Wallace Ave. Costa Mesa, CA 92627

Attn: Ms. Ruth Schwartz, Executive Director Shelter Partnership 523 W. 6th Street, Suite 616 Los Angeles, CA 90014

Attn: Ms. Cydnee Albertson, Executive Director Thomas House Temporary Shelter P.O. Box 2737 Garden Grove, CA 92842

Attn: Ms. Gigi Tsontos, Executive Director Women's Transitional Living Center P.O. Box 6103 Orange, CA 92863

Attn: Mr. James Silverwood, President & CEO Affirmed Housing Group 13520 Evening Creek Drive North, Suite 160 San Diego, CA 92128

Attn: Ms. Brenda J. Rodriguez, Executive Director Affordable Housing Clearinghouse 23861 El Toro Road. Suite 401 Lake Forest, CA 92630

Attn: Ms. Tiffany Nguyen Budzinski, Branch Manager Boat People SOS-Orange County 9741 Bolsa Avenue, Suite 220 Westminster, CA 92683

Attn: Mr. Barry A. Cottle, Principal C&C Development 14211 Yorba Street, Suite 200 Tustin, CA 92780

Attn: Mr. Joe Oftelie, Director of Development City Ventures 1900 Quail St Newport Beach, CA 92660

Attn: Mr. Cristian Ahumada, Executive Director Clifford Beers Housing, Inc. 1200 Wilshire Blvd, Suite 520 Los Angeles, CA 90017

Attn: Ms. Sharon Ellis, President & CEO Habitat for Humanity of Orange County 2200 S. Ritchey St. Santa Ana, CA 92705

Attn: Ms. Laura Archuleta, President Jamboree Housing Corporation 17701 Cowan Avenue, Suite 200 Irvine, CA 92614

Attn: Mr. Hunter L. Johnson, President & CEO LINC Housing Corporation 110 Pine Ave., Suite 500 Long Beach, CA 90802 Attn: Ms. Dora Leong Gallo, Chief Executive Officer A Community of Friends 3701 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 700 Los Angeles, CA 90010

Attn: Mr. William Hirsch, CEO Affordable Housing Access 4029 Westerly Place #101 Newport Beach, CA 92660

Attn: Mr. Mario Turner, Vice President AMCAL 2082 Michelson Drive, Ste. #306 Irvine, CA 92612

Attn: Mr. Brett Whitehead, President and CFO Brandywine Homes 16580 Aston St. Irvine, CA 92606

Attn: Mr. Jeff McBride, President/CEO YMCA of Orange County 13821 Newport Ave. Suite 200 Tustin, CA 92780

Attn: Mr. Monique Eliason, Project Manager CivicStone 4195 Chino Hills Parkway #267 Chino Hills, CA 91709

Attn: Mr. Joe La Torre, Senior Associate CSG Advisors One Post St, Suite 2130 San Francisco, CA 94101

Attn: Mr. Bret Hyter Hyter Development 1001 Dove St. #290 Newport Beach, CA 92660

Attn: Mr. Cesar Covarrubias, Executive Director Kennedy Commission 17701 Cowan Avenue, Suite 200 Irvine, CA 92614

Attn: Ms. Susan McDevitt, Executive Director Mary Erickson Community Housing. P.O. Box 775 San Clemente, CA 92674 Attn: Ms. Lara Regus, Director of Business Development Abode Communities 701 East 3rd Street, Suite 400 Los Angeles, CA 90013

Attn: Ms. Kathy Stone, Owner & CEO Affordable Housing Applications, Inc. 4579 Maple Ave. #1 La Mesa, CA 91941

Attn: Ms. Cathleen Murphy, Program Development Director American Family Housing 15161 Jackson St. Midway City, CA 92655

Attn: Mr. Phillip Williams, Director of Development BRIDGE Housing 20321 Irvine Ave, Suite F-1 Newport Beach, CA 92660

Attn: Mr. Ron Griffith, President & CEO Century Housing 1000 Corporate Pointe Culver City, CA 90230

Attn: Mr. Douglas J. Bystry, President & CEO Clearinghouse CDFI 23861 El Toro Road, Suite 401 Lake Forest, CA 92630

Attn: Mr. Robert Copenhaver, GRC Associates, Inc. 858 Oak Park Road, Ste. 280 Covina, CA 91724

Attn: Ms. Patricia Whitaker, Chief Executive Officer Irvine Housing Opportunities 19772 MacArthur Blvd, Suite 110 Irvine, CA 92612

Attn: Mr. Erik Shirley, Branch Manager Land Home - Costa Mesa 3330 Harbor Blvd, 300 & 301 Costa Mesa, CA 92626

Attn: Ms. Jacquie Hoffman, Regional Vice President Mercy Housing California 1500 South Grand Ave., Suite 100 Los Angeles, CA 90015

Attn: Director Merritt Community Capital Corporation 811 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 1722 Los Angeles, CA 90017

Attn: Ms. Julie Mungai, VP Acquisitions National CORE 9421 Haven Avenue Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730

Attn: Ms. Nora Mendez, Executive Director Orange County Community Housing Corporation 2024 N. Broadway, 3rd Flr Santa Ana, CA 92706

Attn: Mr. Danavon L. Horn, CEO Palm Communities 15635 Alton Parkway, Suite 375 Irvine, CA 92618

Attn: Mr. Robert W. Simpson, Rws Consulting 16202 Tunisia Cir Placentia, CA 92870

Attn: Mr. Thomas Delponti, Managing Director Stratus Commercial Partners, LLC 17701 Cowan Suite 200 Irvine, CA 92614

Attn: Mr. Brandon Dinon, Assistance Vice President USA Multifamily Housing, Inc. 3200 Douglas Blvd., Suite 200 Roseville, CA 95661

Attn: Ms. Carolyn Patton, Housing Director West Angeles CDC 6028 Crenshaw Blvd Los Angeles, CA 90043

Attn: Ms. Chuck Shinn, President Eastside Water Association, Inc. P.O. Box 81 Midway City, CA 92655

Attn: Ms. Sandra McLeester, 27 Hummingbird Lane Aliso Viejo, CA 92656 Attn: Ms. Christy Cornwall, Director - Community Benefits Mission Hostpital 27700 Medical Center Rd Mission Viejo, CA 92691

Attn: Mr. Ken Mutter, Sr. Vice President NeighborWorks Orange County 128 E. Katella Avenue Orange, CA 92867

Attn: Mr. Appaswamy Pajanor, Fresident & Executive Director Orange County Housing Opportunities Collaborative 505 E. Central Ave. Santa Ana, CA 92707

Attn: Ms. Kim Vu, Premier Housing Services 12650 Westminster Ave Santa Ana, CA 92706

Attn: Ms. Jean Wegener, Executive Director Serving People In Need 151 Kalmus Dr, Suite H-2 Costa Mesa, CA 92626

Attn: Director The Enterprise Foundation 600 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 600 Los Angeles, CA 90017

Attn: Ms. Michelle Keldorf, Regional Acquisition Manager USA Properties Fund 3200 Douglas Blvd., Suite 200 Roseville, CA 95661

Attn: Mr. Graham P. Espley-Jones, President Western Community Housing, Inc. 151 Kalmus Drive, Suite J-5 Costa Mesa, CA 92626

Attn:Director Golden State Water Company - Placentia CSA 500 Cameron Street Placentia, CA 92870

Attn: Ms. Karen Williams, President & CEO 2-1-1 Orange County 1505 E 17th St, Suite 108 Santa Ana, CA 92705 Attn: Mr. Steve Bodkin, Sr. VP & COO National Church Residences 2335 North Bank Drive Columbus, OH 43220

Attn: Mr. Teryll Hopper. Orange County Association of Realtors (OCAR) 25552 La Paz Road Laguna Hills, CA 92653

Attn: Eunice Bobert, President/CEO Orange Housing Development Coporation 414 E. Chapman Avenue Orange, CA 92866

Attn: Mr. William Witte A., President Related California 18201 Von Karman Avenue, Ste 900 Irvine, CA 92612

Attn: Mr. Alan Greenlee, Executive Director Southern California Association of Non-Profit Housing 501 Shatto Place, Suite 403 Los Angeles, CA 90020

Attn: Mr. Scott Laurie, President & CEO The Olson Company 3010 Old Ranch Parkway, Suite 100 Seal Beach, CA 90740

Attn: Ms. Rebecca Louie, Vice President & COO Wakeland Housing & Development Corporation 1230 Columbia Street, Ste. 950 San Diego, CA 92101

Attn: Mr. Wilfred N. Cooper, Jr., President & CEO WNC & Associates, Inc. 17782 Sky Park Circle Irvine, CA 92614

Attn: Mr. Dennis Arriola, President & CEO SoCal Gas Company 555 W 5th St. Los Angeles, CA 90013

Attn: Ms. Gloria Reyes, CEO, Executive Director Abrazar 7101 Wyoming St Westminster, CA 92683

Attn: Ms. Marilyn Ditty, CEO Age Well Senior Services, Inc. 24300 El Toro Road, Suite A-2000 Laguna Woods, CA 92637

Attn: Ms. Jennifer S. Wang, COO Asian American Senior Citizen Service Center 850 North Birch St. Santa Ana, CA 92701

Attn: Ms. Carolyn Baker, Director of Development Blind Children's Learning Center 18542-B Vanderlip Ave Santa Ana, CA 92705

Attn: Director Canyon Club 20456 Laguna Canyon Road Laguna Beach, CA 92651

Attn: Ms. Ana Nogales, CEO Casa de la Familia 1650 East 4th St., Ste., 101 Santa Ana, CA 92701

Attn: Ms. Teresa Smith, Executive Director Catholic Charities of Orange County 1820 E 16th St. Santa Ana, CA 92701

Attn: Mr. Buddy Ray, Executive Director Community Action Partnership of Orange County 11870 Monarch Street Garden Grove, CA 92841

Attn: Ms. Wright Jenkins, President & CEO Council on Aging Orange County 1971 E. 4th Street Suite 200 Santa Ana, CA 92705

Attn: Mr. Jeff Draper, Cypress Senior Center 9031 Grindlay St. Cypress, CA 90630

Attn: Ms. Maribel Reyes, Center Manager El Modena Center 18672 E. Center St. Orange, CA 92869 Attn: Mr. Phillip Yaeger, Executive Director AIDS Services Foundation of Orange County 17982 Sky Park Circle, Suite J Irvine, CA 92614

Attn: Mr. Charles Chang, Executive Director Asian Pacific American Dispute Resolution Center 1145 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 100 Los Angeles, CA 90017

Attn: Ms. Lawren Ramos, Executive Director Boys Town California 2223 East Wellington Avenue, Suite 350 Santa Ana, CA 92701

Attn: Mr. Clarence Ray, Executive Director CAPOC 11870 Monarch Street Garden Grove, CA 92841

Attn: Ms. Stacey Proctor, Executive Director Casa Teresa P.O. Box 429 Orange, CA 92856

Attn: Ms. Patrice Poidmore, Executive Director CHOC Children's 1201 W. La Veta Ave. Orange, CA 92868

Attn: Ms. Margot R. Carlson, Executive Director Community Service Programs 1221 East Dyer Road, Suite 120 Santa Ana, CA 92705

Attn: Ms. Kathleen O'Neill, CEO Court Appointed Special Advocates of Orange County 1505 East 17th Street, Suite 214 Santa Ana, CA 92705

Attn: Director Dayle McIntosh Disability Resource Centers 13272 Garden Grove Blvd. Garden Grove, CA 92843

Attn: Director Elwyn California 18325 Mt. Baldy Circle Fountain Valley, CA 92708 Attn: Ms. Rosa Renteria, Center Manager Anaheim Independencia Center 10841 Garza Ave. Anaheim, CA 92804

Attn: Ms. Melissa Beck, CEO Big Brothers Big Sisters Orange County 14131 Yorba Street Tustin, CA 92780

Attn: Ms. Penny Winkler, Director C.A.R.E. Counseling Center 1614 E. 17th Street Suite D Santa Ana, CA 92705

Attn: Mr. Steve Lomonaco, Owner Casa Bella Recovery 31365 Monterey Street Laguna Beach, CA 92651

Attn: Ms. Robin Sinclair, Executive Director Casa Youth Shelter 10911 Reagan St Los Alamitos, CA 90720

Attn: Mr. William O'Connell, Executive Director Colette's Children's Home 7372 Prince Drive, Suite 201 Huntington Beach, CA 92647

Attn: Mr. Stan Cameron, Housing Development Coordinator Consumer Credit Counseling Service PO Box 11330 Santa Ana, CA 92711

Attn: Ms. Joyce Capelle, CEO Crittenton Services for Children and Families P.O. Box 9 Fullerton, CA 92836

Attn: Ms. Kimberly Michel, Chair Easter Seals Southern California 1570 E. 17th St. Santa Ana, CA 92705

Attn: Ms. Denise Cato, Executive Director Fair Housing Council of Orange County 201 South Broadway Santa Ana, CA 92701

Attn: Director Families and Communities Together P.O. Box 6838 Orange, CA 92863

Attn: Mr. Cesar Pacheco, Supervisor Family Resource Center - Brea 695 E. Madison Way Brea, CA 92821

Attn: Director Friends Outside 2525 North Grand Ave., #N Santa Ana, CA 92705

Attn: Ms. Stacey Enmeier, Executive Director Glennwood Housing Foundation 2130 South Coast Highway Laguna Beach, CA 92651

Attn: Ms. Blair Pietrini, Director Grateful Hearts Storehouse 5300 Katella Ave Los Alamitos, CA 90720

Attn: Ms. Rebecca Hernandez, Manager Help Me Grow 2500 Red Hill Ave, Suite 290 B Santa Ana, CA 92705

Attn: Duy Tran, Interim Executive Director Horizon Cross Cultural Center 3707 W. Garden Grove Blvd Orange, CA 92868

Attn: Director Interval House P.O. Box 3356 Seal Beach, CA 90740

Attn: Ms. Nicole Flaum, Co-Owner Laguna Beach Recovery 998 Glenneyre Street Laguna Beach, CA 92651

Attn: Mr. Andy Siegenfeld, Board Chairperson Laguna Food Pantry 20652 Laguna Canyon Road Laguna Beach, CA 92651 Attn: Ms. Margie Wakeham, Executive Director Families Forward 8 Thomas Irvine, CA 92618

Attn: Director Family Resource Center - South Orange County 23832 Rockfield Blvd., Suite 270 Lake Forest, CA 92630

Attn: Ms. Dawn Price, Executive Director Friendship Shelter, Inc. P.O. Box 4252 Laguna Beach, CA 92651

Attn: Mr. Dave Wilk, Executive Director GOALS 1170 La Palma Park Way Anaheim, CA 92801

Attn: Director Hart Community Homes, Inc. 208 N. Lemon St. Fullerton, CA 92832

Attn: Ms. Sita Helms, Founder, Executive Director, & President Helping Hand Worldwide 31121 Holly Drive Laguna Beach, CA 92651

Attn: Ms. Vivian Clecak, CEO Human Options 5540 Trabuco Rd., Ste. 100 Irvine, CA 92620

Attn: Director La Habra FRC 301 W. Las Lomas Dr. La Habra, CA 90631

Attn: Ms. Nadia Babayi, Executive Director Laguna Beach Seniors 380 Third Street Laguna Beach, CA 92651

Attn: Director Legal Aid Society of Orange County 2101 N Tustin Ave Santa Ana, CA 92705 Attn: Ms. Mary Gray Perdue, Executive Director Family Assistance Ministries 1030 Calle Negocio San Clemente, CA 92673

Attn: Director Family Resource Center - Westminster 8200 Westminster Blvd. Westminster, CA 92683

Attn: Mr. Sean Lawrence, Executive Director Giving Children Hope 8332 Commonwealth Ave. Buena Park, CA 90621

Attn: Mr. Frank Talarico Jr., President & CEO Goodwill Industries of Orange County 410 North Fairview, St. Santa Ana, CA 92703

Attn: Ms. Holly Morrell, Founder Heartfelt Cardiac Projects 1278 Glenneyre Street, Suite 244 Laguna Beach, CA 92651

Attn: Mr. Scott Larson, Executive Director HomeAid Orange County 17744 Sky Park Circle, Suite 170 Irvine, CA 92614

Attn: Ms. Cathleen Murphy, Executive Director iHope OC P.O. Box 2277 Capistrano Beach, CA 92624

Attn: Dr. Thomas Bent, Medical Director Laguna Beach Community Clinic 362 Third Street Laguna Beach, CA 92651

Attn: Mr. Travis Pautsch, Intake Coordinator Laguna Beach Sober Living 24501 Del Prado, Suite F-2 Dana Point, CA 92629

Attn: Ms. Bea Rea, Regional Manager Luthern Social Services of SoCal 2560 N. Santiago Blvd. Orange, CA 92867

Attn: Ms. Krista Driver, President & CEO Mariposa Women and Family Center 812 W. Town & Country Road Bldg C Orange, CA 92868

Attn: Ms. Maria Lupita, Founding President Miracles for Families 303 Broadway Avenue, Suite 104-88 Laguna Beach, CA 92651

Attn: Mr. Daniel J. Headrick, Medical Director Mission Pacific Coast Recovery Center 31872 Coast Highway Laguna Beach, CA 92651

Attn: Mr. Bradley J. Lund, CEO Neuro-Developmental Treatment Association 1540 South Coast Highway, Suite 203 Laguna Beach, CA 92651

Attn: Mr. Daniel McQuaid, President/CEO One OC 1901 E. 4th Street, Suite 100 Santa Ana, CA 92705

Attn: Mr. Jim Palmer, President Orange County Rescue Mission One Hope Drive Tustin, CA 92782

Attn: Ms. Susan Mandel, President & CEO Pacific Clinics 800 South Santa Anita Avenue Arcadia, CA 91006

Attn: Ms. Brigitte Tweddell, Executive Director Project New Hope 1004 Echo Park Ave. Los Angeles. CA 90026

Attn: Mr. Bruce Freeman, Director of Development Salvation Army Orange County 10200 Pioneer Road Tustin, CA 92782

Attn: Ms. Candace Hurley, Executive Director & Founder Sidelines National Support Network P.O. Box 1808 Laguna Beach, CA 92652 Attn: Ms. Nadia Allen, Executive Director Mental Health Association of Orange County 822 Town & Country Road Orange, CA 92868

Attn: Director Miramar Recovery Centers 435 Dahlia Ave. Corona Del Mar, CA 92625

Attn: Ms. Pamela Pimentel, CEO MOMS Orange County 1128 W. Santa Ana Blvd Santa Ana, CA 92703

Attn: Iosefa Alofaituli, Executive Director & CEO Oakview Renewal Partnership P.O. Box 3476 Huntington Beach, CA 92605

Attn: Mr. Mark Lowry, Director Orange County Food Bank 11870 Monarch St Garden Grove, CA 92841

Attn: Mr. Max Gardner, President & CEO Orange County United Way 18012 Mitchell Avenue South Irvine, CA 92614

Attn: Ms. Theresa Murphy, President/CEO/Executive Director Precious Life Shelter, Inc. P.O. Box 414 Los Alamitos, CA 90720

Attn: Director Rebuilding Together Orange County 625 S. Cypress Santa Ana, CA 92701

Attn: Ms. Nicole Suydam, CEO Second Harvest Food Bank 8014 Marine Way Irvine, CA 92618

Attn: Ms. Shannon Santos, Executive Director Someone Cares P.O. Box 11267 Costa Mesa, CA 92627 Attn: Ms. Linda Hogan-Estrada, CEO Miles4 Autism 555 N. El Camino Real San Clemente, CA 92672

Attn: Ms. Barbara Massrey, Chair Mission Hospital Foundation 31872 Coast Highway Laguna Beach, CA 92651

Attn: Mr. Kenneth Whitehead, Interim Executive Director NAMI Orange County 1810 East 17th St. Santa Ana, CA 92705

Attn: Ms. Mary Anne Foo, Executive Director OCAPICA 12900 Garden Grove, Blvd. Suite 214A Garden Grove, CA 92843

Attn: Ms. Colleen Versteeg, Executive Director Orange County Head Start, Inc. 2501 S. Pullman Street, Suite 100 Santa Ana, CA 92705

Attn: Mr. Chris Simonsen, CEO Orangewood Children's Foundation 1575 E. 17th Street Santa Ana, CA 92795

Attn: Ms. Teresa Ichsan, Director of Business Development and Operations Project Access 3900 Birch Street, Suite 113 Newport Beach, CA 92660

Attn: Mr. Larry Landauer, Executive Director Regional Center of Orange County P.O. Box 22010 Santa Ana, CA 92702

Attn: Ms. Karen L. McGlinn, Executive Director Share Our Selves 331 W Halesworth Santa Ana, CA 92701

Attn: Mr. David Peck, Chair South County Crosscultural Council P.O. Box 520 Laguna Beach, CA 92652 Attn: Mr. Mike Hutton, President South Midway City Mutual Water 10101 Slater Ave., Ste. 138 Fountain Valley, CA 92708

Attn: Mr. Richard Gorham, Executive Director The Society of St. Vincent de Paul OC 1505 East Seventeenth Street, Suite #109 Santa Ana, CA 92705

Attn: Ms. Tia Dwyer, General Manager THINK Together 2101 E. Fourth St. Building B, Second Floor Santa Ana, CA 92705

Attn: Ms. Jane Glenn, WomanSage 5319 University Drive, Suite 136 Irvine, CA 92612 Attn: Mr. Alan Greenlee, Executive Director Southern California Assocation of NonProfit Housing 501 Shatto Place, Suite 403 Los Angeles, CA 90020

Attn: Mr. Sundaram Rama, Executive Director The Cambodian Family 1626 E. Fourth St. Santa Ana, CA 92701

Attn: Director We Care Family Support Center 3788 Cerritos Ave. Los Alamitos, CA 90720 Attn: Director Spencer Recovery Centers, Inc. 1316 South Coast Highway Laguna Beach, CA 92651

Attn: Mr. John Wildman, President/Executive Director The Sheepfold P.O. Box 4487 Orange, CA 92863

Attn: Ms. Lorrayne Leigh, CEO Western Youth Services 23461 South Pointe Drive, Suite 220 Laguna Hills, CA 92653

Outreach Material and Sign-In Sheets

County of Orange

Consolidated Plan Community Meetings


Please join the County of Orange for a series of Community Meetings to help identify neighborhood needs and to share your concerns and suggestions!

| October 23 rd 6-8 p.m. | November 5 th 6-8 p.m. |
|--|---|
| El Modena Community Center | City of Brea Senior Center |
| 18672 E. Center Ave Orange, CA 92869 | 500 Sievers Ave Brea, CA 92821 |
| November 6 th 6-8 p.m. | November 7 th 6-8 p.m. |
| Los Alamitos Community Center | City of Laguna Hills Community Center |
| 10909 Oak St Los Alamitos, CA 90720 | 25555 Alicia Pkwy Laguna Hills, CA 92653 |
| November 11 th 6-8 p.m. | November 19 th 2-4 p.m. |
| Midway City Community Center | OC Housing and Homeless Services |
| 149001 Park Lane Midway City, CA 92655 | 1501 E. St Andrews PL Santa Ana, CA 92705 |

Also, help us by taking our survey! Visit the links or scan the QR code to participate:
For English: https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/OCEnglish
For Spanish: https://es.surveymonkey.com/r/OCSpanish











Dunty of Orange Community Meeting Tuesday November 5th, 2019

| Sign-III Sheet | Ormanization | Email | Telephone No. |
|----------------|---------------|----------------------------|---------------|
| Print Name | Organization | _ | |
| RICK SCHULTZ | SENIOR CENTER | NCH ADERULTZ & @ YAHOO, OM | |
| LETTY SCHULTZ | SENIOR CENTER | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |







County of Orange

Community Meeting

Community Needs Survey



County of Orange - Community Survey

WE WANT TO HEAR FROM YOU! WHAT ARE THE HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT NEEDS IN YOUR NEIGHBORHOOD?

The County of Orange receives approximately \$4 million in federal funds each year for housing and community development projects in the following jurisdictions:

County Unincorporated Areas Yorba Linda Brea Cypress Dana Point Laguna Beach Laguna Hills Laguna Woods La Palma Los Alamitos Placentia Seal Beach Stanton Villa Park

The annual grants can be used to provide decent housing, suitable living environments, and expanded economic opportunities, for low and moderate income persons. The County wants you to have a voice in how it invests this money. Please assist us by filling out this survey.

As you complete the survey, please consider the following: 1) The needs of your neighborhood; and 2) how they can be improved. Keep in mind that available funding is very limited and prioritizing your responses is of great importance.

| What City/Community do you | live in? | _ ZIP code | |
|---|---------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|
| Are you a senior? (62+) | Do you have a | disability? | |
| Survey Example | | | |
| Need for Community Facilities: Rank the following | ng programs in order of i | mportance to the c | ommunity. (1 = highest, |
| 3 = lowest) | | - | - |
| | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Indoor Community Centers | | \square | |
| Libraries | | | $\overline{\mathbf{v}}$ |
| Outdoor Parks & Recreation Facilities | $\overline{\mathbf{A}}$ | | |

In the survey example above, this person selected "Park & Recreation Facilities" as the item they would prefer to see improved the most (1 = highest) and "Libraries" as the item they would least prefer to see improved (3 = lowest).

Please rank each item once.

| Need for Community Facilities | orde com | Rank the following needs in order of importance to the community. (1 = highest, 7 = lowest) | | | | | | Need for Community Services | orc | nk ti ler o mmu = hig | of ir inity | npo '. | rtan | ce | to tl | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|--|---|---|---|---|---|--------------------------------|-----|--------------------------------|----------------|-----------|------|----|-------|---|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| Senior Centers | | | | | | | | Senior Activities | | | | | | | | |
| Youth Centers | | | | | | | | Youth Activities | | | | | | | | |
| Child Care Centers | | | | | | | | Child Care Services | | | | | | | | |
| Park and Recreational Facilities | | | | | | | | Transportation Services | | | | | | | | |
| Health Care Facilities | | | | | | | | Anti-Crime Programs | | | | | | | | |
| Community Centers | | | | | | | | Health Services | | | | | | | | |
| Libraries | | | | | | | | Mental Health Services | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | Legal Services | | | | | | | | |

| Need for Services for Special Needs Populations | orde | community. (1 = highest, 5 = lowest) | | | | Need for Business Development & Jobs | Rank the following needs in order of importance to the community. (1 = highest, 5 = lowest) | | | | | | | |
|---|------|---|---|---|---|---|--|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | | | |
| Homeless Shelters/ Services | | | | | | Start-up Business Assistance | | | | | | | | |
| Domestic Violence Services | | | | | | Small Business Loans | | | | | | | | |
| Substance Abuse Services | | | | | | Job Creation/Retention | | | | | | | | |
| Centers/Services for Disabled | | | | | | Employment Training | | | | | | | | |
| HIV/AIDS Centers & Services | | | | | | Business Mentoring | | | | | | | | |

| Need for Residential Infrastructure | orde com | nk the er of ir munit highe | nporta .y. | ance to | o the | ו | Need for Neighborhood Services | Rank the following needs in order of importance to the community. (1 = highest, 5 = lowest) | | | | | | |
|---|-------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|---------|-------|---|---|--|---|---|---|---|--|--|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | | |
| Drainage | | | | | | | Tree Planting | | | | | | | |
| Water/Sewer | | | | | | | Trash & Debris Removal | | | | | | | |
| Street/Alley | | | | | | | Graffiti Removal | | | | | | | |
| Street Lighting | | | | | | | Code Enforcement | | | | | | | |
| Sidewalk | | | | | | | Cleanup of Abandoned Lots & Buildings | | | | | | | |
| Curb Ramps for ADA Accessibility | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Need for Affordable Housing | | the foll ighest, | | | n order | of imp | ortance | e to the | comm | unity. | |
|----------------------------------|---|---------------------|---|---|---------|--------|---------|----------|------|--------|----|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| Accessibility Improvements | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Homeowner Housing Rehabilitation | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Rental Housing Rehabilitation | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Homebuyer Assistance | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Affordable Rental Housing | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Housing for Disabled | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Housing for Seniors | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Housing for Large Families | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fair Housing Services | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lead-Based Paint/Test Abatement | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Energy Efficient Improvements | | | | | | | | | | | |

Please write in any needs not listed above:

THANK YOU!

Please return surveys to:

OC Housing and Homeless Services 1501 E St. Andrew Place Santa Ana CA 92705 Attn: Craig Fee

THIS SURVEY IS ALSO AVAILABLE ONLINE AT:

https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/OCEnglish





Condado de Orange - Encuesta Comunitaria

<u>¡QUEREMOS OÍR DE USTED!</u> ¿CUÁLES SON LAS NECESIDADES DE VIVIENDA Y DESARROLLO COMUNITARIO EN SU VECINDARIO?

El Condado de Orange recibe aproximadamente \$4 millones en fondos federales cada año para proyectos de vivienda y desarrollo comunitario en las siguientes jurisdicciones:

Áreas del Condado No Incorporadas Brea Cypress Dana Point Laguna Beach Laguna Hills Laguna Woods La Palma Los Alamitos Placentia Seal Beach Stanton Villa Park Yorba Linda

Los fondos anuales se pueden utilizar para proporcionar una vivienda digna, condiciones de vida adecuadas, y expandir oportunidades económicas, principalmente para personas de ingresos bajos y moderados. El Condado quiere que usted tenga una voz en cómo se invertirá este dinero.

Por favor ayúdenos llenando esta encuesta. Al contestar la encuesta, por favor considere lo siguiente:1) Las necesidades de su vecindario; y 2) cómo se pueden mejorar esas necesidades. Tenga en cuenta que los fondos disponibles son muy limitados y priorizar sus respuestas es de gran importancia.

| ¿En qué Ciudad / Comunidad vive?: | Código Postal | |
|-----------------------------------|---------------|--|
|-----------------------------------|---------------|--|

Es una persona mayor de edad? (62 +)_____ ¿Tiene alguna discapacidad? ____

Ejemplo de encuesta

| Ejemplo de chedesta | | | |
|---|----------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|
| Necesidad de Instalaciones Comunitarias: Clas | ifique las siguiente | s necesidades en orde | en de importancia para la |
| comunidad. (1 =más alta, 5 = más baja) | | | |
| | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Centros Comunitarios | | $\overline{\mathbf{v}}$ | |
| Bibliotecas | | | |
| Instalaciones de Parques y Recreación | M | | |

En el ejemplo de esta encuesta, esta persona eligió "Instalaciones de Parques y Recreación" como el asunto que más prefieren ver mejorar (1 = más alto) y and "Bibliotecas" como el asunto que menos prefieren ver mejorar (3= más bajo).

Por favor clasifique cada programa solo una vez.

| Necesidad de Instalaciones Comunitarias | nec imp | sifiqu esida ortan más | ides icia p | en or ara la | den o a con | de nunic | lad. | Necesidad de Servicios Publicos | Clasifique las siguientes necesidades en orden de importancia para la comunidad. (1 =más alta, 8 = más baja) | | | | | | | | |
|---|------------|---------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------|-------------|------|--------------------------------------|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | |
| Centros para Personas Mayores | | | | | | | | Actividades para Personas Mayores | | | | | | | | | |
| Centros para Jovenes | | | | | | | | Actividades para Jovenes | | | | | | | | | |
| Centros de Cuidado Infantil | | | | | | | | Servicios de Cuidado Infantil | | | | | | | | | |
| Instalaciones de Parques y Recreación | | | | | | | | Servicios de Transporte | | | | | | | | | |
| Centros Médicos | | | | | | | | Programas Anti-Crimen | | | | | | | | | |
| Centros Comunitarios | | | | | | | | Servicios de Salud | | | | | | | | | |
| Bibliotecas | | | | | | | | Servicios de Salud Mental | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | Servicios Jurídicos | | | | | | | | | |

| Necesidad de Servicios de Necesidades Especiales | nece impo comi | sidade rtancia | es en o a para . (1 =r | uiente orden o la nás ali | de | Necesidad de Desarrollo de Negocios y Empleos | nece impo | esidac ortanc | las siguientes des en orden de via para la comunidad. alta, 5 = más baja) | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|-------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------------|----|--|--------------|------------------|--|---|---|---|--|--|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | | |
| Servicios/Albergue Para Personas Sin Hogar | | | | | | Asistencia para Empresas Nuevas | | | | | | | | |
| Servicios para Víctimas de Violencia Domestica | | | | | | Préstamos para Empresas Pequeñas | | | | | | | | |
| Servicios para Víctimas de Abuso de Substancias | | | | | | Creación / Retención o Empleo | de | | | | | | | |
| Centros/Servicios para Personas Discapacitadas | | | | | | Capacitación Laboral | | | | | | | | |
| Centros/Servicios Para Personas con VIH/SIDA | | | | | | Asesoramiento Empresarial | | | | | | | | |

| Necesidad de Infraestructura Residencial | nec imp | esidad ortand | des er ia par | | | | Necesidad de Servicios de Vecindario | Clasifique las siguientes necesidades en orden de importancia para la com (1 =más alta, 5 = más ba | | | | | |
|--|------------|------------------|------------------|---|---|---|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Drenaje | | | | | | | Plantación de Árboles | | | | | | |
| Agua/ Alcantarillado | | | | | | | Eliminación de Basura Escombros | У | | | | | |
| Calle / callejón | | | | | | | Eliminación de Grafiti | | | | | | |
| Alumbrado público | | | | | | | Cumplimiento de Códigos | | | | | | |
| Acera/Banqueta | | | | | | | Limpieza de Lotes y Edificios Abandonados | 5 | | | | | |
| Rampas de Acera para Accesibilidad ADA | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Necesidad de Viviendas Asequibles | | ique la nidad. | | | | | | de imp | ortanc | ia para | la |
|--|---|-------------------|---|---|---|---|---|--------|--------|---------|----|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| Mejoramiento de Accesibilidad en Viviendas | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Rehabilitación de Viviendas – Para Propietarios | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Rehabilitación de Viviendas –Vivienda de Alquiler | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Asistencia Para Compra de Viviendas | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Vivienda de Alquiler Asequible | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Vivienda para Discapacitados | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Vivienda para Personas de Mayor Edad | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Vividenda para Familias Grandes | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Servicios de Vivienda Justa | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Pruebas de Plomo/ Reducción el Plomo | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mejoramiento de Rendimiento de Energía | | | | | | | | | | | |

Por favor escriba cualquier necesidad no mencionada:

GRACIAS!

Por favor devuelva las encuestas a: OC Housing and Homeless Services 1501 E St. Andrew Place Santa Ana CA 92705 Attn: Craig Fee


ESTA ENCUESTA TAMBIÉN ESTÁ DISPONIBLE EN LÍNEA EN:

https://es.surveymonkey.com/r/OCSpanish




Proof of Publications

(To be provided later)



Appendix B: Minority Concentration Map



Appendix C: Certifications

(To be provided later)

Appendix D: SF 424 Form

(To be provided later)